



Antioxidant Activities of Different Solvent Extracts of *Moringa oleifera* Seeds Using DPPH Assay

Famurewa, Oluwayemisi Juliannah^{a*}

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJOCS/2023/v13i5255

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103757>

Original Research Article

Received: 02/06/2023

Accepted: 08/08/2023

Published: 18/09/2023

ABSTRACT

Moringa oleifera (Moringaceae) which grows in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world, has long unani system of medicine with ayurvedic history. The seeds are known to possess high medicinal properties. This study aimed to determine the antioxidant activity of *M. oleifera* seeds, extracted with different solvents (methanol, ethyl acetate and n-hexane) using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay. This research was carried out using different concentrations of methanol, ethyl acetate, and n-hexane extracts, (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 mg/mL). Vitamin C was also used as standard antioxidant control. The percentage of inhibition and IC₅₀ were measured. The results showed that the DPPH free radicals were scavenged by all seed extracts in a concentration dependent manner. Moreover, the IC₅₀ values for DPPH radicals with methanol, ethyl acetate and n-hexane extract of the *M. oleifera* seeds were found to be 30.77, 44.77 and 45.04 mg/mL, respectively. Interestingly, the IC₅₀ value of all the extracts revealed very active antioxidant activity but lower than the standard vitamin C due to their IC₅₀ values < 50 mg/mL. The order of activity for all the assay was methanol > ethyl acetate > n-hexane. The results suggested that methanol extract of *M. oleifera* seeds has the most active antioxidant activity.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: famurewaoluwayemisi4@gmail.com;

Keywords: *Moringa oleifera* seeds; antioxidant activity; DPPH assay.

1. INTRODUCTION

Moringa oleifera, called the “miracle tree,” mainly because every part of the Moringa tree is beneficial for humans and animals, originates from the sub-Himalayan region, Asia, Africa, and Arabia, and is becoming increasingly popular in Western countries. MO has been studied for its health properties, attributed to the numerous bioactive components, including vitamins, phenolic acids, flavonoids, isothiocyanates, tannins and saponins, which are present in significant amounts in various components of the plant” [1]. The seeds with its high nutritive value confer on the plant significant medicinal properties that have been hyped for their therapeutic properties in the treatment of various ailments.

“*M. oleifera* seeds have been found to be good antioxidants, able to reduce oxidative damage associated with aging and cancer” [2]. “In addition, it is used as potential antioxidant, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic and antimicrobial agent” [3]. “The ability of *M. oleifera* seeds to counter the synthesis of reactive oxygen species has been attributed to existing antioxidants like lectins, monopalmitic acid, myricetin, oleic acid, tocopherols, tri-oleic triglycerides, amongst others” [4,5,6]. “The concentration of its bioactive compounds varies depending on the growing region of the plant, since the different environmental conditions modify its synthesis” [7]. “The extraction solvent and drying process used can also have a significant impact on the bi-ological activities and metabolite contents of plant materials because distinct bioactive constituents with different chemical properties and polarities may have varying solubility in different solvents” [8,9].

Moringa seeds have been described by [3,10] to “contain phenolic compounds as ferulic acid, gallic acid, caffeic acid, cinnamic acid, protocatechuic acid, phytosterol, quercetin, chlorogenic acid epicatechin, catechin, vanillin, and rhamnoglucoside. Generally, antioxidants are compounds that can scavenge free radicals by interrupting radical chain reactions, or even prevent the reactive oxidants from being formed in the first place”.

“Exogenous antioxidants derived from natural sources such as plants (flavonoids, polyphenols, carotenoids, vitamins) or minerals (selenium, zinc, manganese) can remove free radicals,

inhibiting the adverse effects caused by reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the form of oxidation of lipids, protein, and nucleotides. In this way, they prevent damage done to the cells by ROS. Considering the beneficial role of the free radical-scavenging bioactive substances in human health protection, they are still being searched and constantly investigated. The reasons for these are increasing understanding of the harmful nature of synthetic antioxidant such as butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and the increasing resistance posed by microorganisms to synthetic antibiotics” [11].

“Several methods have been used to measure free radical scavenging capacities of plant. DPPH method received more attention due to its fast, reliable results, relatively simple, stable and the DPPH was available commercially in high purity” [12]. “The DPPH radical scavenging activity has been widely used as a model system to investigate the scavenging activity of natural compounds” [13,14].

