## Annual Research & Review in Biology



17(1): 1-11, 2017; Article no.ARRB.35389 ISSN: 2347-565X, NLM ID: 101632869

## Variability and Genetic Structure of a Natural Population of *Trichoderma* spp. Isolated from Different Substrates in Morocco

Wafa Khirallah<sup>1</sup>, Amina Ouazzani Touhami<sup>1</sup>, Ghizlane Diria<sup>2</sup>, Fatima Gaboun<sup>2</sup>, Rachid Benkirane<sup>1</sup> and Allal Douira<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Botanique, Biotechnologie et Protection des Plantes, Département de Biologie, Faculté des Sciences, Université Ibn Tofail, BP. 133, Kénitra, Morocco. <sup>2</sup>Biotechnology Unit, Institut National de Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Av Victoire BP 415, Rabat 10000, Morocco.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARRB/2017/35389 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Yen-Chein Lai, Department of Medical Laboratory and Biotechnology, Chung Shan Medical University, Taiwan. (2) George Perry, Dean and Professor of Biology, University of Texas at San Antonio, USA. <u>Reviewers</u>: (1) Tinatin Doolotke Idieva, Kyrgyz-Turkish Manas University, Bishkek city, Kyrgyzstan. (2) Eduardo Osorio Hernández, Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias de la Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas, Tamaulipas, México. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/20919</u>

> Received 11<sup>th</sup> July 2017 Accepted 28<sup>th</sup> August 2017 Published 11<sup>th</sup> September 2017

Original Research Article

## ABSTRACT

Ten species of *Trichoderma* antagonists have been collected from different ecosystems (forest soils, compost and strawberry plants) and characterized according to their molecular tools. Molecular characterization was performed by amplifying and analyzing the sequences of the internal transcribed separator coding 1 and 4 (ITS). *Trichoderma* isolates were identified as *Trichoderma asperellum*, more than 90% similarity, except the SDLA2 strain gave *Trichoderma harzianum* species (The isolate SDLA2 was closely related at the nucleotide level to the China's isolate gi[748053410]gb|KM277992.1] *Trichoderma harzianum*, 100% of similarity). The dissimilarity matrix based on ITS analysis showed that the *Trichoderma* isolates: SELM4, SMAA6, SMAA8 and SDLA27 were the less dissimilar (1.3% to 1.6%), while the isolate SDLA2 with the others isolates, except with the isolate gi[748053410]gb|KM277992.1 (1.1%) are the most dissimilar (9.9% to 16.3%).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: douiraallal@hotmail.com;

Keywords: Trichoderma asperellum; T. harzianum; molecular character; similarity; dissimilarity; DNA extraction; ITS.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Species of the filamentous fungal genus Trichoderma are belonging to the Hypocreales order of the Ascomycota division. The genus representatives excellent involves with antagonistic abilities against a series of plant pathogenic fungi, being therefore promising candidates for the biological control of fungal pests in agriculture. Modes of action with proposed roles in biocontrol capabilities of Trichoderma strains include mycoparasitism, antibiosis by the production of antifungal metabolites, competition for nutrients and space, induction of defense responses in the plant as well as plant growth promotion [1,2,3,4]. They are often the predominant components of the mycoflora in soils of various ecosystems, such as agricultural fields, meadow, forest, salt marshes and deserts, in all climatic zones [5]. Several studies reported about a series of new isolates well as new phylogenetic as species of Trichoderma in a series of natural ecosystems [6,7]. Trichoderma species are also able to degrade domestic waste relatively guickly without bad odors emitting [8]. Also the hypocreomycetidae genus Trichoderma was known for their rapid growth, capability of utilizing diverse substrates and resistance to noxious chemicals [9]. Use of Trichoderma to control plant diseases is not harmful to the environment unlike chemical pesticides [10,11]. There is Trichoderma species have been demonstrated to produce protease and chitinase that degrade the cell-wall during the parasitic interaction [12,13]. Proteases produced by pathogenic insects possess similar properties to those from Trichoderma, augmenting the possibility that proteases are involved in entomopathogenicity. Previous report regarding larvicidal activity of Trichoderma harzianum against the cotton leaf worm has suggested that this species is pathogenic towards the insect [14]. A knowledge concerning the behavior of these fungi as antagonists is essential for their effective use since they can act against target organisms in several ways [15]. Therefore it is important to investigate the diversity of Trichoderma in the soil since such information can lead to the isolation of Trichoderma species having higher antagonistic efficiency and development of better biological control methods to manage plant pathogenic fungi [16,17].

