

Biotechnology Journal International

Volume 27, Issue 5, Page 16-28, 2023; Article no.BJI.104302 ISSN: 2456-7051 (Past name: British Biotechnology Journal, Past ISSN: 2231–2927, NLM ID: 101616695)

Evaluation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Improved Strains Potential in the Bioethanol Production from Bagasse

Adegbehingbe Kehinde Tope^{a*}, Adetuwo Olagunju Johnson^a and Omodara Tolani Rachael^b

^a Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba-Akoko, Nigeria. ^b Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author AKT designed the study, wrote the protocol and proofread the manuscript. Author AOJ performed the microbiological analysis and wrote the final draft. Author OTR performed the microbiological analysis and reviewed the draft. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJI/2023/v27i5693

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104302</u>

> Received: 05/06/2023 Accepted: 09/08/2023 Published: 22/08/2023

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Plant biomass can be utilized to produce bioethanol, because they are abundantly available in nature. The cost of ethanol production from lignocellulosic materials is relatively high with low yield. But this can be solved by strain improvement processes. This study is aimed at evaluate bioethanol production potential of improved strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* developed through random mutagenesis. Bagasse was hydrolysed with 1% NaOH and 1.0M H₂SO₄ respectively for five days.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: adetuwoolagunju@gmail.com;

Biotechnol. J. Int., vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 16-28, 2023

The hydrolysed bagasse was saccharified using *Aspergillus niger* isolated from soil samples. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* isolated from locally produced wines; sorghum (*burukutu*) oil palm wine (*emu*) and raphia palm wine (*oguro*) with the highest ethanol production (5.0g/ml) were used, and then treated with physical mutagen (ultraviolet light) and chemical mutagens (Acridine dye, Bromo acetaldehyde, dithiothreitol, Ketoconazole and Nitrous acid) respectively to develop mutant with high ethanol producing efficiency under varied operational parameters. Three mutant strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* namely;- SUV, SCD and SCK produced higher volumes of ethanol (7.5 g/ml, 9.8 g/ml, 11.2 g/ml respectively). SCD and SCK were able to grow at 25% ethanol concentration indicating that they had higher ethanol tolerance ability than the other strains. The optimum temperature and pH for ethanol production by all the strains were 35^oC and 6.0 respectively. The improved strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* developed through random mutation techniques had produced more ethanol from the bagasse than the wild-type.

Keywords: Biomass; mutants; tolerance; pH; temperature; wild type.

1. INTRODUCTION

Abundant and sustainable energy resources could be considered as one of the basic needs of man. The increasing human population is constantly exerting more pressure on the world's natural resources, which include natural fossil fuels that are non-renewable [1]. There are concerns regarding the use of fossil fuels due to its growing scarcity and its negative impact on our environment.

It suggested that current known crude oil reserves and the reserves yet undiscovered and concluded that would continue to decline worldwide before 2030. They also predicted that annual global oil production would decline from the current twenty-five billion barrels to approximately five billion barrels in 2050, because the economy in Nigeria and many other nations depend on oil which the consequences could be severe [2].

Therefore, there is a great interest in exploring alternative energy sources that are renewable, sustainable, and eco-friendly. Renewable energy is currently being derived from the wind, water and sun, but to a limited extent. These forms of natural resources are very attractive for the production of renewable energy, but the technologies needed to make them readily available for use are not yet common [3]. However, ethanol may provide an alternative to the current use of liquid fossil fuels and it could be used to sustain the present high energy consumption globally because it is cheaper, cost effective, and renewable and the raw materials to produce it is abundance [4,5,6].

Furthermore, ethanol serves as a major raw material for biomedical and pharmaceutical

companies. The demand for ethanol has increased steadily over the last century as the world's population has grown and more countries have become industrialized [7]. There is a great interest in exploring alternative sources of producing ethanol. Lignocellulosic biomass can be utilized to produce ethanol, a promising alternative energy source for the limited crude oil because plants biomass waste raw materials are abundantly available in nature [8,9,5,10].

A lot of research had been done on conversion of lignocellulosic materials to ethanol in the last one decade [39,11,6,12]. The conversion includes two processes; hydrolysis of cellulose in the lignocellulosic materials to fermentable reducing sugars, and fermentation of the sugars to ethanol. The hydrolysis is usually catalyzed by cellulase enzymes while the fermentation is carried out by yeasts or bacteria [12].

