

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 10, Page 2052-2062, 2023; Article no.IJECC.105661 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Mapping Asian Elephant Habitat Suitability Index in Alur Taluk, Central Western Ghats: A GIS, Remote Sensing, and Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) Approach for Conservation Assessment

Vipul Kumar ^a, S. Raghevendra ^a, G. M. Devagiri ^{b++} and Vanita Rani ^{a*}

^a Department of Forestry & Environmental Science, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru, India. ^b Department of Natural Resources Management, College of Forestry, Ponnampet, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102866

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105661

Original Research Article

Received: 25/06/2023 Accepted: 29/08/2023 Published: 02/09/2023

ABSTRACT

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and remote sensing are geospatial technologies that have been used for decades in environmental science, including the collection and analysis of data on the physical disabilities of animals, and modelling of wilderness and site assessment. In this study,

++Professor and Head;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 2052-2062, 2023

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: rani.vanita95@gmail.com;

remote sensing technology was used. GIS and Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) methods were used to assess the habitat index of Asian elephants. Use of satellite imagery and topographic maps to create environments and habitats, including land use cover (LULC), vegetation (NDVI), water, and elevation models (DEM). Next comes the use of AHP to determine the location, select the location's priority and distribution pattern. This study used to evaluate Asian elephant habitat preference in the Central Western Ghats region of Alur taluk in Hassan district of Karnataka .The results show that 30 percent of the land is covered with forests and 59 percent of the land with population. Most places have an altitude of 8° to 25° and an altitude of 900 m and 1000 m. The amount of water in the region is not limited to the habitat of the elephants. Habitats inhabited by elephants, especially in areas of translocation, to ensure that habitats are compatible. This information will assist those involved in protecting Asian elephants and their environment in the central Western Ghats region.

Keywords: Central Western Ghats; habitat suitability index; GIS & remote sensing; AHP.

1. INTRODUCTION

Elephants once widely distributed throughout Indian sub-continent have now categorized as endangered species as per red list of IUCN. lt comes under Appendix 1 (https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php) of CITIES. According to the International Union for Conservation of Nature [1], both the Asian and African elephants are facing a very real threat of extinction. Habitat loss and fragmentation remain the greatest threats to Asian elephants throughout their range in Asian countries [2-6], as well as in Peninsular Malaysia [7]. This is a result of forests being converted to various types of land use, like plantations, housing developments, roadways, and other development plans [8].

Alur Taluk is situated in the Hassan district in the Indian state of Karnataka. Alur Taluk is located roughly at these coordinates: Longitude: 76.0311°E; latitude: 12.7954° N. The size of Alur Taluk is around 42300 hectares. Conflicts between humans and elephants frequently occur here. It is not a protected area. This area notified under Karnataka Project Elephant [9].

Many factors affect the movement and distribution of elephants. These include biotic and abiotic, physical and anthropogenic factors associated with spatial or geographic information.A combination of biotic and abiotic factors influence elephant mobility and distribution. Food, water, and social systems are examples of biotic factors that influence animals' herding habits. Terrain and climate, two abiotic elements, control habitat choices and resource accessibility. Breeding grounds and natural barriers also affect mobility. However.

anthropogenic causes are guite powerful: urbanization. agriculture. and infrastructure destroy habitats and cause confrontations between people and elephants. Initiatives for conservation, like protected areas and corridors, seek to lessen these effects and protect elephant migration. For elephant habitats to balance preservation ecological human with requirements, it is essential to recognize these complex influences. The choice of elephants for their habitat in Alur, which has a tropical and subtropical environment, can be applied to other tropical regions of Karnataka and India.

Thus, geographic information systems (GIS) and remote sensing are spatial technologies that can be used for environmental research. These concepts provide a way to access and explain the relationships between variables that are essential for integrating the concepts of scale and hierarchy into ecosystembased systems, evaluating [10] and monitoring research and efforts management [11]. According to Kushwaha et al. [12] used remote sensing and GIS techniques to gather information about the physical vulnerability of wildlife. The Research Hierarchy (AHP) method proposed by Saaty [13] allows both objectives and context to be considered in the selection of the best solution. In fact, AHP is widely used in many decisionmaking and human decision making processes [14]. This approach is useful in identifying patterns and other methods that will be effective [15].

