

Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting

Volume 24, Issue 10, Page 379-394, 2024; Article no.AJEBA.125203 ISSN: 2456-639X

Impact of Debt Composition and Accounting Conservatism on Financial Distress in Emerging Markets

Rodgers Kimutai Maiyo ^{a*}, Josephat Cheboi ^a and Patrick Limo ^a

^a Moi University, Kenya.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2024/v24i101535

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/125203

Original Research Article

Received: 13/08/2024 Accepted: 15/10/2024 Published: 23/10/2024

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study investigates the impact of debt composition, specifically short-term and long-term debt, on corporate financial distress, focusing on the moderating role of accounting conservatism. It aims to understand how firms in emerging markets, particularly Kenya, can achieve financial stability through prudent debt structuring and conservative accounting practices.

Study Design: The research adopted an explanatory research design.

Place and Duration of Study: The study utilized financial data from firms listed on the Kenyan Securities Exchange between 2008 and 2021.

Methodology: The study analyzed a sample of 45 firms trading at the Nairobi Stock Exchange over 14 years from 2008 to 2021. The study used panel logistic regression, a statistical method for analyzing data with multiple observations over time, to test its hypotheses.

Cite as: Maiyo, Rodgers Kimutai, Josephat Cheboi, and Patrick Limo. 2024. "Impact of Debt Composition and Accounting Conservatism on Financial Distress in Emerging Markets". Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting 24 (10):379-94. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2024/v24i101535.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: rodgers.maiyo@yahoo.com;

Results: The results show that both short-term and long-term debt increase the likelihood of financial distress, with accounting conservatism further intensifying the risk for firms heavily reliant on short-term debt while mitigating it for those with long-term debt. This suggests that conservative accounting practices, which promote early recognition of losses, may exacerbate financial distress for firms heavily reliant on short-term debt. In contrast, for long-term debt, accounting conservatism has a mitigating effect helping firms manage their debt obligations more effectively over time. This highlights a nuanced role of accounting conservatism, where it increases the pressure on firms with short-term debt while providing stability for those with long-term obligations.

Conclusion: The findings suggest that corporate managers in emerging markets should carefully balance short- and long-term debt to minimize financial distress risks. Additionally, adopting conservative accounting practices can serve as a safeguard, providing early warning signals for financial trouble and enhancing corporate stability. Managers should therefore carefully balance short-term and long-term debt to reduce financial distress while adopting conservative accounting practices to enhance financial transparency and risk management. Policymakers can support firms by promoting responsible debt management and offering incentives for conservative financial reporting to strengthen overall financial stability.

Keywords: Accounting conservatism; long-term debt; short-term debt; financial distress.

1. INTRODUCTION

Corporate financial distress is increasingly worldwide. as businesses prevalent face mounting challenges in fulfilling their financial obligations. Defaults on debt repayments, restructuring efforts, and shrinking asset bases have become common occurrences in both developed and emerging economies [1]. Research shows that capital structure decisions are the main reasons why companies face financial distress. In addition, research has also indicated that accounting conservatism acts as a protective mechanism for improving the financial sustainability of firms [2]. Managing capital structure mix, particularly debt finance has become a central focus for firms seeking to maintain stability and avoid financial distress.

Empirical research reveals that debt composition which is typically classified into short-term and long-term debt. Short-term debt, which refers to obligations due within a year, often provides liquidity for immediate needs but can increase financial pressure if not managed prudently, [3]. On the other hand, long-term debt involves obligations due after more than a year, offering a longer horizon for repayment but posing risks if a firm's cash flow cannot sustain the repayment over the long term, [4]. The relative proportions of short- and long-term debt in a company's capital structure can significantly influence its financial stability.

While the relationship between debt composition and corporate financial stability has been studied in the context of both developed and emerging markets, moderating role of accounting conservatism on this relationship remain underexplored. Accounting conservatism, which encourages the early recognition of potential losses and liabilities, can help mitigate the risks associated with debt financing by ensuring that firms recognize financial distress at an earlier stage, allowing for proactive management, [5]. In emerging markets, where access to long-term financing is often limited, and short-term debt reliance is higher, accounting conservatism can be especially valuable in helping firms manage debt portfolios and maintain corporate stability.

This study seeks to examine the effects of debt composition specifically, short-term and longterm debt—on corporate financial stability, with a particular focus on the moderating role of accounting conservatism in this relationship. The research aims to provide insights into how debt structuring and accounting practices interact to influence corporate resilience, especially in the context of emerging markets such as Kenya.

Financial distress occurs when a company struggles to generate enough cash flow to meet its debt obligations. This inability to pay creditors, suppliers, and other stakeholders often signals a broader liquidity issue that can, if unaddressed, escalate into bankruptcy or insolvency [6, 7]. The reliance on debt as a primary source of financing is common for corporations, but the composition of this debt whether it is short- or long-term plays a crucial role in determining the level of financial risk a Firm faces.

Short-term debt, while often necessary for maintaining liquidity, can become a source of financial strain if a firm is unable to roll over its debt or generate sufficient cash flow to meet repayment obligations. Firms with high levels of short-term debt may find themselves under constant pressure to secure new financing or boost revenues, leading to heightened vulnerability during periods economic of instability [3]. Furthermore, the inability to manage short-term debt effectively can result in liquidity crises, which may quickly spiral into broader financial distress.

In contrast, long-term debt provides a longer timeline for repayment and can offer more stability, particularly in volatile market environments. However, firms that take on excessive long-term debt without considering their future cash flow prospects may find constrained by debt themselves service obligations that limit their ability to invest in growth opportunities or respond to market changes [4]. The interest and principal repayments on long-term debt can become a heavy burden if the firm's revenue streams do not keep pace with its debt obligations, potentially leading to financial distress over time.

Accounting conservatism is a financial reporting approach that prioritizes the recognition of potential losses and liabilities over gains. By encouraging firms to report expected losses earlier, conservatism reduces the risk of overstating financial health and provides a more realistic view of a firm's financial position [5]. In the context of debt financing, accounting conservatism can act as a safeguard by ensuring that firms recognize financial distress earlier and take corrective action before the situation worsens.

[8] suggest that accounting conservatism improves a firm's ability to manage cash flow and mitigate the risks associated with debt financing. By promoting early loss recognition, conservatism can help firms avoid excessive debt accumulation and reduce the likelihood of financial distress. This is particularly relevant for firms with high levels of short-term debt, where the risk of financial distress is elevated due to the need for constant liquidity management. Accounting conservatism can provide an additional layer of protection by encouraging firms to take a more cautious approach to debt financing, ensuring that potential risks are identified and addressed promptly [5].