The of ethanol production cost from lignocellulosic materials is relatively high based on current technologies, and the main challenges are the low yield and high cost of the hydrolysis process [13]. However, one of the primary goals Microbiology research Industrial and of development the establishment is of economically viable processes through increasing products yield and reduced operating cost in order to maximise profit. The most important means of achieving this has been by strain improvement, using a variety of techniques [14].

Improvement of the productivity of industrial strains is an important field in Industrial Microbiology, because wild type strains isolated from the natural environment usually produce only a low level of products. The use of a more productive strain may possibly increase costs of production due to higher investment in extraction methods, culture media, more expensive fermentation operations and other unforeseen fermentation challenges [14].

During the past years substantial progress has been made in the development of geneticallymodified microorganisms to produce metabolites or substances that were not present in them naturally. Different methods have been used to improve microbial strains, some of these methods are; random mutagenesis, recombinant DNA technology, classical breeding and genetic crossing all these techniques are used to enhance properties of interest in an organism. However recombinant DNA method is very tedious, too expensive, and it involved many steps. However, random mutagenesis is very easy to carry out and less expensive [15].

Saccharomyces cerevisiae has become increasingly important in the production of bioethanol from the agricultural wastes biomass. Production of bioethanol from lignocellulosic residues is one of the best ways to overcome the over-dependence on crude oil, but high cost of hydrolysis process and low yield are major setback. It is therefore necessary to evaluate the bioethanol potential of improved strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* developed through random mutagenesis techniques.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Collection of Sugarcane Bagasse

The bagasse samples were got from the Market in Okitipupa, Ondo State, Nigeria (6.308° N, 4.46° E). They were collected into a clean polythene bag and transported to the Microbiology laboratory of Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba-Akoko, Nigeria.

2.2 Pretreatment of Bagasse Samples

The bagasses were dried and blended, then sieved using a sieve with mesh size of 0.2 mm. The bagasses were chemically pretreated in two ways; the alkaline pretreatment and the acid pretreatment. Alkaline pretreatment was carried out by adding 30 g of the bagasse into 150 ml of solution containing 1% NaOH inside 250 ml beaker. The suspensions were left for five days at room temperature to produce a homogenous solution after which the mixture was filtered using Whatman numbe 1 filter paper. The filtrate was taken and stored inside a reagent bottle for further use. The same procedure was used for acid pretreatment using $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ [16,17].

2.3 Enzymatic Saccharification of Pretreated Lignocellulosic Material (Bagasse)

Aspergillus niger isolated fom a garden soil in Okitipupa was used for the saccharification process (Abu et al., 2005). Pretreated bagasse samples were saccharified enzymatically to get fermentable sugars by inoculating A. niger directly into 30 ml five sets of the mixture of samples (substrate-to-inoculum ratio 10/1)(pretreated bagasse) in the test tubes and then incubated at 28°C for 72 hours. The test tubes were shaken at 4 hours intervals to produce homogenous solution after which the mixtures were filtered using Whatman filtered paper number 1. To test for reducing sugar, 1 ml of Benedict reagent was added to 5 mls of each sample placed in a boiling water bath and allowed to stand for 5 minutes. Positive result gave rise to a brick-red colour [7]. Glucose concentration was determined using infrared spectrophotometer (SMARTDROP) and read at 650nm [18]. Glucose concentration was then calculated using the formula: (Test / Standard absorbance) x Standard concentration

2.4 Isolation and Characterization of Saccharomyces cerevisiae

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae that was used for this project was isolated from *burukutu* and palm wine samples from raffia palm (*Raphia raphia*) tree and oil palm (*Elaensis guineensis*) obtained from sellers in Okitipupa, Ondo, Nigeria. The wines stored for 5 days were centrifuged for 5 min at low speed 400 rpm. The wines were serially diluted up to 10^{-5} . About 0.5 ml each of dilution was poured into yeast malt agar (YMA) plates supplemented with 0.25 mg per ml chloramphenicol to inhibit the growth of bacteria (Abu *et al.*, 2005) and was incubated at 25°C for 48 hours. The representative colonies were isolated and purified by further streaking on PDA [19].

Microscopic identification was done by taking a thin smear of the isolate which was prepared by emulsifying a loopful of it on a clean slide with a drop of water, then air dried, stained with lactophenol cotton blue and then observed with a light microscope under X10 and X40 objective lenses [19]. The isolates were further subcultured on Yeast Malt Agar (YMA) and Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) to observe their morphological characteristics such as the presence of pseudohyphae, hyphae and ascospore formation [20,21]. The microscopic and cultural features of isolates were compared with the yeast database (https://theyeasts.org).

