



Economic Analysis of Cost and Returns in Turmeric Production and Processing in the Chamarajanagar District of Karnataka, India

**Navyashree, B. M. ^{a++}, K. B. Vedamurthy ^{b#}, Vaishnavi ^{a†*}
and Venkataramana, M. N. ^{a‡}**

^a Department of Agricultural Economics, College of Agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru-560065, India.

^b Department of Dairy Business Management, Dairy Science College, KVAFSU, Hebbal Campus, Bengaluru-560065, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2024/v30i51973

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114905>

Original Research Article

Received: 22/01/2024

Accepted: 27/03/2024

Published: 30/03/2024

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the economic dynamics of turmeric production and primary processing in Chamarajanagar district, Karnataka, emphasized the significant role of this region in enhancing India's reputation for producing high-curcumin-content turmeric. Despite the growing domestic and international markets for turmeric, which have contributed to the crop's substantial increase in production and productivity over recent decades, there remains a significant gap in organized

⁺⁺ M. Sc. (Agriculture);

[#] Assistant Professor;

[†] Ph.D. (Agriculture);

[‡] Professor and University Head;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: vaishnavimadari@hotmail.com;

processing units at the local level. The analysis employed different cost concepts and the Garrett Ranking Technique. By examining the economic aspects of both turmeric cultivation and primary processing phases among farmers in the district, the findings revealed that farmers accrue a net return of ₹18,134 per acre from cultivation after incurring costs of ₹86,424, and a higher net return of ₹24,775 per acre from primary processing with an incurred cost of ₹99,439 per acre. The cost-benefit analysis further underscores the economic viability of turmeric cultivation, with ratios of 1.21 without primary processing and 1.25 with it, thereby highlighting the substantial profitability of turmeric production for local growers. However, the study identifies significant challenges, such as labour shortages and elevated wage rates, attributed to the crop's labour-intensive nature. Moreover, while primary processing increases profitability, it also demands considerable labour and time, potentially compromising the quality of turmeric powder. The research suggests that the adoption of advanced technologies and machinery in curing and processing operations could mitigate these challenges, enhancing efficiency and product quality in turmeric cultivation and processing sectors.

Keywords: Cost-benefit analysis; garret ranking; primary processing; cost of cultivation; gross returns; net returns.

1. INTRODUCTION

India is popularly known as the 'Spice Bowl of the World,' has a rich history of cultivating an expansive variety of premium quality spices since ancient times [1]. With a leading position in global spice production, India contributes to approximately 80 per cent of the world output, producing 75 out of the 109 spice varieties recognized by the International Organisation for Standardisation [2,3]. This substantial contribution not only underscores India's pivotal role in global spice consumption and export but also highlights its dominance in the international spice market [4]. The superior quality and diversity of Indian spices have long captivated the global trade network, marking a significant footprint in the world's culinary landscape [5]. In the year 2021-22, the total production of Indian spices was recorded at 10.88 million tonnes, with an export value of USD 330.46 million, witnessing a growth of 6.62 per cent over the previous year.

Among the spices, turmeric stands out not only for its culinary and medicinal value but also for its significant economic contribution. The state of Telangana leads in turmeric production, followed by Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and Andhra Pradesh. Specifically, Karnataka emerges as the third-largest producer in 2020-21, contributing 1,30,972 tonnes of turmeric over an area of 20,560 hectares, boasting a productivity rate of 6.38 tonnes per hectare [6]. Chamarajanagar district is the leading region in turmeric cultivation within Karnataka, accounting for 33.33 per cent of the

total cultivated area with a production of 30,241 tonnes and a productivity of 4.00 tonnes per hectare [7].

