



Unilateral Dichorionic Twin Tubal Ectopic Pregnancy Following Fresh *In vitro* Fertilization and Embryo Transfer: A Case Report

**Chidinma Magnus Nwogu ^a, Ayodeji Kayode Adefemi ^{b*}
and Aloy Okechukwu Ugwu ^c**

^a Kingswill Advanced Specialist Hospital, Lagos, Nigeria.

^b Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Nigeria.

^c Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Idi-Araba, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121755>

Case Report

Received: 16/06/2024

Accepted: 18/08/2024

Published: 23/08/2024

ABSTRACT

The gains of invitro fertilization in alleviating the anguish of infertile couples cannot be quantified. However, despite these benefits, in-vitro fertilization increases the overall incidence of ectopic gestation. Twin tubal ectopic pregnancy occurs when two embryos or blastocyst implants in the fallopian tubes rather than within the endometrial cavity. Several mechanisms have been postulated to explain this rare occurrence. It could result from the splitting of embryos already in the Fallopian tube, or from dual implantation from multiple embryos transfer. The case report presented is that of a very rare unilateral twin tubal ectopic gestation following an in-vitro fertilization treatment which was diagnosed pre-operatively in a woman who has had a prior laparotomy with salpingectomy for ectopic pregnancy.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: adefemiyodejikayode@gmail.com;

Cite as: Nwogu, Chidinma Magnus, Ayodeji Kayode Adefemi, and Aloy Okechukwu Ugwu. 2024. "Unilateral Dichorionic Twin Tubal Ectopic Pregnancy Following Fresh In Vitro Fertilization and Embryo Transfer: A Case Report". *Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice* 7 (2):351-55. <https://journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/article/view/243>.

Keywords: Ectopic pregnancy; In-vitro fertilization; recurrent tubal ectopic; unilateral dichorionic twin tubal ectopic pregnancy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ectopic pregnancy occurs when implantation occurs outside of the endometrial cavity [1]. Twin ectopic pregnancy is the implantation of two embryos or blastocyst outside of the endometrial lining. When both embryos implant in the fallopian tubes rather than inside of the uterine cavity, it is known as twin tubal ectopic pregnancy [2]. This could either be unilateral or bilateral [2,3,4].

Several risk factors for extra-uterine pregnancy have been outlined in literature. These include smoking, previous history of tubal damage from poorly treated pelvic inflammatory diseases, or female genital tuberculosis or pelvic surgeries, puerperal sepsis, previous history of ectopic pregnancy, previous uterine surgeries such as caesarean section, myomectomy, polypectomy, metroplasty, dilatation and curettage or even injuries from utero-cutaneous fistula repair [3].

Ectopic pregnancy has long been known as one of the leading causes of maternal morbidity and mortality in early pregnancy especially in low resource communities where late presentation or misdiagnosis leads to hemodynamic compromise from rupture [1,2,3]. Twin tubal ectopic pregnancies are harder to identify and also has a higher risk of rupture than singleton tubal ectopic pregnancies [2].

Ectopic pregnancy occurs in 1-2% of all pregnancies [4]. The incidence has been increasing since the advent of in- vitro fertilization (IVF) complicating 2%-11% of all pregnancies after IVF treatment [5]. It is mostly tubal in over 95% of cases [4].

Twin gestation occurs is seen in 1 in 80 spontaneous pregnancies [3,6], hence, twin tubal ectopic pregnancy becomes very rare [3]. Unilateral twin tubal ectopic pregnancy being extremely rare occurs with a frequency of 1 in every 20.000-125.000 pregnancies [1,2,3,6,7] and 1 in 200 ectopic pregnancies [1,5,8]. It is likely underreported as less than 10 out the over 100 cases of unilateral twin ectopic pregnancies were correctly diagnosed pre-operatively leaving the diagnosis made mostly intra-operatively or at pathological examination of excised specimen [6]. The possibility of underreporting is compounded by vanishing gestation or early fetal wastage common with twin gestation especially if mono chorionic [1,6,7].