Biochemical identification was done by inoculating culture from each test isolate on PDA plates cultuvated for two days at 25°C was inoculated on YNB carbohydrate broth medium. The carbohydrates tested were glucose, sucrose, maltose, xylose, galactose, lactose, raffinose, melibiose, mannitol and trehalose [19,21]. Nitrate assimilation test was also determined according to [21] using lysine and nitrate as nitrogen souces. The biochemical features observed were noted and compared with veasts database for the yeast species identification [22].

2.5 Screening of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Isolates for Bioethanol Production

Saccharomyces cerevisiae Isolated from three different sources of wine were screened for their ability to produce bioethanol from hydrolysed bagasse were determined. Isolates that produced highest ethanol was used for further study.

2.6 Strain Improvement and Selection

Strain improvement using uv-liaht: Saccharomyces cerevisiae culture was serially diluted appropriately. 1ml of the culture was streaked on solidified PDA plates. The plates were exposed at a distance of 50 cm for various time intervals (5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 mins). The treated Petri plates were covered with dark nylon and incubated at 30°C for 3 days. Different colonies from the yeast plates were inoculated into 30 ml of hydrolysed bagasse (hydrolyzates) media and incubated for 5 days. Liquid samples separated from the suspension by centrifugation (8000 rpm) for 20 minutes. Ethanol content was analyzed from the supernatant [23].

Strain improvement by chemical mutagens: Liquid media were prepared and autoclaved for 20mins at 121° C in test tubes. Different mutagenic chemicals (Acridine dye, Bromo acetaldehyde, Dithiothreitol, Ketoconazole, and Nitrous acid) with different concentrations (5, 10, 15, and 20 μ M) were added into 30 ml of hydrolysed bagasse in sterilized test tubes. The pure yeast culture of *S cerevisiae* was inoculated in test tubes and incubated at 30°C for five days. After 72 hours samples were withdrawn and ethanol content was determined using Infrared Spectrophotometer (SMARTDROP). The test tubes that contained the sample was inserted into infrared spectrophotometer and read at 650nm [23]. The absorption portion of each sample was determined and then recorded.

Mutants selection: After the treatment of culture with physical and chemical mutagens, mutant strains that showed higher ethanol production potential as comparison to wild type were selected for further study (effect of physical parameters).

2.7 Effect of Physical Parameters on Ethanol Production of the Wild, and the Mutant Strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Ethanol tolerance of wild and mutants S. cerevisiae were analyzed as follow; the broth media were prepared with different concentrations of ethanol (5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25% and 30%) and sterilized for fifteen minutes at 121°C, and inoculated with the wild-type and mutant strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae respectively. The Cultures were incubated at 30[°]C for five days. Cell growth was determined by infrared spectrophotometer. The test tubes that contained the sample was inserted into infrared spectrophotometer and read at 600 nm [23]. The absorption portion of each sample was determined and then recorded by taking O.D at 600 nm against the media as blank [24,23].

Effect of pH on ethanol production of the wild, and the mutant strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae as follow; the broth media were prepared (30 ml of hydrolysed bagasse) and sterilized for 15 minutes at 121°C. The pH of the media was varied from 5.0 to 7.5 at 12 hours interval using H₂SO₄ and NaOH respectively, and inoculated with the wild-type and mutants strains of S. cerevisiae. The cultures were incubated at 30°C for three days. Ethanol concentration was determined by infrared spectrophotometer The test tubes that contained the sample was inserted into infrared spectrophotometer and read at 650nm [23]. The absorption portion of each sample was determined and then recorded. By taking O.D at 650nm against the media as blank [23].

Effect of temperature on ethanol production of the wild. and the mutant strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae as follow: the broth media were prepared (30 ml of hydrolysed bagasse) and sterilized for 15 minutes at 121°C. It was then inoculated with the wild-type and the mutant strains of S. cerevisiae. The cultures were incubated at temperatures between 20°C to 45°C (20, 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45 °C) for three days. Ethanol concentration was determined by infrared spectrophotometer at 650nm. The absorption of each portion of the sample was determined and then recorded by taking O.D at 650nm against the media as blank [23].