The commercial use of Trichoderma must be preceded by precise identification, adequate formulation, and studies about the synergistic effects of their mechanisms of biocontrol. Prior to the utilization of molecular approaches, the identification of *Trichoderma* is historically based on the application of morphological species recognition concept [18]. However, accurate species identification based on morphology is difficult at best because of the paucity and similarity of useful morphological characters increasing numbers [19,20], and of morphologically cryptic species that can be distinguish only through their DNA characters are being described [21]. With the advent of molecular methods and identification tools, which are based on sequence analysis of multiple genes, it is now possible to identify every Trichoderma isolate and to recognize it as a putative new species [22]. The current diversity of the holomorphic genus Hypocrea/Trichoderma is reflected in approximately 160 species, whose majority has been recognized on the basis of sequence analysis and DNA molecular phylogeny of pure cultures and/or herbaria specimens [22]. Multiple genes have been demonstrated and translation elongation factor 1a, internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and b-tubulin have successfully delimited between the closely related species in Trichoderma [23]. The species composition of the Trichoderma genus has been examined by molecular methods in a series of natural ecosystems, including a mid-European, [24], the Danube primeval floodplain-forest floodplain [25], Sardinia [26], soils from Russia, Nepal, Northern India [27], south-east Asia [28], China [29], North-Africa [30] and South America [31]. These studies have revealed a series of new genotypes as well as new phylogenetic species of Trichoderma.

Introduction of molecular approach enabled researchers to identify and delimit species of *Trichoderma* more reliably. The ITS regions of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) were the first studied gene [32]. The ITS spacer, approximately 600 to 1000 bp, is amplified by universal primers (ITS1 / ITS4), specific to fungi (ITS1f / ITS4) or specific to Basidiomycota (ITS1f / ITS4b) [33,34]. Nuclear rDNAs, and particularly the ITS regions, are a good target for phylogenetic analysis in fungi [35].

The present study was carried out to characterize and identify *Trichoderma* Species

isolated from different substrates in Morocco based on Molecular Identification by sequential analysis of ITS regions.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Isolation of Trichoderma spp. Strains

The strains of Trichoderma spp. used in this study were collected from six localities of Morocco, Missour from Fés Boulmane region (East) and Elmnasra, Maamora, Dlalha, Gnanfa, Anabsa from Rabat-Kenitra region (Northwest). Ten isolates were collected from different Compost, ecosystems (forest soils, and strawberry plant) (Table 1). Other Trichoderma spp. nucleotides sequences used in our analyses were taken from the following GenBank entries: strains: gi|427379233|gb|JX422010.1| Trichoderma asperellum: gi|482514058|gb|KC569362.1| Trichoderma asperellum: gi|346721655|gb|JN004179.1| Trichoderma asperellum and gi|922664364|dbj|LC075713.1| Trichoderma asperellum from India: gi|168829589|gb|EU272534.1| Trichoderma asperellum from Colombia gi|212291366|gb|FJ412053.1| Trichoderma from Ethiopia. asperellum and gi|748053410|gb|KM277992.1| Trichoderma harzianum from China were used as reference sequence in this study.

# 2.2 Fungal Growth Conditions and DNA Extraction

The total protocol of the DNA extraction from mycelium of Trichoderma spp. is described by Murray and Thompson [36] and Doyle [37]. Preferably young mycelia promote the obtention of the better using DNA. The strains are subcultured on PSA (Potato: 200 g, Sucrose 20 g, Agar-agar 15 g, distilled water 1000 mL) and incubated at 28°C in the dark for 4 days. The mycelia were lyophilized for 48 hours 0.1 g of mycelia were weighed from each sample and placed in 2 mL microtubes and ground using beads with the grinding apparatus for 5 min. 1 mL of preheated extraction buffer at 65 °C water bath was then quickly added. This extraction buffer was composed of 0.1 M Tris (pH 8); 5 M NaCl; 0.5 M EDTA; 2% CTAB: 0.2% mercaptoethanol. Microtubes or crushina material was then placed in a water bath at 65°C for 1 hour with stirring (15 min each). After cooling in ice for 5 min, 800 µL of chloroform / isoamyl alcohol (24: 1) were added. The mixture was stirred gently for 20 minutes at room temperature. Centrifugation was performed at 13000 rpm for 15 min at 4℃. The supernatant was recovered and transferred into a sterile tube to which Eppendroff 750 µL of isopropanol cooled to -20℃ was added. The whole was gently mixed (formation of a precipitate in the form of filaments or turbidity), left for 1 hour at 4℃ or 30 min at -20℃ to precipitate the DNA. After centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 15 min, the supernatant was drained and the pellet was recovered, 1 mL of 75% ethanol cooled to -20℃ was added to the pellet. Then centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 15 min at 4℃ was performed. This DNA wash step was repeated a second time. The pellet of DNA was then dried under vacuum at room temperature. Then 200 µL TE buffer was added to dissolve the DNA. Everything is finally allowed to stand overnight or 24 hours at 4℃.