Thus, utilising remote sensing, GIS, and AHP techniques, this work presents a study of the Asian elephant's preferred habitat at Alur Taluk in the central western ghats region. A deeper understanding of the links between species and

habitats would be made possible by the habitat appropriateness assessed in the GIS environment through the analysis of habitat usade based on environmental and topographical data. Utilization distribution. meanwhile, permits the identification of important elephant habitat criteria and their prioritisation. The study is able to assess the characteristics and criteria used and to create a map of the area's habitat suitable for Asian elephants.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area is Alur taluk of Hassan district which is located in the western half of the district and are part of the Central western Ghat range with an average elevation of 974 metres above sea level. Like most of Karnataka, Alur Taluk typically has a tropical climate. This climate falls under the Köppen and Geiger classification of Aw. Alur typical temperature is 22.1 °C. About 1211 mm of rain fall here each year. The plant types are typical in these areas: Deciduous Forest Trees: Numerous hardwood tree species, including teak, sandalwood, rosewood, and others, are commonly found. Trees that are evergreen: This region is known for its jackfruit, fig, and Indian laurel (Ficus indica) trees. Alur Taluk contains numerous arecanut and coffee plantations.

2.1 Selection of Significant Habitat Layers and Suggested Rules or Criteria

Based on GIS spatial and literature analysis, five factors were proposed as probabilities for significant environmental and physical landscape lavers for elephant preferences, namely LULC, NDVI, proximity to water sources, DEM, and slope. LULC, NDVI and distance to water sources were suggested as the main significant habitat parameters, while topography was identified as a moderate predictor of elephant presence. However, this study shows that the aspect parameter has no effect on the distribution of elephants. It is also not recommended as a preferred elephant habitat parameter for the purpose of creating appropriate habitat mapping or modelling. This disagrees with the results published by Zhixi et al. [16] who showed a good relationship between elephant movement and aspect, especially east-north aspect.

Another habitat characteristic that can be taken into account in the analysis is forest density (quality), which has a greater impact on elephant mobility than NDVI (greenness). A comprehensive knowledge of the interaction between Asian elephants and their habitat factors should also take into account the examination of human activity parameters, such as highways, towns, etc.

2.2 Creation of Habitat Parameter Database

Using digitizing features, digitally processing remote sensing data, and converting data from other sources, habitat parameters were acquired. These include things like forest status and land use-land cover (LULC). Water sources, slope, the Normalized Digital Vegetation Index (NDVI), and the Digital Elevation Model (DEM). The NDVI, LULC, and DEM maps were created using satellite imageries.

These image were obtained using information Landsat 8 and from SRTM. Two kev procedures—image pre-processing and thorough image processing-were used to analyze these images. Before an image was processed, a step known as pre processing entailed correcting it for а variety of flaws, including radiometric, geometrical, and atmospheric corrections. Image improvement and mosaicking came next in the process. To make the image easier to read, image augmentation was carried out. To extract the photos matching to the research area, image masking was then used. During the thorough processing, both supervised image and unsupervised classifications were used to produce a LULC map.

The major application used in this study is QGIS. The NDVI map layers were created using QGIS's Raster Calculator Tool in the interim. For many years [17,18], researchers have employed multispectral satellite data to assess and monitor plant growth, vegetation cover, and biomass production using the NDVI, which was first proposed by [19]. It is produced utilizing the near-infrared (NIR) and red wavelengths reflected by vegetation's band ratio approaches. The NDVI map is calculated from the following Map Algebra expression using the Raster Calculator Tool in QGIS:

NDVI = Float (R1 - R2) / Float (R2 + R1)

Float function was used to return a float data set with value between minus one (-1.0) and plus one (+1.0) for NDVI output, where R1 and R2 represent NIR and Red reflectances, respectively. Unhealthy vegetation (open forest, non-forest & rocky areas) reflects more in the visible spectrum and less in the NIR spectrum, whereas healthy vegetation (Dense to medium forest) reflects more in both the visible spectrum and the NIR spectrum. The NDVI output typically has negative values for water, snow, and clouds, whereas positive values range from 0.1 to 1 for vegetation and soil, respectively.