2.8 Extraction of Ethanol from the Broth by Distillation Method

Three grams of calcium oxide powder was added to 150 ml of distillate before distillation was carried out with a distillation apparatus set up for each of the fermented broth. The fermented broth was transferred into round bottom flask and placed on a heating vessel fixed to a distillation column enclosed in running tap water. Another flask was fixed to the other end of the distillation column to collect distillate at 78°C which is the standard temperature for ethanol production [18,25].

2.9 Statistical Analysis

Data were entered using SPSS version 20.01v computer software for analysis. Data was analysed using both descriptive and inferential statistics. For the descriptive statistics, frequency tabulations, mean, straight line graphs, and bar charts were generated. The main outcome was concentration of ethanol produced by wild-type and the mutant strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The strength of association was determined using odds ratio and p< 0.05 values at 95% level of confidence. The statistical difference between significance the concentrations of ethanol produced by wild-type, and the mutant strains was tested by using twoway ANOVA (p<0.05) [26].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the results of the pretreatment of the bagasse samples. It was observed that concentration of reducing sugar obtained from bagasse treated with acid increased from 0 g to 0.423 g, while that of alkaline treated sample increased from 0 g to 0.313 g. In this study bagasse pretreated with dilute tetraoxosulphate

(vi) acid produced more glucose than those pretreated with sodium hydroxide solution. Similar results were reported by researchers while worked on pretreated bagasse with acid respectively and alkaline [16,19,27,17,6]. Therefore, it can be inferred that dilute tetraoxosulphate (vi) acid was more effective in hydrolysis of bagasse than sodium hydroxide solution. The pretreatment (hydrolysis) process breakdown lignin and hemicellulose, and at the same time reduces cellulose crystallinity, and increases the porosity of the bagasse as noted by researchers [12,14,28].

Table 2 reveals the characteristics of the Aspergillus niger used for the saccharification of bagasse. It was noted that A. niger has black mycelium with septate hyphae, long and smooth conidiophores, with a large and round head on potato dextrose [20]. The Aspergillus niger produced cellulase enzymes that catalysed the conversion of cellulose in bagasse into fermentable sugars [14,28]. The fermentable produced sugars were utilized bv Saccharomyces cerevisiae for ethanol production. Saccharomyces cerevisiae is able to produced ethanol due to the presence of decarboxylase alcohol pyruvate and dehydrogenase which are key enzymes in ethanol formation, as reported by Gunasekaran and Chandra [29].

Fig. 1 shows yeast count of the wines samples. It was observed that more yeast, 9.41×10^{-4} cfu/ml was isolated from raffia palmwine, followed by oil palmwine, 5.8×10^{-4} cfu/ml and the burukutu wine, 3.51×10^{-4} cfu/ml. The highest count observed from raphia palm wine may be due to the inherent factors in the wine that favour more growth of *S. cerevisiae*.

Tables 3 and 4 show the cultural and biochemical characteristics of the yeasts species isolated from the fermented wines samples. Colonies of yeasts had unique earthy smells. Other characteristics were color ranging from cream to white, Shape is oval, and occurring singly. All the isolates had raised elevation Microscopic observation of the isolates showed the cells to be ovoid to circular shape cells, the size ranged from 2 to 6 µm. No filament was observed in any of the isolates when samples were taken from colonies growing on a potato dextrose agar. All the isolates had budding cells. Spores were not observed under the cultural condition in this study. The colonies of yeast strain that possessed morphological features which corresponded to *S. cerevisiae* species were confirmed by its ability to metabolised glucose, raffinose, maltose and nitrate [19,21,22].

Table 1. Concentration of reducing sugar obtained per gram of sugarcane bagasse hydrolysed by acid and alkaline

Pretreatment	Before hydrolysis	After hydrolysis				
Acid	0	0.423				
Alkaline	0	0.313				

Table 2. Morphology and characteristics ofAspergillus niger used for hydrolysis

Features	Observation					
Colour of mycelium	Black					
Septate hyphae	Present					
Conidiosphores	Long and smooth					

Fig. 2 shows the Screening result of bioethanol produced from Saccharomyces cerevisiae isolated for from fermented wines samples before exposing them into physical and chemical mutagens. Saccharomyces cerevisiae isolated from Raphia palm wine (oguro) produced more ethanol per volume of innoculum, 5.2g/ml, followed by Oil palm wine (emu) and sorghum wine (burukutu) isolates wth the values of 4.2g/mi 4.0g/ml respectively. and Saccharomyces cerevisiae isolated from Raphia palm wine (oquro) were further selected for strain improvement process.