## 2.3 Molecular Identification of the Isolated *Trichoderma spp.* Strains

ITS region (Internal Transcribed Spacer) of Ribosomal DNA was amplified with universal primers ITS1 and ITS4 [33]. ITS1: 5'-TCGGTAGGTGAACCTGCG G-3'; ITS4: 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3'.

The amplification reaction was performed in a total volume of 25 µL containing 5 µL of buffer 5X (Reagents : MyTaq DNA polymerase kit Bioline), 1 µL dNTP (20 mM), 1 µL of each of the primers (10 µM), 0,2 µL of Taq DNA polymerase (5 U  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>), 150 ng of the template DNA and Sterile double distilled water. These subjected reactions were to an initial denaturation of 1 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cvcles of 15 s at 95℃. 20 s at 57℃. and 15 s at 72℃, with a final extension of 3 min at 72℃ in a «Verity» d'ABI thermal cycler. Electrophoresis performed for the PCR product by migration of the latter on an agarose gel at 1.5% in the presence of a molecular weight marker 100 bp.

## 2.4 Sequencing of the Amplified IST

The purified PCR products were sequenced on both strands in an automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems 3130XL sequencer) by priming the sequencing reactions with the same oligonucleotides used for cDNA synthesis. Sequencing data associated with the 10 isolates analyzed in this study were deposited at the NCBI Genbank database, accession numbers are listed in Table 1.

#### 2.5 Sequence Analysis

Sequences were analyzed using the BioEdit program [38]. Multiple alignment was performed with the algorithm CLUSTALW program [39], implemented in the program BioEdit. Phylogenetic relationships were also determined with the maximum-likelihood (ML) algorithm of MEGA 6 [40].

## 3. RESULTS

This conducted molecular study has involved 10 strains collection. PCR amplification showed a single band of approximately 600 bp for all the *Trichoderma* spp. isolates (Fig. 1). After the analysis of sequences by comparison (BLAST) with data banks (GENBANK), these clips have more than 90% similarity gave the same species of fungus *Trichoderma asperellum* except strain Banklt1902509 SDLA2 KU987253 gave the *Trichoderma harzianum* (species) (Table 1).

Α dendrogram was obtained by analysis of the databank sequences (Fig. 2). The phylogenetic relationships were deduced for 10 Trichoderma spp sequences, thus the 10 sequences available in GenBank. The 20 strains with partial sequences have reveled three divergent genetic groups. Moroccan isolates are grouped into two of these groups (I and III). The isolate of group III (SDLA2) was closely related at the nucleotide China's level to the isolate (gi|748053410|gb|KM277992.1| Trichoderma harzianum) (100% of similarity). The isolate of group I (SDLA33 and SMis1) showed up to 98% of similarity. Indian's isolates of Trichoderma asperellum (gi | 427379233 | gb | JX422010.1 |), (gi | 482514058 | gb | KC569362.1 |), (gi | 346721655 | gb | JC0075713 .1), Colombian isolate (gi | 168829589 | gb | EU272534.1 |), Ethiopian isolate (gi | 212291366 | gb | FJ412053.1|), India's isolate (gi|346721655|gb|JN004179.1|) fell into group II, as well as the isolate of Colombia and Ethiopia showed up to 95% similarity.