The present study aimed to determine the antioxidant activity of *M. oleifera* seeds, extracted with different solvents; (methanol, ethyl acetate, n-hexane) using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Plant Material and Extraction

Fresh seeds of *M. oleifera* were procured from “Yelwan-Tudun” market, Bauchi city in northeast Nigeria. The seeds were de-husked manually with the aid of mortar and pestle; the clean kernels were air dried for three weeks. Subsequently, samples were milled into fine powder with the aid of a manual grinding machine and then subjected to cold extraction process using different solvents such as methanol as polar solvent, ethyl acetate as semi polar solvent, and n-hexane as nonpolar solvents for 72 hr in an enclosed glass jar and filtered. The procedure with each solvent was repeated, evaporated to dryness and stored in a refrigerator (4°C) prior to analysis.

2.2. Preparation of Different Crude Extracts and Vitamin C Standard Solution

10 mg crude extract each of methanol, ethyl acetate, n-hexane and vitamin C were dissolved

in 50 mL of methanol in 100 mL volumetric flask and made to mark to obtain 100 mg/mL stock solution. Each extracts were prepared into several concentrations of 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 mg/mL by taking aliquots of 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5 and 15 mL from the stock solution of each extracts and bringing to 50 ml with methanol for being used subsequently in antioxidant activity assay. The extracts and standard were prepared based on the method [15,16] with slight modification.

2.3 Preparation of 0.2 mM DPPH Methanolic Solution

Weight of 39.4 mg of DPPH was added to 500 mL of methanol in a 1000 mL volumetric flask and allowed to dissolve, after which was made to mark to give 0.1 mM DPPH solution. This was replicated two times to give 0.2 mM DPPH solution.

2.4 Radical /ROS Scavenging Activity by DPPH Assay

The free radical scavenging activity or antioxidant activity of each extracts was determined using the stable radical DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) assay according to the standardized protocol with some modifications [17,18]. When DPPH reacts with an antioxidant compound which can donate hydrogen, it is reduced to non-radical DPPH-H (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazine), ($\text{DPPH} + \text{RH} \rightarrow \text{DPPH}_2 + \text{R}$). The result of reduction DPPH radicals causes discoloration from purple colour to yellow pale colour which indicates the scavenging activity. Different concentrations (5, 10, 15, 20, 25 & 30 mg/mL) of each test samples and standard (vitamin C) were prepared. From each solution, 1 mL of each solution was taken in different test tubes. 1 mL of the 0.2 mM DPPH solution was added to each tube containing 1 mL solution. The tube was shaken vigorously and incubated in the dark for 30 min. The absorbance of each solution was taken on a UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu made) at 517 nm against an equal amount of DPPH and methanol as a control. The experiment for each extract was performed in triplicate and the percentage inhibition described as antioxidant activity was calculated based on the equation:

$$AA \% = 100 - \left(\frac{Abs_{control} - Abs_{sample}}{Abs_{control}} \times 100 \right)$$

Where, $Abs_{control}$ = Absorbance value of control, and

Abs_{sample} = Absorbance value of tested sample or reference standard.

The percentage inhibition was plotted with the concentration (mg/mL) to obtain the linear regression equation $y = bx + a$. The IC₅₀ value is obtained by replacing y with 50 and calculating the value for x. IC₅₀ (Half maximal Inhibitory Concentration) is the concentration of the sample that can scavenge 50% of DPPH free radical in DPPH free radical scavenging method. The IC₅₀ value is inversely proportional to the free radical scavenging activity or antioxidant property of the sample. The IC₅₀ values of the samples were compared and the value closest to that of vitamin C is considered to have the best antioxidant property [19].