In strain improvement by UV radiation, only two mutants SUV_5 and SUV_{10} produced more ethanol (7.50g/ml and 6.0g/ml respectively) than the wildtype as shown in Fig. 3. All other isolated culture exhibited decrease in ethanol production. However, S. cerevisiae exposed to UV radiation for 25 minutes does not produce significant ethanol. Therefore, exposing S. cerevisiae culture to longer periods of UV radiation generates mutants that carry multiple mutations, of which many may be deleterious, leading to a large fraction of inferior or even unviable cells. Consequently, the optimal time is the one that gives the largest proportion of beneficial mutants out of all cells that manage to survive; as observed in mutants SUV₅ and SUV₁₀. Simple phenotypes that depend on one or a few mutations, like auxotroph, typically show a

monotonic dose-response curve, meaning that the fraction of desired mutants per survivor increases with increasing dose and reaches saturation at some point, after which the number of superior mutants may decline again because of the increasing proportion of individuals with deleterious mutations as described by Crook and Alper [30] in their findings. For instance, Hashimoto et al. [31], and Hockberger [32] demonstrated the influence of the UV radiation to induce mitosis gene conversion by using diploid strain of S. cerevisiae. They reported that UV radiation induced multiple strains of S. cerevisiae that were exhibited various ethanol production capacity. This study showed that exposure time of 5-10 minutes of Saccharomyces cerevisiae cultures to UV radiation will increase ethanol production from bagasse by seventy-five percent.

In strain Improvement by chemical mutagens shows in Fig. 4. Mutants obtained from acridine dye, bromo acetaldehyde and nitrous acid treatments exhibited decreased in ethanol amounts of 4.0g/ml, 4.2g/ml and 4.8g/ml respectively in comparison to wild-type 5.0g/ml. Dithiothreitol and ketoconazole mutants showed higher ethanol production (11.2g/ml and 9.5g/ml ethanol respectively). It was noted that all chemicals used in this study have different mode of action to cause mutations. The chemical mutagens used in this study produced different effects in Saccharomyces cerevisiae because two of the chemicals, dithiothreitol and ketoconazole increased ethanol production whereas acridine dye, bromo acetaldehyde, and nitrous acid cause decreased in ethanol produced by Saccharomyces cerevisiae, similar result was reported by Singh and Sharma [23] when they treated yeast cells with chemical mutagens. Saccharomyces cerevisiae isolates used in this study were sensitive to mutagenic dosage, higher concentration of mutagens (>10µM) were less effective to increase ethanol production. From the result of this study, it can be concluded that higher concentration of chemical mutagens may result in decreasing ethanol production in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, while tolerable dose (less than 10µM) favours higher yield of ethanol. The mutant strains SCD and SCK produced, 11.2g/ml and 9.5g/ml ethanol respectively at 10 µM. But higher concentration of mutagen (>10 µM) were less effective to increase ethanol production.



Fermented wines sources

Fig. 1. Yeasts counts of the different fermented wine samples

Fungi isolates	Description of isolates cultural and morphology features
	observed on YMA and PDA media
Candida species	Colonies are whitish-cream in color, smooth, glabrous and yeast-
	like in appearance. Presence of spherical to sub-spherical
	blastoconidia.
Hanseniaspora avarum	Colonies are white. Smooth and slightly raised at the centre.
Saccharomyces carlsbengensis	Colonies occur singly or in pair, have flat, moist, dull
	appearance. Globorus and yeast-like mycelium
Saccharomyces globosus	Colonies have convex shape, whitish appearance.
Saccharomyces cerevisiae	Colonies extent quickly and developed within two days. They
	have flat, moist, glittering, and cream in color. Blastoconidia are
	present.