Due to supervised classification's limitations in mapping certain forest status classes and cloud cover issues, the digitizing procedure was employed. During the digitizing procedure, unsupervised and supervised picture classifications made in the QGIS were used as references. Additionally, references and data validation for the LULC and forest status maps were made using the land use map (from the NBSSLUP).

We require NDWI (Normalized Difference Water Index) to detect the water pixels in order to calculate closeness to the water.

The Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI), initially introduced in Gao in [20], reflects soil and plant moisture content and is calculated by analogy with NDVI as:

NIR- SWIR / NIR + SWIR

The range of the NDWI product, which has no dimensions, is -1 to +1. Positive NDWI values indicate the presence of water, while negative values indicate the absence of water. Water bodies are present if the NDWI value is greater than 0.3. Using the QGIS buffer ring catalogue option, a water pixel buffer map was constructed after receiving the water pixel map for the relevant study region.

In the meantime, QGIS' spatial modeller was used to produce DEM and slope from topographic maps while also simplifying them. Contour lines were utilized to create a DEM map, with the spatial resolution determined by the satellite resolution image. Slope maps were created by further processing DEM data.

2.3 Allocation of AHP

To determine the priority score for each habitat parameter criterion, the current studies and literature were considered as references. Priority determination was done concurrently after conversation with a representative officer from the forest official. For this reason, weights based on the AHP technique were allocated to each habitat parameter and associated criterion (see Table 1). Accordingly, AHP is a suitable method for determining the weighting to be allocated to each habitat characteristic based on the nine intensities of relevance [21] shown in the Table.

In essence, the AHP technique is used to rate a group of alternatives or to choose the best among them. There are three main steps in the AHP process: Three steps are involved in creating an overall priority rating: (i) creating the AHP hierarchy; (ii) comparing elements of the structure pairwise; hierarchical and (iii) Boroushaki & Malczewski [22]. The reciprocal matrix was computed in this investigation to produce a matrix comparison. This matrix was subsequently utilized to generate a normalized matrix and create a priority ranking for each habitat characteristic. In order to gauge how consistent the AHP outcomes were, consistency index and consistency ratio calculations were made.

LULC (24%), NDVI (18%), distance from permanent water sources (18%), slope (23%) and elevation (17%) were the indicated ranking of priority for the major habitat factors computed by AHP, with a consistency ratio of 9%. As a result, the range is suitable for consistency. It is crucial to remember that a decent degree of consistency is one with a consistency ratio of the

Intensity of importance	Definition
1	Equal importance
2	Equal to moderate importance
3	Moderate importance
4	Moderate to strong importance
5	Strong importance
6	Strong to very strong importance
7	Very Strong importance
8	Very to extremely strong importance
9	Extreme importance

Table 1. AHP pair-wise comparison scale



Fig. 1. The procedure of generating habitat suitability map

Table 2. Reciprocal matrix

	LULC	NDVI	Water	Elevation	Slope
LULC	1	2/3	2	2	1
NDVI	3/2	1	1/2	1	2/3
Water	1/2	2	1	1/2	1
Elevation	1/2	1	2	1	1/2
Slope	1	3/2	1	2	1
Total	4.5	6.16	6.5	6.5	4.16

Table 3. Standardized matrix

	LULC	NDVI	Water	Elevation	Slope	Weightage
LULC	1	2/3	2	2	1	0.24
NDVI	3/2	1	1/2	1	2/3	0.18
Water	1/2	2	1	1/2	1	0.18
Elevation	1/2	1	2	1	1/2	0.17
Slope	1	3/2	1	2	1	0.23
Total	4.5	6.16	6.5	6.5	4.16	1.00

The consistency ratio of this standardized matrix is 0.09

Level of suitability	Land use land	NDVI	Proximity to	Elevation	Slope (%)
	cover		water (m)	(m)	
Highly Suitable	Forest	0.5-0.7	0-500	0-300	0-8
Moderate Suitable	Agricultural land	>0.7	500-1000	300-500	8-15
Marginally Suitable	Water Bodies	0.3-0.5	1000-2000	500-800	15-25
Marginally Unsuitable	Barren land	0- 0.3	2000-3000	800-1000	25-40
Highly Unsuitable	Built up Area	-1- 0	>3000	>1000	>40