Fig. 1. Separation on agarose gel (1.5%) of PCR amplification products of the DNA of *Trichoderma* isolates using the ITS1 and ITS4 primers

Molecular weight marker 100 bp , 1-33 tracks :KU987252 SMis1- KU987253 SDLA2 - KU987244 SELM4 - KU987246 SMAA6- KU987247 SGNA7- KU987248 SMAA8 - KU987249 SDLA26- KU987250 SDLA27 - KU987251 SDLA28- KU987245 SDLA33. (Isolates 25, 29, 30, 31 and 32 were not amplified and will therefore be excluded from the result of the analysis process.)

| Country                   | Isolation source             | Organism               | Isolate                       | Query length | Specimen<br>voucher |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Morocco: East, Missour    | Compost                      | Trichoderma asperellum | Banklt1902509 SMis1 KU987252  | 427 bp       | RAB 95369           |
| Morocco: Gharb, Dlalha    | Strawberry: Festival, Root   | Trichoderma harzianum  | Banklt1902509 SDLA2 KU987253  | 591 bp       | RAB 95370           |
| Morocco : Gharb, Elmnasra | Banana leaves                | Trichoderma asperellum | Banklt1902509 SELM4 KU987244  | 573 bp       | RAB 95371           |
| Morocco: Kenitra, Maamora | Soil                         | Trichoderma asperellum | Banklt1902509 SMAA6 KU987246  | 507 bp       | RAB 95372           |
| Morocco : Gharb, Gnanfa   | Strawberry: Sabrina, Root    | Trichoderma asperellum | Banklt1902509 SGNA7 KU987247  | 574 bp       | RAB 95373           |
| Morocco: Kenitra, Maamora | Soil                         | Trichoderma asperellum | Banklt1902509 SMAA8 KU987248  | 547 bp       | RAB 95374           |
| Morocco: Gharb, Dlalha    | Strawberry: Festival, Root   | Trichoderma asperellum | Banklt1902509 SDLA26 KU987249 | 582 bp       | RAB 95375           |
| Morocco: Gharb, Dlalha    | Strawberry: Festival, Root   | Trichoderma asperellum | Banklt1902509 SDLA27 KU987250 | 569 bp       | RAB 95376           |
| Morocco: Gharb Dlalha,    | Strawberry: Festival, Collar | Trichoderma asperellum | Banklt1902509 SDLA28 KU987251 | 572 bp       | RAB 95377           |
| Morocco: Gharb, Dlalha    | Strawberry: Festival, Root   | Trichoderma asperellum | Banklt1902509 SDLA33 KU987245 | 386 bp       | RAB 95379           |

## Table 1. Origin and identity of Trichoderma isolates

| Table 2. Similarity matrix of <i>Trichoderma</i> spp strains based on ITS analysis | 5 |
|--|---|
|--|---|

| Banklt1902509 SELM4 KU987244  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| gi 482514058 gb KC569362.1    | 0.033 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Banklt1902509 SDLA33 KU987245 | 0.073 | 0.087 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| gi 427379233 gb JX422010.1    | 0.030 | 0.022 | 0.076 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| BankIt1902509 SMAA6 KU987246  | 0.013 | 0.033 | 0.058 | 0.024 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| gi 482514058 gb KC569362.1    | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.087 | 0.022 | 0.033 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Banklt1902509 SGNA7 KU987247  | 0.027 | 0.033 | 0.079 | 0.022 | 0.024 | 0.033 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| gi 482514058 gb KC569362.1    | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.087 | 0.022 | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.033 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| BankIt1902509 SMAA8 KU987248  | 0.016 | 0.030 | 0.058 | 0.022 | 0.005 | 0.030 | 0.024 | 0.030 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| gi 346721655 gb JN004179.1    | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.079 | 0.013 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.016 | 0.030 | 0.024 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| BankIt1902509 SDLA26 KU987249 | 0.019 | 0.035 | 0.073 | 0.027 | 0.016 | 0.035 | 0.019 | 0.035 | 0.016 | 0.024 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| gi 168829589 gb EU272534.1    | 0.041 | 0.008 | 0.097 | 0.030 | 0.041 | 0.008 | 0.041 | 0.008 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.044 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Banklt1902509 SDLA27 KU987250 | 0.016 | 0.035 | 0.070 | 0.024 | 0.013 | 0.035 | 0.013 | 0.035 | 0.011 | 0.019 | 0.011 | 0.044 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| gi 922664364 dbj LC075713.1   | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.087 | 0.022 | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.035 | 0.008 | 0.035 |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| BankIt1902509 SDLA28 KU987251 | 0.030 | 0.027 | 0.073 | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.027 | 0.016 | 0.027 | 0.016 | 0.022 | 0.027 | 0.035 | 0.024 | 0.027 |       |       |       |       |       |
| gi 212291366 gb FJ412053.1    | 0.041 | 0.008 | 0.097 | 0.030 | 0.041 | 0.008 | 0.041 | 0.008 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.044 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.008 | 0.035 |       |       |       |       |
| BankIt1902509 SMis1 KU987252  | 0.035 | 0.050 | 0.047 | 0.041 | 0.024 | 0.050 | 0.044 | 0.050 | 0.019 | 0.044 | 0.035 | 0.058 | 0.030 | 0.050 | 0.035 | 0.058 |       |       |       |
| gi 346721655 gb JN004179.1    | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.079 | 0.013 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.016 | 0.030 | 0.024 | 0.000 | 0.024 | 0.038 | 0.019 | 0.030 | 0.022 | 0.038 | 0.044 |       |       |
| Banklt1902509 SDLA2 KU987253  | 0.105 | 0.118 | 0.163 | 0.108 | 0.115 | 0.118 | 0.096 | 0.118 | 0.112 | 0.099 | 0.105 | 0.127 | 0.108 | 0.118 | 0.102 | 0.127 | 0.134 | 0.099 |       |
| gi 748053410 gb KM277992.1    | 0.096 | 0.118 | 0.156 | 0.108 | 0.105 | 0.118 | 0.099 | 0.118 | 0.105 | 0.105 | 0.099 | 0.127 | 0.099 | 0.118 | 0.111 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.105 | 0.011 |