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The data obtained in this study were provided in terms of mean and standard deviation (mean±standard deviation). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to compare the data. Further, to determine the statistically significant differences, Post-Hoc Tukey's test was performed at ($P < 0.05$). For representing the result of the concentration variation (mg/mL) on inhibition of DPPH free radical scavenging (%), linear regression on the antioxidant test has been utilized to calculate the IC₅₀ value and Microsoft Excel 2010 was employed for the statistical and graphical evaluations.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Extractive Values of Different Extracts

In this research, the crude extracts were prepared by cold extraction using methanol (polar), ethyl acetate (medium-polar) and n-Hexane (non-polar) respectively. This is because extraction procedures and solvent types influence the solubility of phytochemical components from particular plants material based on their polarity potential [20]. The total yield of different extracts was significantly affected by different solvents used for the extraction. The results of the yield of the different extracts are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Total yield of different solvent extracts of *M. Oleifera* seeds

Solvent extracts	Initial amount of <i>M. oleifera</i> seed used (g)	Yield of the extract (g ± SD)
Methanol	100	8.15 ± 0.52c
Ethyl acetate	100	18.44 ± 0.03a
n-Hexane	100	17.25 ± 0.84b

*Each value is expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) ($n = 4$). Values in the column followed by a different letter superscript are significantly different as determined by the Turkey's test. ($P < 0.05$)

Table 2. Absorbance and free radical scavenging of different solvent extracts of *Moringa oleifera* seeds with standard vitamin C at different concentrations (DPPH scavenging assay method)

Plant part	Extract	Concentration (mg/mL)	Absorbance	% inhibition of DPPH free radical scavenging
<i>M. Oleifera</i> Seeds	Methanol	30	1.368	49.71
		25	1.213	44.08
		20	0.961	34.92
		15	0.820	29.80
		10	0.720	26.16
	Ethyl acetate	5	0.560	20.35
		30	1.099	39.93
		25	0.811	29.47
		20	0.751	27.29
		15	0.685	24.89
	n-Hexane	10	0.563	20.46
		5	0.422	15.33
		30	0.9561	34.92
		25	0.820	29.80
		20	0.677	24.60
	Standard Vitamin C	15	0.510	18.53
		10	0.410	14.90
		5	0.269	9.770
		30	1.504	54.65
		25	1.368	49.71

Absorbance value of control = 2.752

3.2 Determination of Antioxidant Activity by DPPH Assay

The Antioxidant Activity test of the seed extracts was performed by the free radical, 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay because it offers the first approach and most accepted model to test the ability of natural compounds to act as free radical scavengers or hydrogen donors and to evaluate antioxidant activity [21,22,23]. The radical scavenging activity of different extracts and vitamin C (taken as standard) was evaluated using the DPPH assay. Six varying concentrations (5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 mg/mL) of different solvent extracts of *M. oleifera*

demonstrated different percentage of inhibition.

However, it is noted that the efficiency of the antioxidant increased with the concentration of each tested extracts. The three extracts, at their highest concentration (30 mg/mL) of the three extracts showed the best antioxidant activity, where among them, the methanol extract was the highest (49.71 %), followed by ethyl acetate and n-hexane extract (39.93 and 34.92 %), respectively (Table 2). The radical scavenging activities of those three extracts, at their highest concentration (30 mg/mL), were lower than the antioxidant capacity of vitamin C (54.65 %) at the same concentration.




Fig. 1. Graph of % inhibition of DPPH free radical scavenging against different concentrations in mg/mL of different solvent extracts of *M. oleifera* seed with standard vitamin C




Fig. 2. Bar graph showing IC₅₀ values (mg/mL) of different solvent extracts *M. oleifera* seed and standard vitamin C from the DPPH assay. Values were statistically significant different ($P < 0.05$).

Table 3. Category of antioxidant activity strength *In vitro* against DPPH

Intensity of IC ₅₀	Value (µg/mL)
Very active	<50
Active	50-100
Medium	101-250
Weak	250-500
Inactive	>500

3.3 Determination of IC₅₀ Values (DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity)

The IC₅₀ values in mg/mL expresses the half maximal inhibitory concentration of the sample required to reduce by 50 % the amount of free radical DPPH. IC₅₀ value of each extracts and vitamin C (taken as standard) was calculated from the plot between different concentration and % inhibition of DPPH free radical scavenging (Fig. 1).

A lower IC₅₀ value corresponds to a higher antioxidant activity [24]. The observed IC₅₀ value showed that methanol extract exhibited highest antioxidant activity (30.77 mg/mL) followed by ethyl acetate extract (44.77 mg/mL) and n-hexane extract (45.04 mg/mL), respectively where as standard vitamin C has IC₅₀ value of 25 mg/mL (Fig. 2).

According to [25] Table 3, extracts which possess IC₅₀ values < 50 µg/mL has very active antioxidant activity.