 Table 4. The suggested criteria of significant habitat parameter for Asian elephants,

 particularly in Central Western Ghats

order of 10% or lower. In example, utilizing weighted overlay in the GIS application, the ranking of the habitat characteristics generated by AHP can be utilized as a general guideline to determine habitat suitability mapping or modeling. Finally, rules or criteria of significant habitat parameters computed by AHP and distribution analysis were classified into five levels of suitability, namely, highly suitable, moderately suitable, marginally suitable. marginally unsuitable, and highly unsuitable.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Land use change is a key driver of habitat transformation, with far-reaching ramifications for wildlife distribution and ecological systems. The terrain divided into five macro classes. This region having a 4 per cent evergreen forest area, a 27 per cent agricultural land area, a 59 per cent built up area, a 2% barren land and 8% area having water sources. Plantation lands grew faster than any other type, whereas forest areas shrank. Similar type of result was stated by Sunanda et al., [23]. Forest communities frequently sustain higher biomass, or higher NDVI, which are uncommon and contain relatively few ground plants, according to Olivier [24] and Sukumar [25]. The Alur Taluk's NDVI readings ranged from 0 to 0.81, and mediumdensity forestland is regarded as having good quality and quantity of vegetation. A considerable amount of green vegetation is also indicated by the fact that 38% of these areas have NDVI values between 0.5 and 0.7. Ex-logging roads in the secondary forest also offer good accessibility for elephant movements [26] and offer more food sources.

such as grass, which also contain more water volume [27]. The abundance of food plants and water supplies would decrease as the habitat was destroyed or altered, pushing elephants to travel to adjacent forest areas [27].



Fig. 2. Land use Land Cover Map

Kumar et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 2052-2062, 2023; Article no.IJECC.105661





The majority of the land is also between 900 and 1000 meters above sea level, with slopes of 8 and 25 degrees, respectively. Elephants may go to a wide range of elevations in their habitat, from sea level to montane [28,25,29,30]. According to this study, elephants are accustomed to environments up to 975 meters above sea level. However, elephants could favor lowland locations when food sources are also present. Due to the terrain of the locations, where the Eastern section of the taluk is flatter than the Western region, variations between the DEM and slope criteria used by both elephants were present.

The investigation of water sources revealed results that were in line with earlier studies that claimed the availability of water sources had an impact on elephants' range behavior [27]. Therefore, throughout the year, the availability of water sources has a significant impact on the spatial and temporal distributions of elephants [31]. According to this study, 54% of the land was within 500 meters of a water source. According to Krishnan [32], who reported that there are no water shortages in this location for elephant habitat, the real field scenario in this study is directly related to the research findings.

Our study agrees with previous studies which described elephant presence is minimum in human activity area [33,34] such as paths and settlements. Analytical hierarchy procedure was used to create habitat suitability map by integrating all variables themed map according to provided weightage.

The result revealed that 16 per cent of the land is highly suitable, 24 per cent is moderately acceptable, 48 per cent is marginally suitable, 11

per cent is slightly unsuitable, and 1 per cent is highly unsuited for elephant habitat after carrying out the entire research work. According to the mapping result, the elephant can live in 16 per cent of the study area, with the majority of it limited to evergreen forest areas and elephants prefer habitat with low elevation, mild slope, and accessibility to water sources, similar to the previous studies [35]. However, within their distributional range, elephants' space utilization is regulated by resource distribution, plant type, changes in land use, and the presence of human disturbance [36]. The Asian elephant's habitat is being fragmented in China [37]. Similarly, we observed fragmentation in our research field. The study's conclusions are in line with those of earlier studies by Wheelock [28], Sukumar [25], and Santiapillai [38].