Fable 3. Morphologica	al characteristics	of yeast	isolates	from the	wines	samples
-----------------------	--------------------	----------	----------	----------	-------	---------

Yeasts	GL	SU	MA	XY	LA	RA	TE	MN	CE	ER	LY	UR	NO ₂
Candida	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-
species													
H. avarum	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-
S.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
carlsbengensis													
S. globosus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
S. cerevisiae	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+

Table 4. Biochemical characteristics of the yeasts isolated from fermented wines samples

KEYS: positive (+) means it utilizes the substrate, while negative (-) means it does not utilize the substrat. GL=Glucose, SU=Sucrose, MA=Maltose, XY=Xylose, LA=Lactose, RA=raffinose, MN=Mannose, TE= Trehalose, Cellobiose, LY=Lysine, ER=Ethylamine, UR= Urease, NO₃⁻=Nitrate

Adegbehingbe et al.; Biotechnol. J. Int., vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 16-28, 2023; Article no.BJI.104302



Fig. 2. Ethanol Produced by Saccharomyces cerevisiae isolates from different wine sources



Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains







Fig. 4. Volume of ethanol produced by *S. cerevisiae* strains developed through chemical mutagens

Fig. 5 shows the effect of ethanol concentration on the growth rate of yeast strains. At 5% ethanol concentration, all the S. cerevisiae strains exhibited maximum growth. The maximum ethanol tolerance was observed on SCK mutant, 3.9 cell/ml, at 25% ethanol concentration, the growth rate of all the yeast strains declined. The wild-type showed not growth at 25% ethanol concentration, and at 30% ethanol concentration, SUV mutant showed no growth. Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants have high ethanol tolerance than the wild-type in this study. This result supported Kumari and Pramanik [33] findings while investigated effect of ethanol concentration on yeasts mutant strains. They opined that mutagenesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae improved tolerance of S. cerevisiae to ethanol. Therefore in this study, with increases in ethanol concentration above 20% the growth of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in wild-type stopped, while the mutant strains SCD and SCK still grow fairly. Ethanol is an inhibitor of yeast growth at relatively low concentrations, inhibit cell division, decreasing cell volume and specific growth rate, while high ethanol concentrations reduce cell vitality and increase cell death [34].

The effect of temperature on ethanol production by S. cerevisiae strains were shown on Fig. 6 below. The wild-type and mutants strains showed maximum ethanol production at 35° C. The highest ethanol production was observed in SCK (11.2g/ml). The ethanol production by all *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains decreased after 35° C. Lowest ethanol production was observed in wild-type (2.0g/ml) at 45° C Optimum temperature for ethanol production for wild-type and the mutant strains, SUV, SCD and SCK in this study was 35°C. Similar temperature was reported by many researchers while investigating effect of Temperature on ethanol production in yeast [35,19,24]. Temperature plays major role in the ethanol production from the yeast, temperatures below or above 35°C affected ethanol production in this study. Although the thermotolerant mutant SCD and SCK still produced appreciable amount of ethanol at 45°C, still below optimum production capacity.

Fig. 7 shows the effect of pH on ethanol production by S. cerevisiae strains. The optimum pH for the ethanol production by all the yeast strains was pH 6.0. The volume of ethanol produced at this pH by mutant SCK and SCD were 11.2 g/ml and 9.5g/ml respectively. At pH below and above 6.0, ethanol production were decreased for all yeast strains. All strains (wild-type and mutants), showed maximum ethanol production at pH 6.0. Thus, increasing or decreasing in pH, will either cause increase or decrease in the concentration ethanol produced. Saccharomyces of the cerevisiae strains grow better at optimum pН and temperature. The optimum pН range for ethanol production from this study is 6.0-6.5. The enzymes involved in catalysing fermentable sugar into ethanol are able to function optimally at lower pH, because they are more active at acidic medium [14,36,44].



Fig. 5. Effect of % ethanol concentration on growth of Saccharomyces cerevisiae at OD 600nm KEYS: SUV means S. cerevisiae ultra-violet strain SCD means S. cerevisiae dithiothreitol strain SCK means S. cerevisiae ketoconazole strain





Fig. 6. Effect of temperature on ethanol produced by the wild-type and the three selected mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

KEYS: SUV means S. cerevisiae ultra-violet strain SCD means S. cerevisiae dithiothreitol strain SCK means S. cerevisiae ketoconazole strain



Fig. 7. Effect of pH on ethanol produced by the wild-type and the three selected mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae

KEYS: SUV means S. cerevisiae ultra-violet strain SCD means S. cerevisiae dithiothreitol strain SCK means S. cerevisiae ketoconazole strain

4. CONCLUSION

In this research, the use of improved strains *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* gives a better yield as there was significance difference between

ethanol produced by wild-type and, the improved strains. The result of this study can be of a better application in the large production of biofuel from bagasse which is renewable and highly abundant. It saves costs by recycling wastes, and it also helps to alleviate environmental problem such as an excessive release of greenhouse gases from combustion of non-renewable fossil fuel. Pretreatment can enhance the release of fermentable sugar for bioethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass. Also mutagenesis techniques such as Ultra-violet radiation and some chemical mutagens can be used to improve *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in bioethanol production. Furthermore, the optimum temperature and pH for bioethanol production in *S. cerevisiae* is 35°C and 6.0 respectively.