## Fig. 2. Dendrogram representing the genetic relationships between the studied isolates generated by cluster analysis using the NJ method

The dissimilarity matrix based on ITS analyzes showed that the Trichoderma isolates: SELM4, SMAA6, SMAA8 and SDLA27 were the least dissimilar (1.3% to 1.6%), also gi | 482514058 | KC569362.1 with gb gi|168829589|gb|EU272534.1 and ,gi|922664364|dbj|LC075713.1 gi|212291366|gb|FJ412053.1 (0% to 0.8%). While the isolate SDLA2 with the others isolates, except with the isolate gi|748053410|gb|KM277992.1 (1.1%) are the most dissimilar (9.9% to 16.3%) (Table 2).

## 4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The results of this study bring out the importance of using molecular identification tools to describe *Trichoderma* spp. in a natural habitat. This corroborates even more Phylogenetics.

All isolates of *Trichoderma* spp were identified at the level of homology at least 90% with comparing the sequences of the STI region with the sequences deposited in Gen Bank. However, Druzhinina and Kubicek [25] have documented that Gen Databases contains many sequences of *Trichoderma* isolates that may have been misidentified and have a false name, but *Trichoderma* appears to be more complicated genus, since the phylogenetic relationships of several of these members are still unclear [41,42].

The results obtained from the phylogenetic analysis of the ITS sequences showed that the 10 isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. of Morocco used can be separated into two different species; T. asperellum represents the dominant group of Trichoderma spp. followed by a single strain of harzianum. In group III, the isolate Τ. BankIt1902509 SDLA2 KU987253 was identical in order to the Trichoderma harzianum strain of China (gi | 748053410 | gb | KM277992.1 |), and the other nine strains studied were almost identical in order to Indian isolates of Trichoderma asperellum (gi | 427379233 | gb | JX422010.1), (gi | 482514058 | gb | KC569362.1 |), (gi | 346721655 | gb | JN004179.1 |), (gi |