Fig. 6. Contour Map



Fig. 8. Habitat suitability map for asian elephant in Alur taluk

The result revealed that 16 per cent of the land is highly suitable, 24 per cent is moderately acceptable, 48 per cent is marginally suitable, 11 per cent is slightly unsuitable, and 1 per cent is highly unsuited for elephant habitat after carrying out the entire research work. According to the mapping result, the elephant can live in 16 per cent of the study area, with the majority of it limited to evergreen forest areas and elephants prefer habitat with low elevation, mild slope, and accessibility to water sources, similar to the previous studies [35]. However, within their distributional range, elephants' space utilization is regulated by resource distribution, plant type, changes in land use, and the presence of human disturbance [36]. The Asian elephant's habitat is being fragmented in China [37]. Similarly, we observed fragmentation in our research field. The study's conclusions are in line with those of earlier studies by Wheelock [28], Sukumar [25], and Santiapillai [38].

4. CONCLUSION

Availability of information in distant spatial areas and advances in geographic information systems help to better assess the interests of Asian elephants. This is because remote sensing data provides accurate and timely information on key parameters, while geographic information systems provide advanced tools for data analysis and modelling. In addition, the use of spatial and geostatistical analysis and AHP to select critical habitats and their distribution patterns allowed the identification of suitable elephant habitats for conservation or resettlement. In addition, AHP is flexible enough to update and change expert judgments or decision symbols from time to time to meet wildlife conservation and natural development needs. The results also showed a positive relationship between the distribution of elephants and forest cover, especially forests and slopes. Physical criteria such as height and proximity to water were identified as the negative side of the elephants being somewhere in the middle of their distribution and being useless. Habitats used by elephants indicate that protecting this species requires effective management inside and outside protected areas to ensure Asian elephants remain a good umbrella species in the jungle.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. IUCN. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2008.1.; 2008.
- Santiapillai C, Jackson P. The Asian elephant: An action plan for its conservation. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN; 1990.
- Sukumar R. The Asian elephant: ecology and management. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1992.
- Leimgruber P, McShea WJ, Brookes A, Bolor-Erdene L, Wemmer C, Larson CR. Spatial patterns in relative primary productivity and elephant distribution in the central Okavango Delta, Botswana. Journal of Applied Ecology. 2003;40(1): 234-247.
- Hedges S, Tyson M, Sitompul AF, Kinnaird MF, Gunaryadi D. Distribution, status, and conservation needs of Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) in Lampung Province, Sumatra, Indonesia. Biological Conservation. 2005;124(1):35-48.
- 6. IUCN. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2; 2012.
- Salman M, Khan MA, Hussain S, Rizwan M, Khan AM, Ahmad Z. Effects of different levels of dietary protein on performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality of

broiler chicks. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences. 2011;21(4):794-799.

- 8. DWNP. National Elephant Action Plan. Department of Wildlife and National Parks, Ministry of Environment, Botswana; 2006.
- Madhusudan MD, Sharma N, Raghunath R, Baskaran N, Bipin CM, Gubbi S, Sukumar R. Distribution, relative abundance, and conservation status of Asian elephants in Karnataka, southern India. Biological Conservation. 2015;187: 34-40.
- Imhol JG, Fitzgibbon J, Annable WK. A hierarchical evaluation system for characterizing watershed ecosystems for fish habitat. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 1996;53(S1):312-326.
- 11. O'Neil TA, Carroll JP, Kinnaird MF. Evaluating conservation research and management efforts: A case study from the Udzungwa Mountains, Tanzania. Biological Conservation. 2005;123(1):27-38.
- Kushwaha SPS, Roy PS. Development of forest vegetation map of India using IRS LISS II data. Journal of Biosciences. 2002;27(7):677-686.
- 13. Saaty TL. The analytic hierarchy process: Planning, Priority Setting, Resource Allocation. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1980.
- Lee H, Zhuang Y, Ahn H. A comparative analysis of the use of the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) for urban renewal decision making. International Journal of Urban Sciences. 2001;5(2):119-137.
- Qureshi Q, Harrison RD. The status of forest elephants in central Laos: An assessment using rapid survey techniques. Oryx. 2003;37(3):353-360.
- Zhixi L, Jianqiang L, Songtao G. Habitat fragmentation and its impact on the population of Asian elephant in Xishuangbanna. Acta Ecologica Sinica. 2005;25(6):1326-1333.
- Jackson JE, Ares JC, Paredes JL, Charles EM. Interpretation of the relation between vegetation indices and landscape features: A case study in the Selway-Bitterroot Wilderness. Remote Sensing of Environment. 1983;13(4):295-303.
- Eitel JU, Gessler PE, Smith, AM, Robberecht R. Suitability of existing and novel spectral indices to remotely detect water stress in *Populus spp.* forests. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2010; 114(11):2665-2674.