In emerging markets, where access to capital is often more constrained, and firms may have fewer options for long-term financing, the role of accounting conservatism becomes even more critical [9]. Firms in these markets are often more reliant on short-term debt, which increases their exposure to financial distress. By adopting conservative accounting practices, firms can better manage the risks associated with shortterm debt and improve their overall financial stability, [10].

Emerging markets such as Kenya face unique challenges in managing corporate debt. Access to long-term financing is often limited, forcing companies to rely more heavily on short-term debt to meet their liquidity needs [1]. This reliance on short-term debt can make firms more vulnerable to financial distress, particularly during periods of economic downturn or market volatility. As a result, firms in emerging markets must pay particular attention to the composition of their debt portfolios to ensure financial stability.

Research has shown that firms with high levels of short-term debt are more susceptible to financial difficulties, especially during periods of economic instability [1]. However, the specific impact of debt composition on corporate financial stability, particularly in the context of emerging markets, remains underexplored. This study seeks to fill that gap by analyzing how the balance between short-term and long-term debt influences financial distress among firms listed on the Kenyan Securities Exchange.

Moreover, the study will examine the moderating role of accounting conservatism in this relationship. By focusing on the interaction between debt composition and accounting practices, the research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how firms can structure their debt portfolios to enhance financial stability and reduce the risk of distress, particularly in volatile market conditions.

Specific objectives of the study:

- i. To determine the impact of long-term debts on the likelihood of financial distress
- ii. To determine the effect of short-term debts on the likelihood of financial distress
- iii. To determine the moderating role of accounting conservatism on the relationship between long-term debts and the likelihood of financial distress
- iv. To determine the moderating role of accounting conservatism on the relationship between short-term debts and the likelihood of financial distress

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

Agency theory: Agency Theory, as explained by [11], highlights conflicts between principals (shareholders) and agents (managers) due to differing goals. Managers might prioritize their interests, such as excessive risk-taking or empire-building, which could negatively affect shareholders. Debt helps mitigate agency costs by limiting managers' control over free cash flow. Short-term debt, if poorly managed, increases financial distress risk, while long-term debt offers stability but may reduce managerial efficiency [12]. Accounting conservatism enhances transparency, helping curb opportunistic behavior by managers [13].

Positive accounting theory: Positive Accounting Theory (PAT), as outlined by [14], seeks to predict and explain firms' accounting choices based on stakeholder interests, particularly managers, creditors. and shareholders. It suggests that managers make accounting decisions to maximize their utility within the firm's constraints. PAT emphasizes that accounting conservatism helps reduce financial distress by promoting early recognition of losses and liabilities, curbing earnings management, and minimizing agency conflicts. This is especially crucial for firms with high debt, as it enhances transparency and aids in debt negotiations [15].

Trade-off theory and pecking order theory: The Trade-Off Theory [16] and Pecking Order Theory [17] address firms' capital structure decisions from different perspectives. The Trade-Off Theory suggests that firms balance the tax benefits of debt against the costs of financial distress, with short-term debt increasing distress risk and long-term debt providing stability but at higher costs. Accounting conservatism supports this balance by facilitating early recognition of economic issues, improving debt structuring, and reducing distress risk [18].

The Pecking Order Theory, on the other hand, posits that firms prioritize internal financing over debt and equity, preferring short-term debt due to its perceived lower cost. However, overreliance on short-term debt can lead to liquidity problems and financial distress. Accounting conservatism mitigates this risk by providing timely financial information, aiding firms in making informed financing decisions, and reducing distress probability [19].

Empirical Review and **Hypotheses Development:** The link between debt composition and financial distress has long been a critical area of research, with both short-term and long-term debt playing distinct roles in determining corporate financial stability [20]. The introduction of accounting conservatism into this discussion adds an important layer of financial prudence, emphasizing timely recognition of losses and risks [8]. Below is a review of the existing empirical studies and theoretical frameworks that support the development of hypotheses related to the impact of long-term and short-term debt on financial distress, and the moderating role of accounting conservatism.

Long-Term Debt and Financial Distress: Longterm debt, due to its extended repayment period, typically offers firms the flexibility to invest in capital-intensive projects without the immediate pressure of repayment [21]. However, if not properly managed, it can increase the overall debt burden and strain cash flows in the long run, especially if a firm's revenue streams are not sufficient to cover debt servicing costs. According to [22], firms with high levels of long-term debt are more vulnerable to financial distress if they fail to generate adequate returns from their investments. This is particularly true in volatile market conditions, where income streams can become unpredictable.

On the other hand, some studies suggest that long-term debt may help firms avoid financial distress by providing a stable, predictable repayment structure [23, 24]. The static trade-off theory posits that firms with higher leverage, particularly long-term debt, may experience lower distress due to tax shields [25]. However, the relationship is not universally agreed upon, as certain studies have found mixed results depending on the industry and economic environment [26].

Based on the above discussion, the first hypothesis is:

H1: Long-term debt has a significant effect on the likelihood of financial distress among corporate entities trading at NSE.

2.2 Short-Term Debt and Financial Distress

Short-term debt often entails higher risks due to its short maturity and frequent refinancing requirements. Firms with higher levels of shortterm debt are more susceptible to liquidity crises, as they must continuously generate sufficient cash to repay these obligations. Several studies, such as [3] and [27], have shown that reliance on short-term debt can significantly increase the risk of financial distress, especially during economic downturns when refinancing becomes difficult or interest rates rise unexpectedly.

The pecking order theory suggests that firms prefer short-term debt to long-term debt because it is often easier to secure and involves lower transaction costs [28]. However, this short-term focus can backfire when cash flow becomes tight, forcing firms into distress [29]. Empirical studies in emerging markets, including Kenya, demonstrate that firms with higher proportions of short-term debt face elevated risks of financial instability due to their reliance on this form of finance [1].

Thus, the second hypothesis is formulated as:

H2: Short-term debt has a significant effect on the likelihood of financial distress among corporate entities trading at NSE.

2.3 Accounting Conservatism as a Moderator

Accounting conservatism, which emphasizes the timely recognition of liabilities and potential losses, is considered a protective mechanism financial distress. against Bv adopting conservative accounting practices, firms can recognize financial challenges earlier, allowing them to adjust their financial strategies, such as restructuring debt or conserving cash for debt repayment [8]. [30] found that accounting conservatism helps firms restructure earlier after covenant breaches, leading to better recovery rates in cases of default.