922664364 | dbj | LC075713. 1), Colombian isolate (gi | 168829589 | gb | EU272534.1 |), Ethiopian isolate (gi | 212291366 | gb | FJ412053.1 |), which fell in group II. On the other hand, the study of Trichoderma's biodiversity in South Asia, including Burma, Cambodia, Malaysia, Singapore, Taiwan, Thailand and Western Indonesia, revealed the presence of species with high metabolic diversity of T. harzianum [28]. But Hermosa et al. [43] showed from the Phylogenetic Sequence Analysis the ITS1 that the 17 strains of Trichoderma studied can be separated into five different species, and all are clearly distinct from T. harzianum Th2 and Th4, and Lieckfeldt et al. [44] found three strains of Trichoderma 3, 25, and ThVA, were grouped, with a high bootstrap value of 87%, with the T. asperellum TR 48 biocontrol isolate, and strain 3 had a sequence ITS1 Identical to that of the biological control strain T-203. Other authors found rDNA-ITS1 analysis of Trichoderma isolates obtained from rice soils in the Philippines included only T. viride and T. harzianum [45]. The distribution of Trichoderma species is influenced by genetic structure, biological niches, soil type and geographic regions. Xia et al. [46] found that the genetic diversity of T. asperellum and T. virens in the epiphyte is lower than that of the banana root epiphytes. In this study it was found that *T. asperellum* is the most dominant in the roots, the strawberry collar and the Maamora soil. The difference in agricultural fields influenced species composition and abundance of different Trichoderma species. Gherbawy et al. [47] found very low Trichoderma biodiversity in the agricultural soils of the Nile valley in Egypt, which contained only T. harzianum and the anamorph of Hypocrea orientalis.

The development of molecular techniques and the use of DNA Sequence analysis became the new systematic paradigm for Trichoderma [48] and determined the taxonomic placement of this genus [41,43]. The development of molecular tools has also allowed the positive identification of any Trichoderma strain [48]. The domains of ITS and intergenic regions are much more variable and therefore more useful for phylogenetic studies of members of the same species or genus [33]. The use of divergence sequences to define species requires careful especially because interpretation, length polymorphisms and inversions can make comparison on a simple basis difficult [49,50].

In conclusion, this research demonstrates that the ITS regions of Ribosomal DNA gene complex

are useful as target in molecular applications and offer a powerful tool for the identification and typing of *Trichoderma* spp. The benefits and precise consequences of the present results open several avenues for future research in the field of biotechnology.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the National Centre for Scientific and Technical Research (CNRST), Rabat, Morocco, project RS-23: 'Phytosanitary status of strawberry farming in Morocco and looking for alternative control means: Production and formulation of a *Trichoderma* spp. Biofungicide'.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Harman GE, Howel CR, Viterbo A, Chet I, Lorito M. *Trichoderma* species – opportunistic, avilurent plant symbionts. Nature Rev. Microbiol. 2004;2:43-55
- Mouria B, Ouazzani Touhami A, Douira A. Effet de diverses souches du *Trichoderma* sur la croissance d'une culture de tomate en serre et leur aptitude à coloniser les racines et le substrat. Phytoprotection. 2007;88(3):103-110.
- 3. Mouria B, Ouazzani Touhami A, Douira A. Effet du compost et de *Trichoderma harzianum* sur la suppression de la verticilliose de la tomate. J. Appl. Biosci. 2013;70:5531–5543
- Ouazzani Chahdi A, Chliyeh M, Mouria B, Dahmani J, Ouazzani Touhami A, Benkirane R, Achbani EH, Douira A. *In* vitro and *in vivo* effect of salinity on the antagonist potential of *Trichoderma harzianum* and sensitivity of tomato to *Verticillium* wilt. Int. J. Rec. Sci.2014;5(4): 780-791.
- Smith WH. Forest occurrence of *Trichoderma* species: emphasis on potential organochlorine (Xenobiotic) degradation. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 1995;32:179-183.
- Zachow C, Berg C, Müller H, Meincke R, Komon-Zelazowska M, et al. Fungal diversity in the rhizosphere of endemic plant species of Tenerife (Canary Islands):

relationship to vegetation zones and environmental factors. ISME J. 2009;3: 79–92.