Interestingly, the IC₅₀ value of all the extracts revealed very active antioxidant activity but lower than the standard vitamin C. This is due to their IC₅₀ values < 50 mg/mL. The order of activity for all the assay was methanol > ethyl acetate > n-hexane.

Pharmacological activities of *moringa oleifera* are widely reported including antioxidant and antimicrobial activities. The type of extraction solvents has been shown to alter antioxidant activity. This is due to the vast range of chemical characteristics and polarity of varied solvent solubilities. On the basis of the result of this study, the methanol extract of *moringa oleifera* seeds was better able to inhibit free radicals than were the ethyl acetate extract and n-hexane extracts. This is in line with other research studies. One study on antioxidant activity of *moringa oleifera* extracts reported that the methanol extract had the highest antioxidant activity among the other leaves extract due to the fact that this extract may contain many phenolic

compounds that contributed to its antioxidant activity [26].

Similar results were also found in which the methanol extract showed the highest antioxidant activity of DPPH assay among other extracts of *Uncaria Gambir* stems [27] and *Syzygium polynthum* leaves extracts [28].

4. CONCLUSION

Methanol, ethyl acetate and n-hexane extracts of *M. oleifera* seed exhibited potential antioxidant activity. They act in a concentration-dependent manner. Methanol extract has the most active antioxidant activity followed by ethyl acetate and n-hexane extract although still lower than the strength of standard vitamin C. Thus, indicating that the extracting solvent significantly altered the antioxidant property. The polarity-dependence increase in the antioxidant activity of *M. oleifera* seed extracts may be attributed to the high affinity of antioxidant compounds in *M. oleifera* seed towards solvent polarity. The results of this study confirm the therapeutic potency of the *M. oleifera* seeds, and scientifically validate the effectiveness of the medicinal plant as an antioxidant and an alternative to synthetic drug.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Christianto V, Smarandache F. On the efficacy of *Moringa Oleifera* as anticancer treatment: a literature survey. BAOJ Cancer Res Ther. 2019;5:069.
- Singh BN, Singh BR, Singh RL, Prakash D, Dhakarey R, Upadhyay G, Singh HB. Oxidative DNA damage protective activity, antioxidant and anti-quorum sensing potentials of *Moringa oleifera*. Food and chemical toxicology. 2009;47(6):1109-16.
- Gopalakrishnan L, Doriya K, Kumar DS. *Moringa oleifera*: A review on nutritive