The turmeric growers in Chamarajanagar face significant challenges, primarily due to the absence of sufficient secondary processing facilities within the state. This deficiency necessitates the transportation of produce to neighbouring states for further processing, inflating costs and complicating market access due to increased transportation expenses and the number of market intermediaries. These circumstances not only worsen the vulnerability of farmers to exploitation due to insufficient market price information but also emphasize the urgent requirement for improved production and processing strategies. In response to these challenges, both Central and State governments have initiated various schemes aimed at improving the production and processing systems within the Indian spice sector, recognizing turmeric's importance as a major commercial crop with vast domestic and international markets. The primary objective of this study is to conduct a thorough analysis of the costs and returns linked to both the production and on-farm processing of turmeric in the Chamarajanagar district of Karnataka. The insights derived from this analysis are intended to assist planners and policymakers in devising effective strategies and policies to strengthen the turmeric production and processing landscape in the state, thereby enhancing the socio-economic status of the local farming community and reinforcing India's supremacy in the global spice market.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Sampling Procedure

The research focused on Chamarajanagar district, Karnataka, a region distinguished by its pre-eminence in turmeric cultivation. The study employed a purposive selection method to choose this district due to its significant role in turmeric production. To ensure a comprehensive analysis, a stratified random sampling design was adopted for the farmer selection process. The stratification was based on four majors hoblis-Haradanahalli, Chandakavadi, Kasaba, and Terakanambi along with Chamarajanagar and Gundlupete taluk, encapsulating a diverse cross-section of the district's turmeric farming community. A total of 60 turmeric-growing farmers, actively engaged in primary processing were selected. These participants were then interviewed using a well-structured and pre-tested schedule to gather relevant data for the study.

2.2 Analytical Tools and Techniques Employed

2.2.1 Cost and returns analysis

The study aimed to estimate the costs associated with turmeric cultivation and the subsequent primary processing activities conducted by the farmers. This estimation included both direct and imputed costs, representing inputs produced and owned by the farm but valued at their current market prices. The analysis utilized various cost concepts, including variable costs (direct costs that vary with production level), fixed costs (costs that do not change with the level of production) and total costs, to comprehensively understand the economic dynamics of turmeric farming. To delineate the financial outcomes of turmeric cultivation and processing, gross returns and net returns were calculated on a per-acre basis in the study.

- **Cost Structure in Turmeric Cultivation:** The study detailed the components of variable and fixed turmeric cultivation, covering expenses from planting materials to managerial costs and risk premiums.
- **Cost Structure in Turmeric Primary Processing:** It similarly accounted for variable costs in primary processing, including raw material prices and marketing costs.

2.2.2 Return structure

The study delineated the return structure from both turmeric cultivation and primary processing:

- a) **Gross returns:** Gross returns were worked out by multiplying the total yield of turmeric with its unit price.

$$\text{Gross returns (GR)} = \text{Yield} * \text{Price}$$

- b) **Net returns over variable cost (TVC):** Net returns over variable cost were obtained by deducting total explicit cost from gross return and denoted as:

$$\text{Net returns over variable cost} = \text{GR} - \text{TVC}$$

- c) **Net returns over total cost (TC):** Net returns over total cost were worked out by deducting the total cost (TC) of production from gross returns.

$$\text{Net returns over total cost} = \text{GR} - \text{TC}$$

- d) **Returns per rupee of investment:** Returns per rupee spent were calculated by dividing gross returns by total costs.

$$\text{Returns per rupee of investment} = \text{GR} \div \text{TC}$$

2.2.3 Garrett ranking technique

To identify and rank the challenges faced by turmeric farmers in production and marketing, the Garrett ranking technique was applied. This method involves assigning a rank to each constraint based on its importance, as perceived by the farmers and then converting these ranks into percentage positions using Garrett's formula.

Garrett's formula for converting ranks into per cent was given by

$$\text{Per cent position} = \frac{R_{ij} - 0.5}{N_j} \times 100$$

Where

R_{ij} = rank given for i th factor by j th individual
 N_j = number of factors ranked by j th individual

This approach allowed for the quantification and prioritization of the farmers' challenges, providing a clear indication of the most pressing issues that need to be addressed. By employing these methodologies, the study aimed to offer valuable insights into the economics of turmeric cultivation and primary processing in Chamarajanagar district, alongside identifying the key challenges faced by the local farming community. This comprehensive approach is intended to inform

future policy-making and development strategies in the turmeric sector.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Stages in Cultivation and Processing of Turmeric