Ectopic pregnancies occur in the absence of risk factors in about half of the cases. Adhesions resulting in anatomically distorted fallopian tubes from pelvic inflammatory disease more with multiple episodes, previous pelvic surgery especially if tubal, and endometriosis are risk factors. Congenital Mullerian anomalies, assisted reproductive therapy, tumours and advancing maternal age are also risk factors [1,6,7]. All forms of ovulation induction including in-vitro fertilization which increases the risk of multiple gestations either via multiplicity of embryo transfer or embryo splitting after manipulation can in turn increase the possibility of a twin tubal ectopic pregnancy [5].

2. CASE REPORT

A 43-year-old woman with 18-year history infertility. She had previous ruptured ectopic gestation seven years ago during which she had an exploratory laparotomy and right salpingectomy. She had donor oocyte in-vitro fertilization, and subsequently had three fresh blastocysts transferred. Embryo transfer (ET) was done under transabdominal ultrasound guidance aimed at mid-uterine placement. Pregnancy test 14 days post embryo transfer was positive. She presented 28 days post ET for her confirmatory pregnancy ultrasound scan with complaint of per vaginal spotting. Transvaginal ultrasound scan done showed an empty uterus, two gestational sacs beside each other in the left adnexa, presence of fetal poles with cardiac pulsation in one. She was counselled on the findings, implications and treatment options. Laparoscopy was adjudged not safe in the light of her prior exploratory laparotomy. Her pre op vital signs were Heart rate of 88 beats per minute, blood pressure of 110/70mmHg, respiratory rate of 18 cycles per minute and oxygen saturation of 99% on room air.

Intra-operative findings were severe bowel adhesions completely obliterating the pelvis. Extensive adhesiolysis and bowel mobilization by colorectal surgeon exposed partly the left adnexa enough to lift the left fallopian tube to view with the two separate bulges containing the products of conception clearly visible. Uterus was not mobile. Left salpingectomy was done and wound closure done. Her post op recovery was uneventful. She was discharged 4 days after surgery in a stable condition.



Fig. 1 & 2. Showing; (1) Transvaginal sonography demonstrating a unilateral dichorionic twin tubal ectopic gestation, (2) Excised tissue containing 2 ectopic gestations. -Courtesy Dr Nwogu

3. DISCUSSION

The advent and increasing access to in-vitro fertilization (IVF) has led to rising cases of ectopic pregnancies which complicates 2%-11% of IVF cycles [5,7]. The patient described had a unilateral left twin tubal ectopic pregnancy following an IVF treatment. Variability in presentation of ectopic pregnancy has been known to pose a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge making it a major cause of pregnancy related deaths in the first trimester [1,3].

The relative risk of death from ectopic pregnancy is 10 and 50 times more than that encountered at childbirth and induced abortion respectively [3]. The situation is made worse as unilateral twin tubal ectopic pregnancy is harder to identify and has a higher risk of rupture which occurs in 30-50% of cases [2].

As much as 95% of ectopic pregnancies are tubal in location [4] as in the case above, a few are found at uncommon sites such as; uterine cornua, peritoneal, cervical, ovarian and prior caesarean section or myomectomy scar defect [1]. Twin ovarian [9,10], abdominal wall [11], caesarean scar [12], cornual [13] and cervical [14] ectopic pregnancies have on also been documented. There exist more unilateral twin tubal pregnancies compared to fewer bilateral tubal pregnancies [7].

Risks factors for ectopic gestation include factors that can cause tubal anatomical or physiological

distortion such as previous episode of pelvic inflammatory disease, endometriosis, previous pelvic and tubal surgeries, smoking and congenital Mullerian anomalies [1,7]. Other factors of lesser risk include previous abortion, use of intrauterine device, increasing age, defective embryos or abnormal hormonal milieu [1,5,6]. The risk encountered in the index patient include advanced maternal age, fertility treatment and previous extrauterine pregnancy.

Diagnosis of twin ectopic pregnancy entails a summation of clinical features, laboratory investigations and radiological imaging. Definitive diagnosis is by either by ultrasound, laparoscopy or pathological confirmation after evaluation of removed tissues at laparoscopy or laparotomy [2]. The commonest symptoms of twin ectopic pregnancy are similar to those of singleton ectopic pregnancy which comprises the triad of pain, amenorrhoea and abnormal vaginal bleeding respectively [3,5].