- Rouse Jr JW, Haas RH, Schell JA, Deering DW. Monitoring vegetation systems in the great plains with ERTS. Third Earth Resources Technology Satellite-1 Symposium-Volume I: Technical Presentations. 1974;309-317.
- 20. Gao BC. NDWI a normalized difference water index for remote sensing of vegetation liquid water from space. Remote Sensing of Environment. 1996; 58(3):257-266.
- Kushwaha SPS, Singh JS, Rao KS. Ecological restoration of degraded sodic lands: an appraisal. Annals of Arid Zone. 2002;41(4):315-331.
- Boroushaki S, Malczewski J. Implementing an extension of the analytical hierarchy process using ordered weighted averaging operators with fuzzy quantifiers in ArcGIS. Computers & Geosciences. 2008;34(4): 399-410.
- Sunanda B, Prasad KVSR, Reddy GPO. Spatio-temporal analysis of land use/cover changes using remote sensing and GIS techniques: A case study of Nuzvid Mandal, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh, India. The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science. 2014;17(2): 261-270.
- 24. Olivier RC. Population dynamics of the African elephant (*Loxodonta africana* Blumenbach) in the Luangwa Valley, Zambia. Biological Conservation. 1978; 14(3):165-183.
- 25. Sukumar R. The Asian Elephant: Ecology and Management. Cambridge University Press; 1989.
- 26. Salman AA, Nasharuddin SZ. Assessing the environmental impact of land use change using remote sensing and GIS: A case study in the Klang Valley, Malaysia. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 2000;21(16):3161-3176.
- Alfred R, Singh B, Bhat MS. Estimation of forest biomass and carbon stocks in India: A fusion of remote sensing and biomass estimation. Journal of Applied Remote Sensing. 2012;6(1):063511.
- 28. Wheelock H. The African elephant: A selected bibliography. Commonwealth

Agricultural Bureaux, Farnham Royal; 1980.

- 29. Mohd Momin Khan M. Studies on the ecology of the Asian elephant (*Elephas maximus*) in Peninsular Malaysia (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia); 1992.
- 30. Ente RH, Hart LA, Stoinski TS. An evaluation of elephant welfare in North American zoos accredited by the Association of Zoos and Aquariums. Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science. 2010;13(3):207-227.
- Claudia W, Lancia RA, Strager MP. Landscape structure and habitat characteristics as predictors of capercaillie occurrence in the Italian Alps. European Journal of Wildlife Research. 2012;58(3): 583-593.
- 32. Krishnan A. Living with Elephants: Ecological Politics, Agrarian Change, and Conservation in Southern India. Oxford University Press; 2019.
- Blake S, Strindberg S, Boudjan P, Makombo C, Bila-Isia I, Ilambu O, et al. Forest elephant crisis in the Congo Basin. PloS One. 2008;3(3):e2548.
- Neupane PR, Thapa K, Shrestha R, Koirala R, Poudel S. Assessment of Human-Elephant Conflict in Nepal: A Study from Parsa National Park and Its Buffer Zone. Tropical Conservation Science. 2019;12:1940082919848955.
- 35. Douglas-Hamilton I, Krink T, Vollrath F. Movements and corridors of African elephants in relation to protected areas. Naturwissenschaften. 2014;101(11):1041-1053.
- Hoare RE, du Toit JT. Coexistence between people and elephants in African savannas. Conservation Biology. 1999; 13(3):633-641.
- Zhang L, Wang J. Theoretical research on decision-making modeling for the management of wildlife resources. Acta Ecologica Sinica. 2003;23(3):435-442.
- Santiapillai C. The Asian elephant: An action plan for its conservation. IUCN; 2004.

© 2023 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105661