The study presents a comprehensive overview of the traditional and improved methodologies involved in the cultivation and processing of turmeric, as depicted in Fig. 1. The cultivation cycle begins with the planting of turmeric rhizomes in June, culminating in their harvest during February and March. Treated rhizomes are strategically dibbled into ridges at a spacing of 45 cm X 15 cm and to a depth of 4 cm. Initially, farmyard manure is applied, followed by the use of inorganic fertilizers such as urea, DAP, MOP, and complex fertilizers as base doses, with top dressing also being utilized. Weeding can be done three times after planting at 60, 90, and 120 days to ensure optimal growth conditions. Plants usually start lodging around nine to ten months after planting, with crop maturity indicated by yellowing, drying, and lodging of leaves. Subsequent processing stages, which include polishing, grinding, sieving, powdering, and marketing, are executed at the processor level. This detailed cultivation and processing sequence underlines the labour-intensive nature of turmeric farming, emphasizing the critical phases where efficiency gains can be made through technological advancements and improved agronomic practices. After digging deeply, the rhizomes are harvested. Post-harvest

processing such as curing and drying is carried out at the farm level, while additional processes such as polishing, grinding, sieving, powdering, and marketing are executed at the processor level. Similar results were studied by Singh et al. [8] who reported that the processed (powder) and semi-processed (slice/flakes) forms of turmeric earned good returns, although the powder form of turmeric was predominant. As such, there exists a significant opportunity for interventions aimed at streamlining these processes, reducing labour and cost, and ultimately improving the profitability and sustainability of turmeric farming for growers in the Chamarajanagar district and beyond.

3.2 Cost and Returns in the Production of Turmeric

The study examined the economics of turmeric cultivation in Chamarajanagar district, using the costs and returns in turmeric cultivation per acre is presented in Tables 1 and 2. Material inputs, including planting materials, inorganic fertilizers, organic manure and plant protection chemicals accounted for 16.71, 12.83, 5.24 and 0.72 of the total cost, respectively, with corresponding values of ₹14,444, ₹11,090, ₹4,527 and ₹621. The highest expense was attributed to human labour, which amounted ₹10,500 and comprising 12.15 per cent of the total cost, followed by machine labour at 2.31 per cent and bullock labour at 0.93 per cent. Interest on working capital was 3.56 per cent of the total cost, accounting for ₹3,079.




Fig. 1. Flow chart of turmeric processing at field and processor level in traditional and improved

Table 1. Cost structure incurred in turmeric cultivation (Per acre, n=60)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Unit	Quantity	Total Amount (₹)	% to total cost
I	Variable cost				
A	Material cost				
a	Planting material	Quintal	6.28	14444.00	16.71
b	Organic manure	Tonnes	3.05	4526.78	5.24
c	Inorganic Fertilizer				
	Urea	Bag	3.67	1101.00	1.27
	DAP	Bag	3.23	5814.00	6.73
	MOP	Bag	2.56	3840.00	4.44
	Complex	Bag	0.67	335.00	0.39
d	Plant protection chemicals	Litre		620.50	0.72
	Subtotal (A)			30681.28	35.50
B	Labour cost				
a	Human labour				
	Men	Man days	6.00	2100.00	2.43
	Women	Man days	42.00	8400.00	9.72
b	Machine labour	Hours	2.00	2000.00	2.31
c	Bullock labour	Hours	1.00	800.00	0.93
	Subtotal (B)			13300.00	15.39
C	Interest on working capital @ 12%			3078.69	3.56
	Total variable cost			47059.97	54.45
II	Fixed cost				
a	Land revenue			75.00	0.09
b	Land rent			20911.66	24.20
c	Depreciation on equipment			2332.22	2.70
d	Managerial cost			4706.00	5.45
e	Amortized irrigation cost			7122.00	8.24
f	Interest on fixed cost @ 12%			4217.62	4.88
	Total fixed cost			39364.50	45.55
III	Total cost of cultivation			86424.47	100.00

Table 2. Return from production of turmeric cultivation (Per acre, n=60)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Unit	Quantity	Total Amount (₹)
I	Average Yield	Quintal	37.54	-
II	Average Price			2785.25
III	Gross income			104558.29
IV	Net returns over variable cost			57498.32
V	Net returns over total cost			18133.82
VI	Returns per rupee of investment			1.21