- Körmöczi P, Danilović G, Manczinger L, Jovanović L, Panković D, et al. Species composition of *Trichoderma* isolates from the rhizosphere of vegetables grown in Hungarian soils. Fresenius Environ Bull. 2013;22:1736–1741.
- Ilias GNM, Rahman MA, Molla AH, Begum MF, Alam MF. Composting of municipal garbage by using *Trichoderma*- a new approach in context of Bangladesh. Bangladesh J. Genet. Biotech. 2005;6 (1&2):75-78.
- 9. Oda T, Tanaka C, Suda M. Molecular phylogeny and biogeography of the widely distributed *Amanita* species, *A. muscaria* and *A. pantherina*. Mycol. Res. 2004;108: 885-896.
- Perveen K, Bokhari NA. Antagonistic activity of *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Trichoderma viride* isolated from soil of date palm field against *Fusarium oxysporum*. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2012;6(13):3348-3353.
- Reena A, Anitha M, Aysha OS, Valli S, Nirmala P, Vinothkumar P. Antagonistic Activity of *Trichoderma viride* isolate on Soil Borne Plant Pathogenic Fungi. International Journal of Bioassays. 2013; 2(1):294-297.
- López-Mondéjar R, Ros M, Pascual JA. Mycoparasitism-related' genes expression of *Trichoderma harzianum* isolates to evaluate their efficacy as biological control agent. Biol. Contr. 2011;56:59-66.
- Kubicek CP, Starr TL, Glass NL. Plant cell wall-degrading enzymes and their secretion in plant-pathogenic fungi. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 2014;52:427-451.
- 14. El-Katatny MH. Virulence potential of some fungal isolates and their control-promise against the Egyptian cotton leaf worm, *Spodoptera littoralis*. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot. 2010;43:332-56.
- 15. Jeffries P, Young TWK. Interfungal parasitic relationships. CAB International Mycological Institute. Wallingford, United Kingdom. 1994;296.
- Poovendran P, Kalaigandhi V, Parivuguna V. *In vitro* study of antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma* sp., on tea plant pathogen,

*Phomopsis theae*. Archives of Applied Science Research.2011; 3(4), 352-358

- Kumar K, Amaesan N, Bhagat S, Madhuri K, Srivastaya RC. Isolation and characterization of *Trichoderma* spp. for antagonistic activity against root rot and foliar pathogens. Ind. J. Microbiol. 2012; 52(2):137–144.
- Gams W, Bissett J. Morphology and identification of *Trichoderma*. In: CP Kubicek, GE Harman, eds. *Trichoderma* and Gliocladium. Vol. 1. Basic biology, taxonomy and genetics, Taylor and Francis Ltd., London. 1998;3-34.
- 19. Druzhinina IS, Kopchinskiy AG, Komoń M, Bissett J, Szakacs G, Kubicek CP. An oligonucleotide barcode for species identification in *Trichoderma* and *Hypocrea*. Fungal Genet. Biol. 2005;42: 813-828.
- De Respinis S, Vogel G, Benagli C, Tonolla M, Petrini O, Samuels GJ. MALDI-TOF MS of *Trichoderma*: a model system for the identification of microfungi. Mycol. Prog. 2010;9(1):79–100.
- Atanasova L, Jaklitsch WM, Komoń-Zelazowska M, Kubicek CP, Druzhinina IS. Clonal species *Trichoderma parareesei* sp. nov. likely resembles the ancestor of the cellulase producer *Hypocrea jecorina/T. reesei*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2010;76: 7259-7267.
- Kubicek CP, Komon-Zelazowska M, Druzhinina IS. Fungal genus *Hypocrea/Trichoderma*: from barcodes to biodiversity. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B. 2008; 9:753–763.
- 23. Samuels GJ, Dodd SL, Gams W, Castlebury LA, Petrini O. *Trichoderma* species associated with the green mold epidemic of commercially grown *Agaricus bisporus*. Mycologia. 2002;94:146-170.
- 24. Wuczkowski M, Druzhinina I, Gherbawy Y, Klug B, Prillinger H, Kubicek CP. Species pattern and genetic diversity of *Trichoderma* in a mid-European, primeval floodplain-forest. Microbiol. Res. 2003;158: 125-133.
- 25. Druzhinina IS, Kubicek CP. Species concept and biodiversity in *Trichoderma* and *Hypocrea*: From aggregate species to species clusters. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B. 2005;6(2):100-112.
- 26. Migheli Q, Balmas V, Komoñ-Zelazowska M, Scherm B, Fiori S, Kopchinskiy AG,

Kubicek CP, Druzhinina IS. Soils of a Mediterranean hot spot of biodiversity and endemism (Sardinia, Tyrrhenian Islands) are inhabited by pan-European, invasive species of *Hypocrea/ Trichoderma*. Environ. Microbiol. 2009;11:35-46.