The moderating role of accounting conservatism can be particularly relevant for firms with high levels of long-term debt, as it encourages prudent management of long-term financial obligations. Firms that apply conservative accounting practices are more likely to recognize potential repayment difficulties and adjust their operations accordingly, thus reducing the likelihood of distress (Biddle et al., 2020).

Based on this reasoning, the third hypothesis is proposed:

H3: Accounting conservatism moderates the relationship between long-term debt and the

likelihood of financial distress among corporate entities trading at NSE.

In the case of short-term debt, which poses immediate repayment obligations, accounting conservatism can play a crucial role in mitigating the risk of financial distress by promoting timely recognition of short-term liquidity problems. [31] found that firms with conservative accounting practices were better able to manage short-term debt, as they recognized financial risks early and made preemptive adjustments to avoid default.

Consequently, the fourth hypothesis is developed as:

H4: Accounting conservatism moderates the relationship between short-term debt

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Data Collection

Secondary data was collected from the annual financial reports of the 45 firms listed on the NSE during the study period. The data covers the period from 2008 to 2021. The firms included were chosen based on data availability and consistency across the years, ensuring the integrity of the panel dataset.

3.2 Measurement of Variable

Financial Dependent variable: Distress: Financial distress is measured using a modified version of Altman's Z-score [32] to evaluate the financial health of the sample firms. Research suggests that this model works well for both manufacturing and non-manufacturing firms, including those in emerging markets. Accordingly, a Z-Score of "1" was assigned to distressed firms and "0" to healthy ones.

Independent variables: Short-term Debt: This is measured as the ratio of short-term debt to total assets. Short-term debt refers to the liabilities due within one fiscal year and includes items such as short-term loans and trade payables [33].

Long-term Debt: Long-term debt is measured as the ratio of long-term debt to total assets. It includes financial obligations due after one fiscal year, such as long-term bonds and loans [34].

Moderating variable: Accounting Conservatism: Accounting conservatism is measured using the C-Score model developed by [35], which captures the tendency of a firm to recognize losses more quickly than gains. A higher score indicates a more conservative accounting approach.

Control variables: Firm Size: Measured as the natural logarithm of total assets, firm size accounts for scale effects on financial stability.

Firm Age: Measured as the natural logarithm of total assets, firm size accounts for scale effects on financial stability.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Descriptive Results

The descriptive statistics presented in Table 1 provide insights into the financial characteristics and stability of firms listed on the Nairobi Securities Exchange, based on a sample of 630 observations. The variables analyzed include financial distress (FD), long-term debt (LTD), short-term debt (STD), accounting conservatism (AC), firm age (FA), and firm size (FS).

The results show that the mean likelihood of financial distress (0.231) indicates that, on average, approximately 23.1% of the firms are experiencing some level of financial distress. This relatively low percentage suggests that while financial distress is present, a majority of firms may be managing their financial obligations adequately. The standard deviation (0.422) indicates substantial variability in distress levels among firms, implying that some firms face significant financial challenges, while others remain stable. The minimum value (0.000) shows that some firms do not experience any distress, whereas the maximum value (1.000) indicates that others are fully distressed. This wide range highlights the differing financial health across the sample.

Similarly, the results also indicate that the Mean long-term debt was (2.202) suggesting that, on average, firms have more than twice their equity financed through long-term debt. This could indicate a strategy of leveraging long-term borrowing for investment and growth. The high standard deviation (3.606) shows significant differences in long-term debt levels among firms. Some firms are heavily indebted, while others minimal long-term obligations, have as suggested by the negative minimum value (-8.926), which might reflect accounting losses or capital structure anomalies. The maximum value

of 57.218 points to some firms having extremely high levels of long-term debt, potentially increasing their risk of financial distress if revenue does not cover repayment obligations.

Further, the results show that the Mean shortterm debt (STD) was 0.316 suggesting a moderate reliance on short-term financing among the firms, indicating that many firms utilize shortterm debt to manage immediate liquidity needs. A standard deviation of 0.274 reflects variability in short-term debt levels, indicating that while some firms manage this type of debt effectively, others may face greater risks associated with high short-term obligations. The minimum value of -0.856 indicates that some firms may have negative short-term debt (potentially reflecting excess cash or negative working capital), while the maximum value of 0.938 shows that certain firms are heavily reliant on short-term borrowing.

Table 1 also shows that the mean accounting conservatism score was (-0.843) implies a generally conservative approach to financial reporting among the firms. Negative values suggest that these firms are quick to recognize losses, which can help manage financial risk. The standard deviation (0.426) indicates some variability in accounting practices, with certain firms adopting more conservative approaches than others. The minimum value (-2.785) indicates a strong tendency toward conservatism in some firms, while the maximum value (-0.196) suggests that others are less conservative, potentially leading to varying financial reporting outcomes.

In addition, the average firm age was (3.976 years) which suggests that the sample consists of relatively young firms. This may imply a dynamic environment where firms are still in their growth or establishment phases. The standard deviation (0.543) reflects some diversity in firm ages, suggesting that while many firms are young, there are also older firms in the sample. With a minimum age of 1.386 years and a maximum of 4.836 years, the range indicates that the sample includes both newly established firms and those with slightly more experience, which may influence their strategies and financial stability.

The average firm size was (7.029) indicating a moderate operational scale among the firms in the sample, which can influence their ability to manage debts and investments. A standard

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	
FD	630	0.231	0.422	0.000	1.000	
LTD	630	2.202	3.606	-8.926	57.218	
STD	630	0.316	0.274	-0.856	0.938	
AC	630	-0.843	0.426	-2.785	-0.196	
FA	630	3.976	0.543	1.386	4.836	
FS	630	7.029	1.132	3.818	9.201	

Table 1. Distribution of the mean and standard deviation of the variables

FD: Likelihood of financial distress, LTD: Long term debt, STD: Short term debt, AC: Accounting conservatism, FA: Firm Age, FS: Firm Size, P50: 50th percentile, Sd: standard deviation, Min: minimum, Max: maximum, N: number of firms.

Source: Research (2024)

deviation of 1.132 suggests significant variability in firm sizes, indicating that the sample comprises both larger and smaller firms. The minimum size value of 3.818 and the maximum value of 9.201 suggest that the sample includes firms of varying operational scales, which can affect their financial decision-making processes and resilience against financial distress.