Findings in this research strongly proved that improved strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* can substantially increased the volumes of bioethanol production from bagasse, by this, diminished the cost of the production process.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

The result of this research work can be applied in the large-scale production of bioethanol from bagasse. This study recommended that using random mutation technique could be resulted in a better and faster for having overproducer strains in Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Abiodun O. Biofuel opportunity and development of renewable energies markets in Africa: A paper presented during the biofuel market Africa 2007 conference. Cape Town, South Africa. 2007;10-5.
- International Energy Agency. Summary World Energy Outlook 2022; 2022 [cited on Jul 20, 2023].
 - Available:http://www.iea.org
- Abila N. Biofuels adaption in Nigeria: A preliminary review of feedstock and fuel production potential. Finland: Department of Industrial Management University of Vaasa. 2010;1-11.
- 4. Ho DP, Ngo HH, Guo W. A mini review on renewable sources for biofuel. Bioresour Technol. 2014;169:742-9.
- 5. Jambo SA, Abdulla R, Mohd Azhar SH, Marbawi H, Gansau JA, Ravindra P. A review on third generation bioethanol

feedstock. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2016;65:756-69.

- Pan W, Xi L. Advances in 2nd generation of bioethanol production. A volune in Woodhead Publishing Series in Energy. 2021;1-7.
- Ado SD, Kachalla GU, Tijjani MB, Aliyu MS. Ethanol production from corn cobs by co-cultures of Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Aspergillus niger. Bayero J Pure App Sci. 2009;2(2):99-101.
- Singh A, Pant D, Korres NE, Nizami AS, Prasad S, Murphy JD. Key issues in life cycle assessment of ethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass: Challenges and perspectives. Bioresour Technol. 2010;101(13):5003-12.
- Melekwe EI, Lateef SA, Rowland G, Ekpeyong E. Bioethanol production potentials of corn cob, waste, office paper and leaf of Thaumatococcus daniellii. Bayero J Appl Sci Technol. 2016;17(4):1-10.
- 10. Budzianowski MW. High-value, low-volume bioproducts coupled to bioenergies with potential to enhance business development of sustainable bio- refineries. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2017;70:799-804.
- 11. Zhao X, Zhang L, Liu D. Biomass recalcitrance. Part I: The chemical compositions and physical structures affecting the enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulose. Biofuels Bioprod Bioref. 2012;6(4):465-82.
- 12. Adegbehingbe KT, Faparusi F, Adeleke BS. Bioethanol production from Cassava peels inoculated with Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Zymomonas mobilis. Adv Microbiol. 2021;21(9):58-67.
- Achinas S, Euverink GJW. Consolidated briefing of biochemical ethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass. Electron J Biotechnol. 2016;23:44-53.
- 14. Okafor N. Modern industrial microbiology and biotechnology. Enfield: Science Publishers. 2007;126-48.
- Shinde SA, Chavhan SA, Sapkal SB, Shrikhade VN. Recombinant DNA technology and its application [review]. Int J Medipharm Res. 2018;04(2):77-80.
- Ballesteros I, Ballesteros M, Manzanares P. Dilute sulfuric acid pretreatment of Carson for ethanol production. J Biochem Eng. 2008;42:84-91.
- 17. Robak K, Balcerek M. Review of second generation bioethanol production from

residual biomass. Food Technol Biotechnol. 2018;56(2):174-87.

