International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 18, Page 1466-1473, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.102909
ISSN: 2320-7035

Nitrogen Management through Nano Urea and Conventional Urea and its Effect on Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Growth and Yield

Divya Singh [a], Atish Yadav [b*], Himanshu Tiwari [c], Anil Kumar Singh [a], Shivendra Singh [d], Rishi Kumar Yadav [a], Parthik Gangwar [a] and Dhruvendra Singh Sachan [d]

[a] Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, India.
[b] Institute of Agriculture Sciences, BHU, India.
[c] Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, India.
[d] Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183414

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102909

Original Research Article

Received: 19/05/2023
Accepted: 21/07/2023
Published: 03/08/2023

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was carried out at Agronomy Research Farm of Acharya Narendra Dev University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) during Rabi Season 2021-22 to study the "Study on performance of nitrogen management through nano urea and conventional urea in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)" The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with four replications and six treatments i.e. T_1: Control, T_2 : 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) through conventional Urea (40% at Basal+ 30% at 30days after sowing (DAS)+30% at

**Corresponding author: E-mail: atish9241yadav@gmail.com;*

50DAS), T_3 : 75% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 25% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS), T_4 : 50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS), T_5 : 25% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 75% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS), T_6 : 100% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (50% at 30DAS+50% at 50DAS). The observation on different growth and yield parameters were recorded and analyzed statistically. The experimental results revealed that among the treatments, treatment T_4 recorded highest plant height, number of tillers m^{-2}, leaf area index, dry matter accumulation, number of spikes m^{-2}, length of spike, grains $spike^{-1}$, yield and nutrient uptake. The highest net returns (51286 ha-1) and B:C ratio (1.42) were noticed by the application of T4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS). From this study, it can be concluded that T4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS) found most economical over other treatments, it gave more net returns.

Keywords: Top dressing; nano urea; basal dose and wheat.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most widely grown cereals in the world, and important staple foods for over 2.5 billion people [1]. The usage of synthetic N fertilizers since the industrial revolution has resulted in an increase in atmospheric NO, one of the most major anthropogenic greenhouse gases causing global warming. Despite earlier efforts, the Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) in agricultural systems has remained low, implying that more than half of the N applied to agricultural soils is potentially lost to the environment on a worldwide scale. Among mineral nutrients, nitrogen is the first and foremost nutrient required for crop plants as it is a vital structural constituent of many proteins and enzymes chlorophyll, Rubisco, nucleic acids, some hormones and thus N fertilization is an essential agronomic management practice to enhance the crop productivity and plays a significant role during the vegetative growth of crops; but unfortunately, nitrogen is lost through the processes of nitrate leaching, de-nitrification and ammonia volatilization and runoff to surface and ground water and so induces economic losses and environmental pollution. Nano fertilizers in boosting nutrients uptake and nutrients use efficiency, reducing losses through leaching and gaseous emissions along with reducing the risk of nutrient toxicity for ensuring food security achieved through higher productivity and economic turnouts by practicing the sustainable farming practices [2,3]. Suryaprabha. (2012) reported that nitrogen nutrition improves the potential of wheat to alleviate the effects of drought stress during vegetative growth periods. The leaves are a sink for N during the vegetative stage and, afterwards, this N is remobilized for use in the developing seeds. Much of this remobilization occurs during senescence where N is transported mainly via amino acids. Up to 80% of grain N contents are derived from leaves in wheat [4].