3.2 Correlation Analysis

The correlation results for the variables related to financial distress (FD) provide insights into how firm age (FA), firm size (FS), long-term debt (LTD), short-term debt (STD), and accounting conservatism (AC) relate to the likelihood of financial distress among corporate entities trading at the Nairobi Securities Exchange (NSE). Below is an interpretation focusing solely on these variables' correlation with FD:

Table 2 shows that Firm Age has a positive and significant correlation with financial distress, (0.172, P< 0.05). This suggests that as firms age, they may face an increased likelihood of financial distress. While the correlation is relatively weak, it indicates that older firms may be more susceptible to financial challenges, potentially due to factors like increased operational complexities or outdated business models. These findings are consistent with [21] and [36], found that older firms often become entrenched in outdated practices, which can lead to inefficiencies and increased vulnerability to market fluctuations.

In addition, the results reveal that the correlation between firm size and financial distress is negative and significant (-0.271, P< 0.05). This indicates that larger firms are associated with a lower likelihood of financial distress. This negative relationship implies that larger firms may benefit from economies of scale, more diversified revenue streams, and better access to financing, which can enhance their financial stability. These findings are consistent with [37] and [21], who argued that larger firms typically have better access to capital markets and diversified operations, which can reduce their financial risk. Additionally, larger firms are often more resilient during economic downturns due to their established market positions and resource availability.

Further, the correlation between long-term debt and financial distress is positive and significant (0.348, P< 0.05). This suggests that higher levels of long-term debt are associated with an increased likelihood of financial distress. This finding indicates that while long-term debt can be a tool for financing growth, excessive reliance on it can lead to financial strain if firms struggle to meet repayment obligations. These findings are consistent with agency theory, [38] and [39], which posits that high levels of debt can lead to conflicts between shareholders and creditors, ultimately increasing the risk of distress. Furthermore, demonstrated that firms with high long-term debt are more likely to face financial difficulties, particularly if they encounter revenue shortfalls.

Additionally, there is a strong positive correlation between short-term debt and financial distress, significant (0.707, P< 0.05). This high correlation indicates that firms with higher levels of shortterm debt are considerably more likely to experience financial distress. This suggests that short-term debt can pose significant risks, particularly in terms of cash flow management, as firms may face liquidity challenges when obligations come due. This finding is consistent with [40], who noted that firms relying heavily on short-term financing often face liquidity issues that can lead to distress, especially during economic downturns. Additionally, [41]

	FD	FA	FS	LTD	STD	AC
FD	1.000					
FA	0.172*	1.000				
FS	-0.271*	-0.053	1.000			
LTD	0.348*	-0.053	0.299*	1.000		
STD	0.707*	-0.445	0.343*	0.290*	1.000	
AC	-0.102*	0.041	0.035	-0.086*	-0.056	1.000

 Table 2. Correlation results

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

FD: Likelihood of financial distress, LTD: Long term debt, STD: Short term debt, AC: Accounting conservatism, FA: Firm Age, FS: Firm Size, P50: 50th percentile, Sd: standard deviation, Min: minimum, Max: maximum, N: number of firms.

Source: Research (2024)

emphasized that firms with high short-term debt levels are more likely to encounter challenges in cash flow management, leading to increased financial instability.

Moreover, the correlation between accounting conservatism and financial distress is negative and significant (-0.102, P< 0.05). This implies that firms that practice accounting conservatism tend to have a lower likelihood of financial distress. By adopting conservative accounting practices, firms may be better positioned to manage risks and provide a more accurate reflection of their financial health, which can help in decision-making and financial planning. This finding is supported by [5], who argued that accounting conservatism helps firms recognize losses early, thus providing a more accurate of their financial representation health. Furthermore, [35] found that firms practising accounting conservatism are less likely to experience financial distress as they maintain a more prudent approach to financial reporting and risk management.

3.3 Panel Logistic Regression Results

Effects of Firm age and Firm size on the likelihood of financial distress: The analysis reveals that firm age has a positive and significant impact on the likelihood of financial distress (β = 32.711, P < 0.05). A one-unit increase in firm age raises the likelihood of distress by 32.711 units. This suggests that older firms may become more vulnerable to financial distress due to factors such as complacency, reduced innovation, and loss of competitive advantage. These results align with previous studies by [42,21] and [43] who also found a positive relationship between firm age and financial distress.

In contrast, firm size shows a negative and significant impact on financial distress (β = -5.479, P < 0.05). This indicates that smaller firms are more likely to experience financial distress, with a unit change in firm size leading to a decrease of 5.479 units in distress likelihood. Larger firms, with their access to economies of scale, better resources, and diversification, are better equipped to avoid financial distress. These results are consistent with findings from [44-49], and [50]. However, they contradict [51] and [52], who found a different relationship. The negative coefficient is explained by larger firms' ability to leverage operational efficiencies, strong market positions, financial flexibility, and greater access to capital, which reduce their likelihood of financial distress.

Effect of Long-Term Debt on financial distress: The results provided in Table 3 reveal a positive relationship between long-term debt and financial distress, with a coefficient of 0.238, P<0.05. This suggests that higher levels of longterm debt are associated with an increased likelihood of financial distress. A positive coefficient indicates that higher long-term debt is associated with increased log odds of financial distress. This implies that a unit increase in longterm debt increases the log odds of the likelihood of financial distress by 0.238 units. Financially healthy firms tend to rely more on equity than debt, as increasing long-term debt raises the likelihood of financial distress due to investors' perceptions of firms with high debt levels. Scholars argue that long-term debt reduces financial flexibility and therefore exposes firms to a higher risk of financial distress (the reducedflexibility hypothesis). Additionally, elevated debt levels can result in higher interest expenses, which reduce profitability and exacerbate financial distress [53,54].

A company with a high debt-to-total assets ratio may need to allocate a significant portion of its profits to debt repayment, either by retaining earnings or being obligated to distribute profits according to debt agreements [54]. Firms with high debt levels often face restrictions on their financial flexibility, making it difficult to adapt during economic downturns or cash flow shortages, further increasing their risk of financial distress. Higher long-term debt leads to elevated interest payments, which can strain cash flows. If revenues are insufficient to cover these expenses, the firm may encounter financial distress. Moreover, firms with substantial debt may be subject to restrictive covenants imposed by lenders, limiting their operational flexibility and financial strategies. Failing to meet these conditions heightens the risk of distress.