- Oyeleke SB, Jibrin NM. Production of bioethanol from guinea corn husk and millet husk. Afr J Microbiol. 2009;3(4):147-52.
- 19. Oyeleke SB, Manga SB. Essential of laboratory practical in microbiology. 1st ed,Tobest. Vol. 2009. Minna, Nigeria. 2008;36-69.
- 20. Adetuwo OJ. Evaluation of the microbiological quality and safety of pupuru and garri on sale at Okitipupa main market in Okitipupa Local Government Area, Ondo, Nigeria. Am J Food Sci Nutr. 2020;2(1):32-44.
- Sawalha H. Laboratory manual of mycology and plant pathogenic fungi. Al-Jamea'yah Bookshop Press. Nablus, Palestine. 2014;1-66.
- 22. Yeast Data Base [cited Jan 10, 2023]. Available:https://theyeasts.org
- Singh J, Sharma R. Kinetic and modeling of ethanol production by wild and mutant S. cerevisiae MTCC 170. Eur J Exp Biol. 2015;5(4):1-6.
- 24. Liu JJ, Ding WT, Zhang GC, Wang JY. Improving ethanol fermentation performance of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in very high-gravity fermentation through chemical mutagenesis and meiotic recombination. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2011;91(4):1239-46.
- 25. Ababio OY. New senior secondary school chemistry. Africana publishers PLC. Nigeria: Onisha. 2018;350-400.
- 26. Ogbeibu AE. Biostatistics: A practical approach to research and data handling. 3rd ed. Benin-City, Nigeria: Mindex Publishing. 2015;17-128.
- Alvira P, Negro MJ, Ballesteros I, González A, Ballesteros M. Steam explosion for wheat straw pretreatment for sugars production. Bioethanol. 2016;2(1):66-75.
- 28. Sarris D, Papanikolaou S. Biotechnological production of ethanol: Biochemistry, processes and technologies. Eng Life Sci. 2016;16(4):307-29.
- 29. Gunasekaran P, Chandra KR. Ethanol fermentation technology: M. mobilis. Madurai, India: Madura Kamary University. 2007;1-22.
- Crook N, Alper HS. Classical strain improvement. In: Patnaik R, editor. Engineering complex phenotypes in

industrial strains. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 2012;1-33.

- Hashimoto S, Ogura M, Aritomi K, Hoshida H, Nishizawa Y, Akada R. Isolation of auxotrophic mutants of diploid industrial yeast strains after UV mutagenesis. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2005;71(1):312-9.
- 32. Hockberger PE. A history of ultraviolet photobiology for humans, animals and microorganisms. Photochem Photobiol. 2002;76(6):561-79.
- Kumari R, Pramanik K. Improvement of multiple stress tolerance in yeast strain by sequential mutagenesis for enhanced bioethanol production. J Biosci Bioeng. 2012;114(6):622-9.
- 34. Stanley D, Bandara A, Fraser S, Chambers PJ, Stanley GA. The ethanol stress response and ethanol tolerance of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Appl Microbiol. 2010;109(1):13-24.
- Khattak WA, UI-Islam M, Ullah MW, Yu B, Khan S, Park JK. Yeast cell-free enzyme system for bio-ethanol production at elevated temperatures. Process Biochem. 2014;49(3):357-64.
- Buijs NA, Siewers V, Nielsen J. Advanced biofuel production by the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2013;17(3):480-8.
- Almeida P, Barbosa R, Zalar P, Imanishi Y, Shimizu K, Turchetti B et al. A population genomics insight into the Mediterranean origins of wine yeast domestication. Mol Ecol. 2015;24(21):5412-27.
- Ashenafi M. A review on the microbiology of indigenous fermented foods and beverages of Ethiopia. Ethiop J Biol Sci. 2008;5:189-245.
- Hardison R. Mutations and mutagens. PA: The Pennsylvania State University Press. 2021;1-60.
- 40. Kim S, Dale BE. Global potential bioethanol production from wasted crops and crops residues. Biomass Bioenergy. 2004;26(4):361-75.
- 41. Rabbani G. Involvement of mutagens in the production of bioethanol by Saccharomyces cerevisiae: A review. J Environ Anal Chem. 2018;05(3):1-4.
- 42. Singh S, Chakravarty I, Kundu S. Mathematical modelling of bioethanol production from algal starch hydrolysate by Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand). 2017;63(6):83-7.
- 43. Udom N, Chansongkrow P, Charoensawan V, Auesukaree C. Coordination of the

Adegbehingbe et al.; Biotechnol. J. Int., vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 16-28, 2023; Article no.BJI.104302

cell wall integrity and high-osmolarity glycerol pathways in response to ethanol stress in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2019;85(15): 21-56. 44. Zhang W, Geng A. Improved ethanol production by a xylose-fermenting recombinant yeast strain constructed through a modified genome shuffling method. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2012;5(1):46.

© 2023 Adegbehingbe et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104302