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

The field experiment was carried out at Agronomy Research Farm of Acharya Narendra Dev University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) during Rabi Season 2021-22 to study the “Study on performance of nitrogen management through nano urea and conventional urea in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)” The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with four replications and six treatments i.e. T1: Control, T2 : 100% RDN through conventional Urea (40% at Basal+ 30% at 30DAS+30% at 50DAS), T3 : 75% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 25% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS), T4 : 50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS), T5 : 25% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 75% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS), T6 : 100% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (50% at 30DAS+50% at 50DAS). To evaluate the treatment effect, the various morphological observations, growth analysis and yields were recorded in the experiment at 30, 60, 90 days after sowing and at harvest stage. The recommended doses of fertilizers for wheat are 120:60:40 kg of N, P_2O5, K_2O ha^{-1} respectively. Full dose of P_2O5, K_2O and 50% of Nitrogen were applied at the time of sowing. Growth parameters were recorded before harvesting of

crop. Harvesting was done when the spikelet matured and plant was dried up. The threshing of the crop was done by manually by plot wise and grain and straw where collected separately.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Initial Plant Population

The observed data presented in Table 1 reveals that there was no significant difference between the plant population (m^{-2}) among different treatments due to conventional urea and nano urea. Thus, the plant population was almost unvaried in all the plots.

3.2 Plant Height

The plant height at 30 DAS was found maximum under T_4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) which was found to be par with the T_3 (75% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 25% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS) and found significant over other treatments. The maximum plant height (99.79cm) recorded in T4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) which was found to be significant over other treatments. However, lowest plant height recorded in control.

3.3 No. of Tillers

The maximum number of tillers (m^{-2}) at 30 DAS was recorded in T4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS) which was found at par with T5 (25% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 75% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS), T3 (75% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 25% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS) and T2 (100% RDN through conventional Urea (40% at Basal+ 30% at 30DAS+30% at 50DAS) and significant over other treatments. The number of tillers (m-2) at 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest found maximum in T_4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS) which was found at par with T_5 (25% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 75% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS), and significant over other treatments. The lowest number of tillers (m^{-2}) was recorded with control. Application of traditional fertilizer, alone or in combination with Nano fertilizer, had a substantial impact on the quantity of reproductive tillers (Benzon et al. 2015).

3.4 Leaf Area Index

The leaf area index at 30 DAS was found maximum under T_4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) and significant over other treatments. The leaf area index at 60 DAS and 90 DAS was found maximum under T_4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) which was found at par with T_5 25% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 75% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS) and superiorly significant over other treatments. The lowest leaf area index was recorded in control.

3.5 Dry Matter Accumulation

The dry matter accumulation (g m^{-2}) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS was recorded maximum at T4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) which was found to be par with T3 (75% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 25% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS) and significant over other treatments. The lowest dry matter accumulation (g m^{-2}) was recorded in control. Foliar application of nano fertilizers significantly improved dry matter accumulation; this could be due to the fact that nano fertilizers have higher surface area, which improves reactivity and thus improves nutrient uptake in plants, resulting in a cumulative increase in plant height, leaf area, and number of tillers m-2. Enhanced leaf area assists in greater solar radiation consumption and accessible nutrients, both of which are critical for higher photosynthetic surface area, resulting in more accumulation and transfer of photosynthates, which ultimately increased biomass output. These findings were in accordance with those of Dhoke et al. [5], Armin et al. [6], Benzon et al. [7], and Hafeez et al. [8].

Table 1. Growth characters as affected by nitrogen management through nano urea and conventional urea

Treatments	Plant population	Plant height (m)				Number of tillers (m^{-2})			
	15 DAS	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	At harvest	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	At harvest
T1. Control	175.75	20.45	61.50	87.36	89.25	194.60	243.50	250.80	250.60
T2.100% RDN through conventional Urea (40% at Basal+30% at 30 DAS+30% at 50 DAS)	177.25	23.25	64.85	94.66	96.27	197.20	284.40	297.00	299.50
T3.75% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 25% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (at 30 and 50 DAS)	178.0	24.67	65.25	95.20	96.60	200.50	287.60	298.50	303.40
T4.50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (at 30 and 50 DAS)	179.25	25.78	67.03	99.28	99.79	201.20	301.40	311.40	311.00
T5.25% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 75% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (at 30 and 50 DAS)	176.75	22.88	65.85	95.75	97.28	198.20	291.50	303.75	307.20
T6.100% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (50%at30 DAS+50% at 50 DAS)	175.50	19.66	64.37	95.15	96.25	195.50	256.00	275.50	285.50
SEm ±	1.74	0.48	0.71	0.66	0.62	1.82	3.91	3.01	2.45
CDat 5%	NS	1.45	2.19	2.01	1.9	5.46	11.85	9.23	6.87