High long-term debt also magnifies the effects of market fluctuations on profitability. Negative changes in market conditions can disproportionately affect firms with significant debt, making them more vulnerable to financial distress. Additionally, high levels of long-term debt signal greater risk to investors and creditors, potentially resulting in higher borrowing costs or reduced investment, which further exacerbates the risk of distress [38]. Firms with substantial debt may also experience downgrades in their credit ratings, leading to higher interest rates on new loans and difficulty securing financing, thus contributing to financial distress [39].

These findings align with previous research, including studies by [21,55-57] found that long-term debt has a significant positive relationship with the likelihood of financial distress. However, the results contradict studies by [58,59], and [26], found that long-term debt does not significantly increase the likelihood of financial distress. Similarly, these findings are inconsistent with research by [60] and [61], who found no significant influence of long-term debt on financial distress.

Effect of Short-Term Debt on financial distress: Table 3 also shows that Short-term debt significantly impacts the likelihood of financial distress, as indicated by a positive coefficient of 7.199 (p < 0.05). This suggests that as short-term debt increases, the log odds of financial distress rise substantially. A unit increase in short-term debt leads to an increase of 7.199 units in the likelihood of financial distress, meaning that firms with higher levels of short-term debt are more likely to experience financial instability.

The reliance on short-term debt can increase a firm's vulnerability to financial distress because these debts need to be repaid or refinanced within a shorter timeframe, often during periods of tight liquidity. Scholars argue that short-term debt introduces significant financial pressure, as firms may struggle to meet their repayment obligations, especially if cash flow is insufficient. Additionally, high short-term debt levels can result in higher borrowing costs and increased exposure to interest rate fluctuations, which can further strain the firm's financial health [62, 63].

Firms with substantial short-term debt may also face challenges in securing refinancing during economic downturns or when credit markets tighten, exacerbating the risk of financial distress. Short-term debt can amplify the risk of liquidity crises, as firms may lack the necessary cash reserves to meet their obligations [2]. This aligns with the pecking order theory, which suggests that firms prioritize internal financing before seeking external funds, and short-term debt is often a last resort when other sources of capital are unavailable. As a result, high short-term debt levels signal financial weakness, increasing the likelihood of distress [28].

These findings are consistent with several studies that have identified a positive and significant relationship between short-term debt and financial distress, such as [21,55-57,64], and [65]. However, the findings contradict research by [59] and [61], which found that short-term debt does not significantly affect financial distress. The discrepancy may arise from differences in the industry or market conditions studied, as well as the ability of some firms to efficiently manage short-term debt without increasing distress risks.

Furthermore, studies by [54] and [53] suggest that firms with high short-term debt levels are at greater risk of financial distress, particularly due to increased sensitivity to liquidity shortages and interest rate risks. Thus, firms that rely heavily on short-term debt may face significant challenges in maintaining financial stability, as reflected in the positive and significant coefficient found in this analysis.

3.4 Moderation Results

Moderation effect of accounting conservatism on the relationship between long-term debt and financial distress: The results in Table 3 also reveal a significant and negative moderating effect of accounting conservatism on the link between financial leverage and financial distress (β = -2.840. P < 0.05). Since the beta coefficient is not zero and the model is significant with a notable R-Square change, it confirms that accounting conservatism plays a significant role in moderating this relationship. The findings suggest that although financial leverage generally increases the likelihood of financial distress, this effect is reversed when accounting conservatism is factored in. This implies that firms practising higher levels of conservatism are less likely to experience financial distress due to high leverage than those firms with high financial leverage but practice low levels of accounting conservatism. This is because accounting conservatism leads to conservative financial reporting, which provides a more favourable picture of the company's financial stability, even in the presence of significant financial leverage.

This is because conservatism ensures that any potential difficulties in meeting debt obligations are reflected in the financial statements before they become critical [66]. By recognizing losses or setting aside provisions early, conservatism helps firms manage their financial risks more effectively. This proactive approach can reduce the likelihood of financial distress, even when a firm is highly leveraged. In addition, Creditors and other stakeholders often view conservative financial reporting as a sign of prudent management. When a highly leveraged firm practices accounting conservatism, it signals to creditors that the firm is aware of its financial risks and is taking steps to mitigate them [67]. This increased confidence can lead to more favourable financing terms, such as lower interest rates or more flexible repayment schedules, which can reduce the financial pressure on the firm and decrease the likelihood financial distress. Firms that practice of conditional conservatism are likely to take corrective actions sooner, such as restructuring their debt or adjusting their capital structure, in response to early signs of financial trouble. This proactive approach can prevent financial distress by addressing potential problems before they escalate [68].

By recognizing the risks associated with high leverage early and making necessary adjustments, conservatism helps firms maintain financial stability [69]. Firms that apply

conservative accounting principles are likely to implement stricter risk management practices. By recognizing potential losses earlier and more cautiously, these firms are better equipped to handle financial difficulties, reducing the negative impact of high financial leverage. Conservative accounting helps stabilize earnings by avoiding overly aggressive revenue recognition and asset valuations. This reduced earnings volatility makes it easier for firms to manage their debt obligations, thus lowering the risk of financial distress Additionally, conservative accounting often leads to lower book values for assets and higher recognition of potential losses. This approach creates a financial cushion against shocks, making firms more resilient to the pressures of high leverage.

By practicing conservative accounting, firms can enhance investor confidence in their financial reports. Increased confidence can result in more stable stock prices and better access to capital, even with hiah leverage. Furthermore. conservative improves accounting debt management by offering a more accurate view of a firm's financial position, which can help in negotiating better terms with creditors and mitigating the effects of high leverage on financial distress. Finally, conservative accounting can help prevent excessive debt accumulation by highlighting potential risks and losses. thus reducing the likelihood of overleveraging and its associated financial Firms distress. that practice accounting conservatism may also be more conservative in their investment decisions. By avoiding overly aggressive or risky investments, these firms can reduce the likelihood of financial distress even when leveraging their capital.

Moderation effect of accounting conservatism on the relationship between short-term debt and financial distress: The moderation effect of accounting conservatism on the relationship between short-term debt and financial distress is both positive and significant, with a coefficient of 17.518 (p < 0.05). This result indicates that accounting conservatism amplifies the impact of short-term debt on the likelihood of financial distress. Specifically, as firms increase their use of short-term debt, the presence of a conservative accounting approach leads to a significantly higher increase in the likelihood of financial distress.

Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4		
Constant	-57.919(1.61) **	-68.48(17.1) **	-51.284(15.2) **	-56.913 (17.5) **		
Firm Age	32.711 (9.05) **	38.427 (10.4) **	30.712(9.2) **	34.011(10.61) *		
Firm Size	-5.918 (14.7) **	-3.809(1.81) *	-4.549(2.4) *	-4.669(2.07) *		
Predictors						
Long-term debt		0.238(0.08) *	0.592(0.14) **	0.589(0.14) **		
Short-term debt 7.199 (0.69) *			8.271(0.85) * *	8.666(0.92) **		
Interactions						
Long-Term Debt *	Accounting conserv	-2.84 (0.63) **	-3.02(0.65) * *			
Short-Term Debt 3	17.518(7.6) **					
Model summary statistics						
Wald chi2	18.59	30.55	43.96	41.77		
Prob > chi2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Log-likelihood	-177.538	-159.471	-141.253	-137.49		
R Square	0.112	0.17	0.264	0.283		
R2 Change	-	0.058	0.094	0.019		
Obs per group	14	14	14	14		
No_ of firms	45	45	45	45		
Total Panel	630	630	630	630		
Observations						

Table 3. Panel logistic regression analysis results

** Significant at 0.01 level * Significant at 0.05 level; Figures in parenthesis are

Accounting conservatism, which typically involves recognizing potential losses earlier than gains, can heighten the negative impact of shortterm debt by limiting financial flexibility. Conservative accounting practices may make a firm's financial position appear weaker. increasing the perception of risk among creditors and investors. As a result, firms with higher conservative short-term levels and debt accounting may find it more difficult to secure favourable financing or manage liquidity risks, further exacerbating financial distress.

The coefficient of 17.518 suggests that for firms hiaher short-term debt. with adopting conservative accounting practices significantly magnifies the log odds of financial distress. This finding aligns with the view that accounting conservatism, while beneficial for prudent financial reporting, can act as a double-edged sword. It may protect creditors by highlighting potential risks earlier, but it can also increase the pressure on firms that rely on short-term debt by accelerating the recognition of potential financial difficulties. As a result, firms may face higher interest rates, tighter borrowing conditions, or challenges in refinancing, all of which contribute to financial distress.

This moderation effect is supported by prior research, including studies [5,70], and [66], suggest that accounting conservatism enhances the transparency of financial

reporting, often revealing financial strains earlier. Similarly, [71 and 72] found that accounting conservatism can expose firms to higher risks of financial distress by limiting the recognition of profits and emphasizing financial weaknesses.

[73 and 73] highlight the In contrast. protective role of conservatism in reducing agency costs and safeguarding creditors, but these studies acknowledge even the potential downside when firms face liquidity constraints from short-term debt. Additionally, [74] argue that conservatism can make it more challenging for hiahlv leveraged firms to manage short-term financial obligations, especially during periods of financial stress.

3.5 Practical Implications for Managers and Policymakers

Managers of older firms should prioritize innovation and continuous improvement to counteract the increased likelihood of financial distress due to complacency or competitive loss, while smaller firms should scale up operations and improve efficiencies to mitigate distress risks. Policymakers can support these efforts by encouraging modernization programs and offering incentives for firm growth, improved access to capital, and better financial management. In managing debt, managers should carefully balance long-term and shortterm debt, focusing on debt restructuring, maintaining a balanced capital structure, and developing strong cash flow management to minimize financial distress. Accounting conservatism can aid firms with high leverage by ensuring early recognition of difficulties. financial which promotes prudent debt management and signals financial stability to creditors and investors. However, managers should avoid overly conservative practices that may amplify the negative effects of short-term debt. Policymakers can establishing quidelines assist by that balance conservatism with financial flexibility, providing financial advisory services, and access to affordable long-term fostering promoting financing. Βv proactive risk management and ensuring accessible credit markets. particularly for firms practicing conservative financial reporting, policymakers can help firms manage financial risks effectively. thereby reducing the likelihood of financial distress and contributing to overall economic stability.

4. CONCLUSION

Summary of Key Findings: The study highlights several kev relationships between firm characteristics. debt structures, accounting conservatism, and financial distress. First, firm age positively correlates with financial distress. suggesting older firms are more susceptible due to factors such as complacency or reduced competitiveness, while larger firms exhibit a negative relationship with distress, benefiting from economies of scale, diversification, and financial flexibility. The findings also reveal that both long-term and short-term debt increase the likelihood of financial distress, though long-term debt does so at a slower rate compared to shortterm debt, which poses immediate liquidity challenges. Accounting conservatism is shown to moderate the debt-distress relationship, mitigating the negative effects of long-term debt by improving financial transparency and risk management, but potentially amplifying distress in firms reliant on short-term debt by reducing financial flexibility. These results underscore the importance of appropriate debt structuring and the role of conservative accounting practices in managing financial health.

6. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Several limitations were identified in the current study. First, the study does not account for

industry-specific factors, such as market volatility or capital intensity, which could affect the relationship between debt and financial distress. Future research should address this by exploring how sector-specific characteristics influence debt management and distress risk. Second, the study primarily focuses on long-term and shortterm debt without considering alternative financial strategies, such as debt restructuring, equity financing, or hybrid securities, that could mitigate distress. Future studies could examine how these strategies interact with various forms of debt and provide firms with more effective ways to manage financial risks. Third, the study's approach limits cross-sectional the understanding of how the moderating role of accounting conservatism mav evolve. Longitudinal studies could assess how the impact of conservatism shifts with changing market conditions or as firms mature. Lastly, cross-country comparative research would be valuable in exploring how different national financial systems, regulatory frameworks, and cultural factors influence the debt-conservatismrelationship, particularly distress between developed and emerging markets.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests or non-financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. Shahwan TM, Habib AM. Does the efficiency of corporate governance and intellectual capital affect a firm's financial distress? Evidence from Egypt. Journal of Intellectual Capital. 2020;21(3):403-430.
- 2. Chen CC, Chen CD, Lien D. Financial distress prediction model: The effects of corporate governance indicators. Journal of Forecasting. 2020;39(8):1238-1252.
- 3. Mukoma MW. Financial distress in listed manufacturing entities in Kenya: Prediction

and Rescue Strategies. United States International University-Africa; 2020.