- 27. Kullnig CM, Szakacs G, Kubicek CP. Molecular identification of *Trichoderma* species from Russia, Siberia and the Himalaya. Mycol. Res. 2000;104:1117-1125.
- 28. Kubicek CP, Bissett J, Druzhinina IS, Kullnig-Gradinger CM, Szakacs G. Genetic and metabolic diversity of *Trichoderma*: A case study on South East Asian isolates. Fungal Genet. Biol. 2003; 38: 310-319
- 29. Sun RY, Liu ZC, Fu K, Fan L, Chen J. *Trichoderma* biodiversity in China. J. Appl. Genet. 2012;53:343-354.
- Sadfi-Zouaoui N, Hannachi I, Rouaissi M, Hajlaoui MR, Rubio MB, Monte E, Boudabous A, Hermosa MR. Biodiversity of *Trichoderma* strains in Tunisia. Can. J. Microbiol. 52009;5:154-162.
- 31. Hoyos-Carvajal L, Orduz S, Bissett J. Genetic and metabolic biodiversity of *Trichoderma* from Colombia and adjacent neotropic regions. Fungal Genet. Biol. 2009;46:615-631.
- Kindermann J. El-Ayouti Y, Samuels GJ, Kubicek CP. Phylogeny of the genus *Trichoderma* based on sequence analysis of the internal transcribed spacer region 1 of the rDNA cluster. Fungal Genet. Biol. 1998;24(3):298-309.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA for phylogenetics. In: MA Innes, DH Gelfand, JJ Sninsky, TJ White eds, PCR protocols. A guide to methods and applications, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, California. 1990; 315-322.
- Gardes M, White TJ, Fortin JA, Bruns TD, Taylor JW. Identification of indigenous and introduced symbiotic fungi in ectomycorrhizae by amplification of nuclear and mitochondrial ribosomal DNA. Can. J. Bot. 1991;69:180–190.
- 35. Bruns TD, White TJ, Taylor JW. 1991. Fungal molecular systematics. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 1991;22:525–564.
- 36. Murray MG, Thompson WF. Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant

DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 1980;8:4321-4325

- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. A rapid DNA isolation procedure from small quantities of fresh leaf tissues. Phytochem. Bull. 1987;19: 11-15.
- Hall TA. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series. 1999;41:95-98.
- Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, et al. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics. 2007;23:2947–2948.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kuma S. MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2013;30(12):2725–2729.
- 41. Gams W, Meyer W. What exactly is *Trichoderma harzianum*? Mycologia. 1998; 90:904-915.
- Ospina-Giraldo MD, Royse DJ, Chen X, Romaine CP. Molecular phylogenetic analyses of biological control strains of *Trichoderma harzianum* and other biotypes of *Trichoderma* spp. associated with mushroom green mold. Phytopathol. 1999; 89:308–313.
- Hermosa MR, Grondona I, Iturriaga EA, Diaz-minguez JM, Castro C, Monte E, Garcia-acha I. Molecular characterization and identification of biocontrol isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2000;6(5):1890-1898.
- Lieckfeldt E, Samuels GJ, Helgard HI, Petrini O. A morphological and molecular perspective of *Trichoderma viride*: Is it one or two species? Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1999;65:2418–2428.
- Cumagun CJR, Hockenhull J, Lübeck M. Characterization of *Trichoderma* isolates from Philippine rice fields by UP-PCR and rDNA-ITS1 analysis: Identification of UP-PCR markers. J. Phytopatho. 2000;148(2): 109-115.
- 46. Xia X, Lie TK, Qian X, Zheng Z, Huang Y, Shen Y. Species diversity, distribution and genetic structure of endophytic and epiphytic *Trichoderma* associated with banana roots. Microb. Ecol. 2011;61(3): 619-625.
- Gherbawy Y, Druzhinina IS, Shaban G M, Wuczkowsky M, Yaser M, El-Naghy MA, Prillinger H, Kubicek CP. *Trichoderma* populations from alkaline agricultural soil in

the Nile valley, Egypt, consists of only two species. Mycol. Prog. 2004;3:211-218.

- 48. Samuels GJ. *Trichoderma*: Systematics, the sexual state, and ecology. Phytopathol. 2006;96:195-206.
- Cannon PF, Bridge PD, Monte E. Linking the past, present and future of *Colletotrichum* systematics. *In* D. Prusky, S. Freeman and M. Dickman (eds.), Host

specifity, pathology and host pathogen interaction of *Colletotrichum*. APS Press, St. Paul, Minn. 2000;1-20.

50. Seifert KA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. A critique of DNA sequence analysis in the taxonomy of filamentous ascomycetes and ascomycetes anamorphs. Can. J. Bot. 1995;73(Suppl. 1):S760-S767.

© 2017 Khirallah et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/20919