Table 3. Economics of primary processing of turmeric (Per acre, n=60)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Unit	Quantity	Total Amount (₹)	% to total
I	Variable cost				
A	Material cost				
a.	Total cost of production of 37.54 q of raw turmeric			86424.47	86.91
b.	Fuel wood	Truck load	2.84	5268.20	5.30
c.	Gunny bag	No.	8	200.00	0.20
d.	Cow dung	Kg.	47.52	57.02	0.06
	Subtotal(A)			91949.69	92.47
B	Human labour				0.00
a.	Men	Man days	8	2800.00	2.82
b.	Women	Man days	3	600.00	0.60
	Subtotal(B)			3400.00	3.42
C	Interest on variable cost at 7%			624.77	0.63
	Total variable cost			95974.46	96.52
II	Fixed cost				
A	Depreciation on container			880.00	0.88
	Total fixed cost			880.00	0.88
III	Marketing cost			2584.28	2.60
IV	Total cost of primary processing			99438.74	100.00
V	Average Yield	Quintal	16.83		
VI	Average Price	₹ per quintal		7380.52	
VII	Gross income			124214.15	
VIII	Net returns over variable cost			28239.69	
IX	Net returns over total cost			24775.41	
XI	Returns per rupee of investment			1.25	

Table 4. Constraints faced among sample farmers

Sl. No.	Factors	Garrett score	Rank
1.	Non-availability of labour	93.33	1
2.	High wage rate	91.67	2
3.	Lack of storage facilities	83.33	3
4.	High fluctuation in the market price	66.67	4
5.	Lack of technical guidance	41.67	5




Fig. 2. Cost and returns of turmeric cultivation

Note: TVC: Total Variable Cost, TFC: Total Fixed Cost, TC: Total Cost, GR: Gross Returns, NR: Net Returns




Fig. 3. Cost and returns from primary processing of turmeric
Note: TVC: Total Variable Cost, TFC: Total Fixed Cost, TC: Total Cost, GR: Gross Returns, NR: Net Return

The expenditure incurred on fixed components such as land revenue, land rent, depreciation on equipment, amortized irrigation cost, managerial cost and interest on fixed capital accounted for 0.09, 24.20, 2.70, 5.54, 8.24 and 4.88 per cent of the total cost of cultivation, respectively (Table 1). Chinnadurai et al. [9] found that large farmers incurred comparatively higher costs and recommended exploring value addition. These findings align with those of Viraja et al. [10] estimated the average cultivation cost per hectare of turmeric to be ₹2,04,737 in Navsari district, South Gujarat.

The return from production of turmeric cultivation indicated that, with an average yield of 37.54 quintals per acre and a market price of ₹2,785.25 per quintal, farmers earned a gross return of ₹1,04,558 per acre. After deducting the total cultivation cost of ₹86,424, the net return amounted to ₹18,134 per acre (Fig. 2) underscoring the profitability of turmeric farming in the study area. Furthermore, the return on investment was calculated at ₹1.21 for every rupee invested, illustrating the efficacy of turmeric cultivation as a source of income for farmers (Table 2). These findings are in line with the results of Govindaswamy et al. [11], who reported that a Benefit-Cost ratio greater than one from turmeric cultivation in Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu.

3.3 Economics of Primary Processing of Turmeric

The cost and returns analysis of primary processing was estimated on per-acre basis and presented in Table 3. After harvesting the turmeric rhizomes undergo picking, cleaning, boiling and drying at the farm level before being sold. Among the material inputs, the expenditure incurred on raw turmeric production, fuel wood, gunny bag and cow dung accounted for 86.91, 5.30, 0.20 and 0.06 per cent of the total cost of primary processing with the corresponding values of inputs being ₹86,425, ₹5,268, ₹200 and ₹57.02, respectively. Human labour cost has amounted to ₹3,400, which constituted about 3.42 per cent of the total cost of primary processing. The expenditure on fixed components includes depreciation on containers (baani), which accounted for 0.88 per cent of the total cost of primary processing. With an average yield of turmeric at 16.83 quintals per acre, the sale price of turmeric after primary processing was ₹7,380.52 per quintal in the study area. Similar results are in line with the study

conducted by Lokesh and Chandrakanth [12] in the production and marketing of turmeric cultivation.