Table 2. Growth characters as affected by nitrogen management through nano urea and conventional urea

Treatments	Leaf Area Index			Dry matter Accumulation				Effective tillers (m^{-2})	Spike length (cm)	No. of grainsspike^{-1}	Test weight (g)
	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	At harvest				
T1. Control	1.35	2.60	2.83	52.49	379.69	583.95	622.85	237.20	9.50	36.40	32.94
T2. 100% RDN through conventional Urea (40% at Basal+ 30% at 30 DAS + 30% at 50 DAS)	1.44	3.87	4.12	63.12	451.28	777.31	923.26	296.63	11.25	44.20	38.20
T3.75% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 25% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (at 30 and 50 DAS)	1.43	3.82	4.08	64.70	441.82	767.82	911.45	282.30	10.80	42.70	37.95
T4. 50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (at 30 and 50 DAS)	1.46	4.25	4.42	66.40	454.20	791.56	928.59	305.20	11.69	45.30	38.85
T5. 25% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 75% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (at 30 and 50 DAS)	1.42	4.14	4.39	61.25	435.28	762.23	898.26	286.30	11.05	41.36	36.36
T6. 100% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (50% at 30 DAS + 50% at 50 DAS)	1.39	3.80	4.03	54.25	427.48	723.60	880.54	292.50	10.90	40.20	35.95
SEm ±	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.67	1.08	6.95	2.36	0.82	0.23	0.37	2.21
CDat 5%	0.08	0.23	0.16	2.21	3.29	21.16	4.73	2.52	0.71	0.82	NS

Legend: RDN; DAS

Table 3. Yield and economics of wheat as affected by nitrogen management through nano urea and conventional urea

Treatments	Grain yield (qha^{-1})	Straw yield (q ha^{-1})	Biological yield (qha^{-1})	Harvest index (%)	Cost of cultivation (□ ha^{-1})	Gross return (□ha^{-1})	Net return (□ ha^{-1})	Benefit cost ratio
T1. Control	25.25	38.39	63.64	37.74	34378	54394	20016	0.54
T2.100% RDN through conventional Urea (40% at Basal + 30% at 30 DAS + 30% at 50 DAS)	34.50	47.31	81.81	41.97	36278	83204	46926	1.29
T3. 75% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 25% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (at 30 and 50 DAS)	33.51	46.52	80.03	41.25	36124	82128	46004	1.27
T4. 50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (at 30 and 50 DAS)	36.35	51.06	87.41	42.35	36016	87302	51286	1.42
T5. 25% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 75% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (at 30 and 50 DAS)	35.35	49.22	84.57	41.24	35802	81205	45503	1.28
T6. 100% RDN through Nano Urea as Top dressing (50% at 30 DAS + 50% at 50 DAS)	33.83	47.55	80.35	40.10	35604	80125	44521	1.25
SEm ±	0.45	0.35	0.66	0.87	-	-	-	-
CDat 5%	1.37	0.96	1.91	NS	-	-	-	-

3.6 Yield Attributes

The significant highest number of effective tillers was recorded in T_4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) while the lowest number of effective tillers recorded in control. The majority of the time, rising tiller numbers are attributed to higher nitrogen rates. A similar study found that increasing the nitrogen rate increases the number of tillers. The number of reproductive tillers was considerably reduced when conventional fertilizer was used alone or in combined with Nano-fertilizer (Benzon et al. 2015).