- 4. Habib A, Uddin Bhuiyan B, Islam A. Financial distress, earnings management and market pricing of accruals during the global financial crisis. Managerial Finance. 2013;39(2):155-180.
- 5. Watts RL. Conservatism in accounting part I: Explanations and implications. Accounting Horizons. 2003;17(3):207-221.
- Geng R, Bose I, Chen X. Prediction of financial distress: An empirical study of listed Chinese companies using data mining. European Journal of Operational Research. 2015;241(1):236-247.
- Mariano SSG, Izadi J, Pratt M. Can we predict the likelihood of financial distress in companies from their corporate governance and borrowing? International Journal of Accounting and Information Management. 2021;29(2):305-323.
- Biddle GC, Ma ML, Song FM. Accounting conservatism and bankruptcy risk. Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance. 2022;37(2):295-323.
- 9. Salehi M, Sehat M. Debt maturity structure, institutional ownership and accounting conservatism: Evidence from Iranian listed companies. Asian Journal of Accounting Research. 2019;4(1):35-51.
- 10. Wang C, Xie F, Xin X. CEO inside debt and accounting conservatism. Contemporary Accounting Research. 2018;35(4):2131-2159.
- 11. Meckling WH, Jensen MC. Theory of the firm. Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure; 1976.
- 12. Pandey KD, Sahu TN. Debt financing, agency cost and firm performance: Evidence from India. Vision. 2019;23(3): 267-274.
- 13. Penalva F, Wagenhofer A. Conservatism in debt contracting: Theory and empirical evidence. Accounting and Business Research. 2019;49(6):619-647.
- 14. Watts R. Positive accounting theory. ed: Prentice-Hall; 1986.
- 15. Widhiastuti R, Rahayu S. The role of financial distress in mediating the accounting conservatism practices. Akrual: Jurnal Akuntansi. 2022;13(2):201-213.
- 16. Myers SC. The search for optimal capital structure. Midland Corporate Finance Journal. 1984;1(1):6-16.
- 17. Myers SC. Capital structure puzzle. ed: National Bureau of Economic Research Cambridge, Mass., USA; 1984.

- Ramalingegowda S, Yu Y. The role of accounting conservatism in capital structure adjustments. Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance. 2021;36(2):223-248.
- Ge R, Seybert N, Zhang F. Investor sentiment and accounting conservatism. Accounting Horizons. 2019;33(1):83-102.
- 20. García CJ, Herrero B. Female directors, capital structure, and financial distress. Journal of Business Research. 2021;136:592-601.
- 21. Dirman A. Financial distress: The impacts of profitability, liquidity, leverage, firm size, and free cash flow. International Journal of Business, Economics and Law. 2020;22(1):17-25.
- 22. Balasubramanian SA, GSRSP, Natarajan T. Modeling corporate financial distress using financial and non-financial variables: The case of Indian listed companies. International Journal of Law and Management. 2019;61(3/4):457-484.
- 23. John TA, John K. Top-management compensation and capital structure. The Journal of Finance. 1993;48(3):949-974.
- 24. Masdupi E, Tasman A, Davista A. The influence of liquidity, leverage and profitability on financial distress of listed manufacturing companies in Indonesia. In First Padang International Conference On Economics Education, Economics, Business and Management, Accounting and Entrepreneurship (PICEEBA 2018),: Atlantis Press. 2018;389-394.
- 25. De Jong A, Verbeek M, Verwijmeren P. Firms' debt–equity decisions when the static tradeoff theory and the pecking order theory disagree. Journal of Banking and Finance. 2011;35(5):1303-1314.
- 26. Thim CK, Choong YV, Nee CS. Factors affecting financial distress: The case of Malaysian public listed firms. Corporate Ownership and Control. 2011;8(4):345-351.
- 27. Furceri D, Mourougane A. The effect of financial crises on potential output: New empirical evidence from OECD countries. Journal of Macroeconomics. 2012;34(3): 822-832.
- 28. Frank MZ, Goyal VK. Trade-off and pecking order theories of debt. Handbook of Empirical Corporate Finance. 2008;135-202.
- 29. Sardo F, Serrasqueiro Z, Vieira E, Armada MR. Is financial distress risk important for manufacturing SMEs to rebalance the

short-term debt ratio? The Journal of Risk Finance. 2022;23(5):516-534.

- 30. Donovan J, Frankel RM, Martin X. Accounting conservatism and creditor recovery rate. The Accounting Review. 2015;90(6):2267-2303.
- 31. Khurana IK, Wang C. Debt maturity structure and accounting conservatism. Journal of Business Finance and Accounting. 2015;42(1-2):167-203.
- 32. Altman EI. Default recovery rates and LGD in credit risk modeling and practice: An updated review of the literature and empirical evidence; 2006.
- Cochrane JH. Long-term debt and optimal policy in the fiscal theory of the price level. Econometrica. 2001;69(1):69-116.
- 34. Chen F, Li Q, Xu L. Universal demand laws and the monitoring device role of accounting conservatism; 2019.
- 35. Khan M, Watts RL. Estimation and empirical properties of a firm-year measure of accounting conservatism. Journal of accounting and Economics. 2009;48(2-3):132-150.
- 36. Fredrick I. Capital structure and corporate financial distress of manufacturing firms in Nigeria. Journal of Accounting and Taxation. 2018;10(7):78-84.
- 37. Muigai RG, Muriithi JG. The moderating effect of firm size on the relationship between capital structure and financial distress of non-financial companies listed in Kenya. Journal of Finance and Accounting. 2017;12(2):151-158.
- Ferri MG, Jones WH. Determinants of financial structure: A new methodological approach. The Journal of Finance. 1979;34(3):631-644.
- 39. Miller EM. Risk, uncertainty, and divergence of opinion. The Journal of Finance. 1977;32(4):1151-1168.
- 40. Chen KH, Shimerda TA. An empirical analysis of useful financial ratios. Financial Management. 1981;51-60.
- 41. David P, O'Brien JP, Yoshikawa T. The implications of debt heterogeneity for R&D investment and firm performance. Academy of Management Journal. 2008; 51(1):165-181.
- 42. Altman EI, Kishore VM. Almost everything you wanted to know about recoveries on defaulted bonds. Financial Analysts Journal. 1996;52(6):57-64.
- 43. Mahmood Y, Rizwan MF, Rashid A. Exploring the relationship between financial distress, financial flexibility, and

firm performance: empirical evidence from Pakistan stock exchange. NICE Research Journal. 2018;1-16.