The management of unilateral twin tubal ectopic pregnancy follows the same the guidelines provided for singleton ectopic pregnancies [7]. Surgical and medical management options are dictated by the haemodynamic status of the patient and available expertise [1,2,3].

Our patient had an urgent laparotomy and left salpingectomy considering the presence of previous pelvic surgery and risk of adhesions for a laparoscopic approach at close of working hours on a weekend when she was seen.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, extrauterine pregnancy is a life-threatening gynaecological emergency. The incidence of all forms of ectopic gestation is rising since the advent of assisted conception. It is therefore imperative for gynaecologists to insist on continued pregnancy confirmatory ultrasound scan post embryo transfer to help early detection and prompt management.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

We hereby declare that no generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

CONSENT

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms for the data to be published.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

This study was approved by the research committee of the Kingswill advanced fertility center Lagos, where this patient was managed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are also grateful to all our nurses and midwives at Kingswill advanced fertility center, Lagos where this patient was managed for their dedication to duty.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Oluwole AA, Ugwu AO, Omisakin SI, Adaramoye VO. Ectopic pregnancy: A life-threatening gynaecological emergency revisited in Lagos, Southwest, Nigeria. Niger J Med. 2023; 32:113-6. DOI: 10.4103/NJM.NJM_8_23
2. Gure Eticha T. Unilateral Twin ectopic Pregnancy: A case Report from the Eastern Part of Ethiopia,Harar. Int Med Case Rep J. 2022;15:521-527.
3. Ugwu AO, Makwe CC, Omisakin SI, Ani-Ugwu NK, Augustine E, Ojiefoh LG, et al. Successful management of cervical ectopic pregnancy following invitro fertilisation. Hellenic Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2021;20(4):221–4. DOI: 10.33574/HJoG.0307
4. Awowole IO, Adeniyi OA, Allen OO, Adeyemi AB. Fertility-preserving Management of Cervical Ectopic Pregnancy in a Nigerian Teaching Hospital. Annals of Health Research. 2021;7(3):322-7.
5. Nwogu CM, Adefemi KA, Ugwu AO. Blighted Ovum, intrauterine viable gestation and Left Tubal ectopic pregnancy following Invitro-fertilisation- A case report and review of literature. Japan Journal of Medical Science. 2024;5(1):162-164.
6. Berkes E, Szendei G, Csabay L, Sipos Z, Joo JG, Rigo J Jr. Unilateral triplet ectopic pregnancy after in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer. Fertil Steril. 2008;90(5): 17-20.
7. Betti M, Vergani P2, Damiani GR, et al. Unilateral twin tubal pregnancy: a case report and review of the literature. Acta Biomed. 2018;89(3):423-427.
8. Rolle CJ, Wai CY, Bawdon R, Santos-Ramos R, Hoffman B. Unilateral twin ectopic pregnancy in a patient with a history of multiple sexually transmitted infections. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 2006;2006:10306.
9. Vohra S, Mahsood S, Shelton H, Zaedi K, Economides DL. Spontaneous live unilateral twin ectopic pregnancy - A case presentation. Ultrasound. 2014;22(4):243-6.
10. Dede M, Gezginç K, Yenen M, Ulubay M, Kozan S, Güran S, Başer I. Unilateral tubal ectopic twin pregnancy. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2008;47(2):226-8.
11. Uludag SZ, Serdar Kutuk M, Dolanbay M, Ulker F, Tuncay Ozgun M. Primary ovarian monochorionic twin ectopic pregnancy. Case Rep. 2016;61(9-10):516-518.
12. Chughhtai F. Twin abdominal pregnancy- a rare scenario. J Pak Med Assoc. 2017; 67(5):793-795.
13. Bringley J, Denefrio C, Rijhsinghani A. Twin caesarean scar ectopic pregnancy treated with systemic and local methotrexate. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2017;216(1):77.e1-77.e2.

14. Asch E, Levine D, Robens J. one live fetus and co-twins
Cornual ectopic pregnancy of demise. Ultrasound Q. 2012;28(3):
dichorionic diamniotic twins, with 189-191.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121755>