- importance and its medicinal application. Food science and human wellness. 2016;5(2):49-56.
4. Lalas S, Tsaknis J. Extraction and identification of natural antioxidant from the seeds of the *Moringa oleifera* tree variety of Malawi. Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society. 2002;79:677-83.
 5. Padla EP, Solis LT, Levida RM, Shen CC, Ragasa CY. Antimicrobial isothiocyanates from the seeds of *Moringa oleifera* Lam. Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C. 2012;67(11-12):557-64.
 6. Singh RG, Negi PS, Radha C. Phenolic composition, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of free and bound phenolic extracts of *Moringa oleifera* seed flour. Journal of Functional Foods. 2013;5(4):1883-91.
 7. Leone A, Spada A, Battezzati A, Schiraldi A, Aristil J, Bertoli S. *Moringa oleifera* seeds and oil: Characteristics and uses for human health. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2016;17(12):2141. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17122141>
 8. Rafi M, Meitary N, Septaningsih DA, Bintang M. Phytochemical profile and antioxidant activity of *Guazuma ulmifolia* leaves extracts using different solvent extraction. Indonesian Journal of Pharmacy. 2020;31(3):171-80. [CrossRef]
 9. Sharma S, Kumari A, Dhatwalia J, Guleria I, Lal S, Upadhyay N, Kumar V, Kumar A. Effect of solvents extraction on phytochemical profile and biological activities of two *Ocimum* species: A comparative study. Journal of Applied Research on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. 2021;25:100348. [CrossRef]
 10. Jaafaru MS, Nordin N, Shaari K, Rosli R, Abdull Razis AF. Isothiocyanate from *Moringa oleifera* seeds mitigates hydrogen peroxide-induced cytotoxicity and preserved morphological features of human neuronal cells. PLoS One. 2018;13(5):e0196403.
 11. Oyetayo VO. Free radical scavenging and antimicrobial properties of extracts of wild mushrooms. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology. 2009;40:380-6. DOI:10.1590/S1517-838220090002000031. Epub 2009 Jun 1. PMID: 24031376; PMCID: PMC3769741.
 12. Xie J, Schaich KM. Re-evaluation of the 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl free radical (DPPH) assay for antioxidant activity. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2014;62(19):4251-60.
 13. Chanda S, Dave R, Kaneria M. In vitro antioxidant property of some Indian medicinal plants. Res. J. Med. Plant. 2011;5:169-179
 14. Piang-Siong W, Caro P, Marvilliers A, Chasseray X, Payet B, Sing ASC, Illien B. Contribution of trans-aconitic acid to DPPHC scavenging ability in different media. Food Chem. 2017;214:447-452.
 15. Burgos G, Munoa LP, Sosa P, Cayhualla E, Carpio R, Felde zum T. Procedures for chemical analysis of potato and sweet potato samples at CIP's Quality and Nutrition Laboratory. Lima (Peru). International Potato Center (CIP). Global Program Genetics and Crop Improvement; 2014. ISBN 978-92-9060-444-0. 24 p.
 16. Jadid N, Hidayati D, Hartanti SR, Arraniry BA, Rachman RY, Wikanta W. Antioxidant activities of different solvent extracts of *Piper retrofractum Vahl*. using DPPH assay, AIP Conference Proceedings. 2017;1854:020019. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4985410>
 17. Mensor LL, Menezes FS, Leitão GG, Reis AS, Santos TC, Coube CS, Leitão SG. Screening of Brazilian plant extracts for antioxidant activity by the use of DPPH free radical method. Phytotherapy Research. 2001;15(2):127-30.
 18. Sharma KR, Kalauni SK, Awale S. Antioxidant, phytotoxic and antimicrobial activities of methanolic extract of *Bauhinia variegata* barks. Journal of Institute of Science and Technology. 2015;20(2):37-41. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3126/jist.v20i2.13946>.
 19. Khalaf NA, Shakya AK, Al-Othman A, El-Agbar Z, Farah H. Antioxidant activity of some common plants. Turkish Journal of Biology. 2008;32(1):51-55.
 20. Sultana B, Anwar F, Ashraf M. Effect of extraction solvent/technique on the antioxidant activity of selected medicinal plant extracts. Molecules. 2009;14(6):2167-80. DOI: 10.3390/molecules14062167.
 21. Tailor C S, Goyal A. Antioxidant Activity by DPPH Radical Scavenging Method of *Ageratum conyzoides Linn*. Leaves.

- American Journal of Ethnomedicine. 2014; 1:244-249.
Available:<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:83681273>
22. Aryal S, Baniya MK, Danekhu K, Kunwar P, Gurung R, Koirala N. Total phenolic content, flavonoid content and antioxidant potential of wild vegetables from Western Nepal. *Plants*. 2019;8(4):96.
Available:
<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8040096>
23. Fatiha M, Abdelkader T. Study of antioxidant activity of pyrimidinium betaines by DPPH radical scavenging method. *Journal of Analytical and Pharmaceutical Research*. 2019;8(2):33–36.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.15406/japlr.2019.08.00308>
24. Chaouche TM, Haddouchi F, Ksouri R, Atik-Bekkara F. Evaluation of antioxidant activity of hydromethanolic extracts of some medicinal species from South Algeria. *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association*. 2014;77(6):302-307.
DOI: 10.1016/j.jcma.2014.01.009
25. Fatmawaty F, Anggreni NGM, Fadhil N, Prasasty VD. Potential in vitro and in vivo antioxidant activities from *Piper Crocatum* and *Persea Americana* leaf extracts. *Biomed Pharmacol J*. 2019;12(2).
26. Fitriana WD, Ersam T, Shimizu K, Fatmawati S. Antioxidant activity of *Moringa oleifera* extracts. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry*. 2016;16(3):297-301.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.22146/ijc.21145>
27. Hidayati MD, Rahmatulloh A. Antioxidant activity of *Uncaria Gambir* (Hunter) Roxb extracts. *Trop J Nat Prod Res*. 2022;6(8):1215-1218.
DOI.org/10.26538/tjnpr/v6i8.9
28. Hidayati MD, Ersam T, Shimizu K, Fatmawati S. Antioxidant activity of *Syzygium polynthum* Extracts. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry*. 2017;17(1): 49-53.

© 2023 Famurewa; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103757>