After primary processing, the gross return for farmers amounted to ₹1,24,214. Deducting the total cost of turmeric primary processing, which stood at ₹99,439, farmers realized net returns over total costs of ₹24,775 (Fig. 3). The returns realized by the farmers per rupee of investment was ₹1.25. Similar findings were observed in the study conducted by Devi and Bhoi [13], indicating that farmers who processed turmeric incurred higher total costs compared to those who chose not to process the rhizome. However, a significant difference was observed in price per unit, gross return, net return and the benefit-cost ratio realized by the farmers who were selling the produce in powder form as compared to fresh, thus making a significantly higher profit in processed than non-processed produce. Girma and Mohammedsani [14] also reported that post-harvest practices such as washing, boiling, drying and polishing rhizomes were responsible for quality improvement in turmeric.

3.4 Constraints Faced among the Turmeric Cultivators

Table 4 presents the production and marketing constraints encountered by turmeric cultivators. It highlights the non-availability of labor, high wage rates, insufficient storage facilities, market price fluctuations, and lack of technical guidance as the primary constraints faced by turmeric cultivators.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the results of the study indicated that the Cost-Benefit analysis of turmeric production without and with primary processing was found to be 1.21 and 1.25, respectively, indicating that the production of turmeric was highly remunerative for growers in the study area. To further enhance profitability, it is imperative to ensure the availability of high-quality turmeric rhizomes at affordable rates, thereby incentivizing farmers to utilize them and bolster their profitability. While primary processing offers increased profitability, it is hindered by labour-intensive and time-consuming procedures that can compromise turmeric powder quality. Therefore, the adoption of advanced technologies and machinery in curing activities is crucial. Addressing the major constraint of labour scarcity and high wage rates necessitates the introduction of machinery such

as turmeric harvesters, boilers and weeders. Establishing these resources in custom hiring centres would alleviate labour burdens and cultivation costs for farmers ultimately improving their productivity and profitability.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Naik V, Value chain analysis of turmeric in Southern Karnataka. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.* 2022;56(1):407-416.
2. Mohan S, Rajan SS, Unnikrishnan G, Marketing of Indian Spices as a challenge in India. *International J. of Busi. and Manag. Inven.* 2013;2(2):26-31.
3. Annamuthu P, Sajikumar M, Priya Darshini, B, Kumar, Sanjay Kumar, A, Kaviya, V, A study on performance and issues faced by spices exporters in Coimbatore District. *Think India*, 2019;22(4):6665-6669.
4. Nagaraja. A study on cultivation practices and problems of turmeric growers in Chamarajanagar District. *Journal of Emerg. Tech. and Innov. Res.* 2023;10(9):87-96.
5. Angles S, Sundar A, Chinnadurai M, Impact of globalization on production and export of turmeric in India - An economic analysis. *Agric. Econ. Res. Rev.*, 2011;24(2): 301-308.
6. Anonymous, national horticulture board, ministry of agriculture & farmers welfare, government of India; 2021a.
7. Anonymous, Spices Board India Statistics, Government of India; 2021b.
8. Singh R, Feroze SM, Kumar S, Production of turmeric in North East Hill Region of India: A value chain analysis. *Indian J. Agric. Econ.* 2020;75(4):359-374.
9. Chinnadurai M, Kavitha V, Angles S, Sangeetha R, Economics of turmeric cultivation in Erode district of Tamil Nadu. *Agric. Sci. Digest-A Res. J.* 2018; 38(4):293-296.
10. Viraja CV, Thumar VM, Tandel VB, Cost structure and profitability of turmeric cultivation in Navsari district of South Gujarat. *Int. J. Chem. Stud.* 2018;6(5):1486-1488.
11. Govindaswamy R, Das DS, Tamilarasi R, An economic analysis on turmeric cultivation in Coimbatore District of Tamilnadu. *Economics.* 2021;9:37-47.
12. Lokesh GB, Chandrakanth MG, Economics of production, marketing and processing of turmeric in Karnataka. *Indian J. Arecanut, Spices & Medic. Plants.* 2003;5(2): 55-60.
13. Devi G, Bhoi R, Economic impact of turmeric processing in middle Gujarat. *Indian J. Econ. Dev.* 2022;18(2):303-312.
14. Girma H, Mohammedsani Z, Pre-and post-harvest practices influencing yield and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) in Southwestern Ethiopia: A review. *African J. Agric. Res.* 2021;17(8):1096-1105.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114905>