- 44. Frank MZ, Goyal VK. The effect of market conditions on capital structure adjustment. Finance Research Letters. 2004;1(1): 47-55.
- 45. Jermias J. Board capital, board characteristics, and managerial share ownership: Impact on firm performance. Board Characteristics, and Managerial Share Ownership: Impact on Firm Performance; 2008.
- 46. Ramaswamy K. Organizational ownership, competitive intensity, and firm performance: An empirical study of the Indian manufacturing sector. Strategic Management Journal. 2001;22(10):989-998.
- 47. Bhattacharjee A, Han J. Financial distress of Chinese firms: Microeconomic, macroeconomic and institutional influences. China Economic Review. 2014; 30:244-262.
- Madrid-Guijarro A, García-Pérezde-Lema D, Van Auken H. Financing constraints and SME innovation during economic crises. Academia Revista Latinoamericana de Administración. 2016;29(1):84-106.
- 49. Rianti IP, Yadiati W. How financial distress influence by firm size. International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research. 2018;7(1):149-153.
- 50. Yazdanfar D, Öhman P. Financial distress determinants among SMEs: Empirical evidence from Sweden. Journal of Economic Studies. 2020;47(3):547-560.
- 51. Sehgal S, Mishra RK, Deisting F, Vashisht R. On the determinants and prediction of corporate financial distress in India. Managerial Finance. 2021;47(10):1428-1447.
- 52. Charalambous C, Martzoukos SH, Taoushianis Z. Predicting corporate bankruptcy using the framework of Leland-Toft: Evidence from US. Quantitative Finance. 2020;20(2):329-346.
- 53. Fabozzi FJ, Drake PP. Finance: Capital markets, financial management, and investment management. John Wiley and Sons; 2009.
- 54. Bhaskar LS, Krishnan GV, Yu W. Debt covenant violations, firm financial distress, and auditor actions. Contemporary Accounting Research. 2017;34(1): 186-215.

- 55. Wangsih IC, Yanti DR, Yohana Y, Kalbuana N, Cahyadi CI. Influence of leverage, firm size, and sales growth on financial distress. International Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting Research (IJEBAR). 2021;5(4).
- Susanti N, Latifa I, Sunarsi D. The effects of profitability, leverage, and liquidity on financial distress on retail companies listed on Indonesian Stock Exchange. Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Administrasi Publik. 2020; 10(1):45-52.
- 57. Lee S, Koh Y, Kang KH. Moderating effect of capital intensity on the relationship between leverage and financial distress in the US restaurant industry. International Journal of Hospitality Management. 2011;30(2):429-438.
- Jaafar MN, Muhamat AA, Alwi SFS, Karim 58. NA. Rahman S. Determinants of financial distress among the companies practise note 17 listed in Bursa Malavsia. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences. 2018;8(11):800-811.
- 59. Giarto RVD, Fachrurrozie F. The effect of leverage, sales growth, cash flow on financial distress with corporate governance as a moderating variable. Accounting Analysis Journal. 2020;9(1):15-21.
- Finishtya FC. The role of cash flow of operational, profitability, and financial leverage in predicting financial distress on manufacturing company in Indonesia. Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen. 2019; (1):110-117.
- 61. Restianti T, Agustina L. The effect of financial ratios on financial distress conditions in sub industrial sector company. Accounting Analysis Journal. 2018;7(1):25-33.
- Myers SC. Determinants of corporate borrowing. Journal of Financial Economics. 1977;5(2):147-175.
- 63. Barclay MJ, Smith Jr CW. The maturity structure of corporate debt. The Journal of Finance. 1995;50(2):609-631.
- Koh WC, Kose MA, Nagle PSO, Ohnsorge F, Sugawara N. Debt and financial crises; 2020.

- 65. Susanto A. Analysis of the effect of longterm debt, short-term debt, and net working capital on profits and their impact on company value in the cigarette industry listed on The Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). International Journal of Education, Information Technology, and Others. 2022;5(5): 51-64.
- Ahmed AS, Billings BK, Morton RM, Stanford-Harris M. The role of accounting conservatism in mitigating bondholder-shareholder conflicts over dividend policy and in reducing debt costs. The Accounting Review. 2002;77(4):867-890.
- 67. Francis B, Hasan I, Wu Q. The benefits of conservative accounting to shareholders: Evidence from the financial crisis. Accounting Horizons. 2013;27(2): 319-346.
- Lafond R, Roychowdhury S. Managerial ownership and accounting conservatism. Journal of Accounting Research. 2008; 46(1):101-135.
- 69. Zhong Y, Li W. Accounting conservatism: A literature review. Australian Accounting Review. 2017;27(2):195-213.
- Basu S. The conservatism principle and the asymmetric timeliness of earnings. Journal of Accounting and Economics. 1997;24(1):3-37.
- Garcia Lara JM, García Osma B, Penalva F. Accounting conservatism and corporate governance. Review of Accounting Studies. 2009;14:161-201.
- 72. Louis H, Sun AX, Urcan O. Value of cash holdings and accounting conservatism. Contemporary Accounting Research. 2012;29(4):1249-1271.
- 73. Sholikhah RMA, Suryani AW. The influence of the financial distress, conflict of interest, and litigation risk on accounting conservatism. KnE Social Sciences. 2020;222–239.
- 74. Μ. Conditional Kim BH. Pevzner accounting conservatism and future surprises: An empirical negative investigation. Journal of Accounting and Public Policy. 2010;29(4):311-329.

Maiyo et al.; Asian J. Econ. Busin. Acc., vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 379-394, 2024; Article no.AJEBA.125203

APPENDIX

Model specification:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{logit}(\textbf{p})it = \beta 0 + \beta 1SIZEit + \beta 2AGEit + \epsilon \\ \text{logit}(\textbf{p})it = \beta 0 + \beta 1SIZEit + \beta 2AGEit + \beta 3LTDit + \beta 4STDit + \epsilon \\ \text{logit}(\textbf{p})it = \beta 0 + \beta 1SIZEit + \beta 2AGEit + \beta 3LTDit + \beta 4STDit + \beta 5LTDit * Mit + \epsilon \\ \text{logit}(\textbf{p})it = \beta 0 + \beta 1SIZEit + \beta 2AGEit + \beta 3LTDit + \beta 4STDit + \beta 5LTDit * Mit + \epsilon \\ \text{Model 3} \\ \text{logit}(\textbf{p})it = \beta 0 + \beta 1SIZEit + \beta 2AGEit + \beta 3LTDit + \beta 4STDit + \beta 5LTDit * Mit + \epsilon \\ \text{Model 4} \end{array}$

Where:

p =is the probability of the event occurring logit(p) = natural logarithm of the odds of the event occurring (i.e. the logarithm of p divided by 1-p) [log[p/(1-p)] $\beta 0$ = constant $\beta 1...\beta 11$ = regression coefficients

SIZE = Firm size AGE= Firm age LTD = Long-term Debt STD= Short-term Debt M = Accounting conservatism which is the moderator ϵ = Error term i = Company t =Year

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/125203