The highest spike length (11.69cm) was observed in T4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) which was found to be at par with T2 (100% RDN through conventional Urea (40% at Basal+ 30% at 30DAS+30% at 50DAS) and T5 (25% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 75% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) and significantly higher over other treatments while the lowest spike length (9.50cm) was recorded in control. The highest No. of grains spike^{-1} (45.30) was recorded in T4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) which was significantly higher over other treatments. The lowest No. of grains spike^{-1} (36.40) observed in T1 (control).Test weight was not significantly influenced by various treatments. Although test weight is a genetic trait, the foliar application of nano fertilizers had no effect on it.

3.7 Yield

The data presented in Table 2 revealed that highest grain yield (36.35 q ha-1) and straw yield (51.06 q ha-1) was observed in treatment T_4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) which was found to be at par with T_5 (25% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 75% RDN through Nano Urea at Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS) and significant over other treatments while the lowest grain yield (25.25 q ha-1) and straw yield (38.39 q ha-1) recorded in T1 control The results on harvest index Table 2 indicated that harvest index was non-significant in relation to the application of conventional urea and nano urea. The highest harvest index (42.35%) recorded in treatment T4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing at 30 and 50 DAS) and the lowest harvest index (37.74%) observed in T1 control.

3.8 Economics

Maximum cost of cultivation (36278 ha-1) recorded in T_2 (100% RDN through conventional Urea (40% at Basal+ 30% at 30DAS+30% at 50DAS) due to high cost involved in conventional urea than the nano urea. While the Maximum gross returns (87302 ha-1) and Net returns (51286 ha-1) recorded in T_4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS) and minimum gross returns (55120 ha-1) and minimum net gross returns (20016 ha-1) was observed in control. Among the various treatments T_4 (50% RDN through conventional Urea as Basal + 50% RDN through Nano Urea as Topdressing (at 30 and 50 DAS) recorded highest benefit cost ratio (1.42), whereas T1 (Control) recorded lowest benefit cost ratio (0.54).

4. CONCLUSION

This study highlights about nitrogen management through nano urea and conventional urea and its effect on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) growth and yield. Nano fertilizers in boosting nutrients uptake and nutrients use efficiency, reducing losses through leaching and gaseous emissions along with reducing the risk of nutrient toxicity for ensuring food security achieved through higher productivity and economic turnouts by practicing the sustainable farming practices.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Sarkar A, Singh T, Mondal A, Kumar S, Das TK, Kaur R, Raj R, Upadhyay PK, Sarkar S. Effect of nano-urea and herbicides on yield and yield attributes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2023 Mar 30;68(1):97-100.
2. Tarafdar JC, Sharma S, Raliya R. Nano-technology: Interdisciplinary science of applications. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2013;12(3):219- 226.

3. Upadhyay PK, Dey A, Singh VK, Dwivedi BS, Singh T, GA R, Babu S, Rathore SS, Singh RK, Shekhawat K, Rangot M. Conjoint application of nano-urea with conventional fertilizers: An energy efficient and environmentally robust approach for sustainable crop production. Plos one. 2023 Jul 5;18(7):e0284009.
4. Kumar R, Pandey DS, Singh VP, Singh IP. Nano-technology for better fertilizer use (Research Experiences at Pantnagar). Research Bulletin no. 201; 2014.
5. Dhoke SK, Mahajan P, Kamble R, Khanna A. Effect of nano-particle suspensions on the growth of mung (*Vigna radiata*) seedlings by foliar spray method. Nano-technology Development. 2013;3(1):1-5
6. Armin M, Akbari S, Mashhadi S. Effect of time and concentration of nano- Fe foliar application on yield and yield components of wheat. International Journal of Biosciences. 2014;4(9):69-75.
7. Benzon H, Rubenecia M, Ultra V, Lee S. Nano-fertilizer affects the growth, development, and chemical properties of rice. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR). 2015; 7(1):105-117
8. Hafeez A, Razzaq A, Mahmood T, Jhanzab HM. Potential of copper nanoparticles to increase growth and yield of wheat. Journal of Nano science with Advanced Technology. 2015;1(1): 6-11.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102909